Is democracy a requirement of global justice? And does the realisation of a more just global order require more or new forms of democracy? Institutionally, assuming that democracy is a requirement of global justice, does global justice require that all states or peoples become democratic? Or, does global justice require global democracy in the form of a transnational democratic world government? This paper argues that democracy, understood as a method of collective decision making among equals, is not justice; there can be a serious disconnect between the two. Within a way of thinking about the relationship between justice and democracy, two important questions arise.

First, what background conditions are necessary for ensuring that democracy produces or sustains justice? Do the relevant background conditions necessary for establishing and supporting global justice also have to be global (implying the necessity of world government)? Second, what role can currently bounded democracy play in disrupting and reforming unjust background conditions and helping to establish or sustain more just background conditions? That is, could democracy have a role in a theory of transition from an unjust world to one that more closely approximates some ideal of global justice?

**Suitcases, Boats and Bridges: Telling Migrant Stories in Australian Museums**

**Organised by:** Dr Chiara O’Reilly, University of Sydney and Dr Nina Parish, University of Bath

This workshop seeks to investigate how migrant stories are being collected and told in Australian museums. It brings together academics and museum professionals to discuss multiculturalism and museums, ranging from large institutions with governmental support to smaller regional and suburban, and sometimes volunteer-run, museums.

**Program**

10 am: Plenary lecture by Padmini Sebastian

**Deconstructing Stereotypes and Changing Perceptions - what role for the Immigration Museum?**

11.30am: **Panel 1 The State of Multiculturalism** (Chair: Nina Parish)

**Just Like Us?: Why Australian Migrant Assimilation Policies Failed** - Gwenda Tavan

**Mainstreaming The Extreme Right in Australia: The End of the Multicultural Consensus** - Aurelien Mondon

12.30pm: Lunch (at own expense)

1.30pm: **Panel 2 Museums and Multiculturalism** (Chair: Aurelien Mondon)

**Ethno-specific vs Intercultural: What is the Role of the Community Gallery in Museums Today?**

- Nina Parish

**Telling child migrant stories in a transnational context** - Kim Tao

**Suitcases, Boats and Bridges: How Are Objects Being Used to Collect and Tell Migrant Stories in Australian Museums?** - Chiara O’Reilly

3pm: **Roundtable convened by Nina Parish**

The workshop has been generously supported by the University of Bath and the Australian National Maritime Museum and is part of an ongoing research project led by Dr Parish and Dr O’Reilly.

**When:** Friday 2 August, 2013, Registration from 9:30am

**Where:** Waterside Studio, Australian National Maritime Museum

**Cost:** FREE

**RSVP:** Nina Parish - n.parish@bath.ac.uk
The Bandung Conference in April 1955 has entered our collective memory as the beginning of Afro-Asian unity and friendship. Yet, the conference did not lead to the creation of an organisation, or even to a follow-up conference. The mythology therefore praises unfulfilled potential, not a lasting legacy. Instead of seeing Bandung as a starting point, Lüthi suggests that we see it as the ending point of a less impressive movement of international collaboration within the emerging decolonizing world.

This seminar discusses the attempts to forge Afro-Asian unity, from the Asian Relations Conference in 1947, and Indonesia’s driving force in the 1950s to eventual attempts by the PRC in 1965 to seize the movement for its own ideological purposes.

Lorenz Lüthi is Associate Professor of History of International Relations at McGill University and is currently a Visiting Fellow in the School of Politics and International Relations at the Australian National University. His book, *The Sino-Soviet Split, 1956-1966: Cold War in the Communist World* (Princeton, 2008) won the 2010 Marshall Shulman Book Prize for an outstanding monograph dealing with the international relations of the former Soviet Union or Eastern Europe and is soon to be translated into Chinese and German.

**When:** Friday 2 August, 12 noon - 1:30pm  
**Where:** S325, The Woolley Building, Science Road, University of Sydney  
**RSVP:** Eileen Walsh - eileen.walsh@sydney.edu.au

---

**Politics in the Pub**

Politics in the Pub is a program of speakers and discussions centring around the broad theme of politics. The convenors describe themselves as “people who characterise ourselves as “left” and “democratic” who have established a left politico-cultural focus in Sydney to promote cross fertilisation and discussion.”

**Friday 2 August 2013**  
**Indonesia: What Are the Progressive Forces and What Are They?**  
**Speakers:**  
Dr Vanessa Hearan, University of Sydney

**Friday 9 August 2013**  
**Is Political Islam Reactionary or Revolutionary?**  
**Speakers:**  
Dr Ashley Lavelle, Macquarie University

**Friday 16 August 2013**  
**The Ghandi Legacy: non-Violence in Public Policy and Private Life**  
**Speakers:**  
Emeritus Professor Stuart Rees, University of Sydney  
Professor Tom Weber, La Trobe University

**Friday 23 August 2013**  
**Alcohol Related Violence: Moral Panic or a Serious Policy Challenge?**  
**Speakers:**  
Dr Alex Wodak, St Vincents  
The Hon. John Della Bosca, Every Australian Counts

**When:** 6pm - 7:45pm (dinner afterwards in nearby restaurant)  
**Where:** The Gaelic Club, 64 Devonshire Street, Surry Hills (across from Chalmer St exit and Devonshire St. tunnel at Central Station)  
**Cost:** FREE (no RSVP required)  
**More Information:** www.politicsinthepub.org.au. Enquires to Charles Bradley - odl_bradley@pacific.net.au

The current program can be found [here](#)
Stories in the Asian Century

Co-Hosted by Australian Institute of International Affairs and The Walkley Foundation

The Australian Institute of International Affairs is a proud supporter of the half day summit.

