G08 Quality Verification System
ACCT3014: Auditing and Assurance

Overall Assessment

An external assessor – a senior Accounting academic from another G08 University- reviewed a sample of marked examination scripts awarded grades of Below Pass, Pass, Credit, Distinction, High Distinction in the Unit ACCT3014.

For this QVS pilot project the decision was made to only submit examination scripts for review and so the comments are restricted to assessment standards in relation to these only.

Below are his ratings of the extent to which he agreed with the level of grade awarded. There is agreement with all of the grades awarded except for 1 Distinction grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of assessments</th>
<th>Below Pass</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Distinction</th>
<th>High Distinction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewed in total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree with grade awarded</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believe grade awarded to be unduly high</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(mark too high)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believe grade awarded to be unduly low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please tick one of the following three options for your overall summary judgement of the subject you have reviewed

The learning outcomes, assessment tasks and assessment processes set for the subject I have reviewed were appropriate. Any recommendations made are for the purposes of enhancement to the subject and its assessment.

Yes for exam papers.

The learning outcomes, assessment tasks and assessment processes set for the subject I have reviewed were appropriate. HOWEVER, there are some risks to the future quality assurance of the subject and its assessment, as outlined in my recommendations.

There are immediate concerns or risks relating to the learning outcomes, assessment tasks and/or assessment processes set for the subject I have reviewed. These require immediate action on behalf of the University to prevent reoccurrence in the next review.

Comments (optional)
If you wish, please include further comments which may be used by the associated Faculty or Department in its marketing materials.

The exam was fair and comprehensive.

Statement of potential conflicts of interest (e.g. being involved in collaborative teaching, research or consultancy work with colleagues teaching in the subjects being reviewed)
Review of specified learning objectives

1. To what extent is the information provided about learning objectives clear and sufficient? (Please mark the box that best represents your view).
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Adequately
   - Very Well
   - Completely

   Please list up to three reasons for making this rating
   - Unit learning outcomes clearly explained.
   - Link between unit learning outcomes and program learning outcomes clearly explained
   - Link between assessment and unit learning outcomes clearly explained

2. To what extent are the specified learning objectives appropriate for a final year subject? (Please mark the box that best represents your view).
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Adequately
   - Very Well
   - Completely

   Please list up to three reasons for making this rating
   - Require demonstration of appropriate knowledge
   - Require demonstration of ability to exercise judgment which is one of the required LO for accounting
   - Require demonstration of team work and communication skills important for graduates

3. How do the specified learning objectives compare with those of final year subjects from similar universities?
   - They compare very well with other universities.