Some of Asia’s most daring, provocative and forward-thinking journalists and writers are heading to Sydney to discuss and debate Australia’s place in the Asian century and the region’s big picture issues at The Walkley Foundation’s inaugural Storyology: “Stories in the Asian Century” forum on August 6, 2013.

Stories in the Asian Century will explore the Asian media landscape along with issues including corruption and terrorism; governance and democracy; storytelling; audience engagement; international collaboration; and Australia’s economic, social and political relationship with its near neighbours. Full program

PANELLISTS INCLUDE:

- Pravit Rojanaphruk (Thailand) – The Nation
- Shoma Chaudhury (India) – managing editor, Tehelka magazine
- Choe Sang-Hun (Korea) – Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist, The New York Times,
- Steven Gan (Malaysia) – editor, Malaysiakini

Then at 4.00pm Australia’s Foreign Affairs Minister The Hon Bob Carr will engage in a wide-ranging, not-to-be-missed discussion with The Sydney Morning Herald political and international editor Peter Hartcher on the challenges and opportunities ahead for Australia in Asia.

Storyology: Ideas Write Now! – Stories in the Asian Century is supported by Asia Link, The Australian Institute of International Affairs and DFAT through the Australia-India Council, Australia-Malaysia Institute, Australia-Korea Foundation and Australia-Thailand Institute

When: Tuesday 6 August 2013, Registration from 12 noon
Where: NSW Teachers’ Federation Conference Centre, 37 Reservoir Street, Surry Hills, 2010
Cost: $160 ($110 for AIIA members)
Tickets: Program plus ticket purchase can be found here
Being Historical
Professor David Lieberman and Professor Christopher Tomlins
University of California

David Lieberman is the Jefferson E. Peyser Professor of Law and Professor of History at the University of California, Berkeley. He teaches in Berkeley Law’s doctoral program in Jurisprudence and Social Policy. He studies the history of legal thought and is especially interested in the relationship among law and legal theory and other bodies of speculation, such as the social sciences and political theory. He is the author of The Province of Legislation Determined: Legal Theory in Eighteenth Century Britain and has produced a critical edition of Jean Louis De Lolme’s 1771 The Constitution of England; or, An Account of the English Government.

Christopher Tomlins is Chancellor’s Professor of Law at the University of California Irvine. Previous appointments include Research Professor, the American Bar Foundation, Chicago (1992-2011), and Reader in Legal Studies, La Trobe University, Melbourne, where he taught from 1980 until 1992. He is the author of Freedom Bound: Law, Labor and Civic Identity in Colonizing English America, 1580-1865 (2010); He is also editor of The Cambridge History of Law in America, 3 volumes (2008), with Michael Grossberg. Tomlins has also served as editor of the Law and History Review (1995-2004) and of Law & Social Inquiry (2005-09), and is currently the editor of Cambridge Historical Studies in American Law and Society and (with Michael Grossberg) New Histories of American Law.

When: Wednesday 7 August 2013, 6 - 8pm
Where: Dean’s Board Room, 2nd Floor, University of New South Wales Law School
Cost: Free (RSVP Required)
Papers and RSVP: Papers for the presentations are available from Martin Krygier, m.krygier@unsw.edu.au

Wingara Mura – Bungu Barrabugu
Emeritus Professor Sir Mason Durie

Co-Hosted by The Wingara Mura Visiting Thinkers Program and Sydney Ideas

The Wingara Mura Visiting Thinkers program seeks to select key international and national experts in the indigenous space. Emeritus Professor Sir Mason Durie from New Zealand is the second international indigenous expert to participate in the program in 2013.

Emeritus Professor Sir Mason Durie will discuss the social, economic and cultural opportunities and challenges facing indigenous populations in modern times with particular reference to higher education. The relationship with Governments and with the major institutions in society will provide a broad context for considering indigenous participation in universities. There will be a special focus on the University of Sydney’s Wingara Mura Bungu Barrabugu Integrated Strategy and its significance for local Aboriginal communities as well as for the University. Key themes relevant to the implementation of Wingara Mura, including the alignment of Wingara Mura with the wider goals of the University, will be examined and the potential impact of Wingara Mura as a transformative pathway will be explored.

Emeritus Professor Sir Mason Durie is a member of the Rangitāne, Ngāti Kauwhata, and Ngāti Raukawa tribes in New Zealand. He has a medical background and is a specialist psychiatrist. From 1986 -1988 he was a Commissioner on the Royal Commission on Social Policy and was appointed to the chair in Māori Studies at Massey University in 1988. He has served as Professor of Māori Research and Development at the University and, since 2009, has been Deputy Vice-Chancellor. He chairs Te Kāhui Amokura, the Māori Standing Committee of NZVCC and is on the governing body of Te Wānanga o Raukawa.

When: Wednesday 7 August 2013, 4pm - 6:30pm
Where: Law School Common Room, Level, Sydney Law School, University of Sydney
Cost: FREE (RSVP Required)
Multi(?)national Corporations and the State in Established Market Economies
Dr. John Mikler, University of Sydney

The locations of the world’s largest corporations are like a map of power for the world, so that as the emerging market economies are rising, so too are their corporations. The two are inseparable. However, the list of the world’s largest corporations demonstrates that they still predominantly come from the established economies. Furthermore, an analysis of their operations shows that their home bases remain crucial, and that the relationships they have with their home-state governments are therefore also crucial. In reality, there has never been a single global model for corporate-state relations. Instead, great institutional diversity between states with advanced, industrialized economies has persisted. In well-established economies, this diversity has become deeply institutionalized over time in national structures of governance. This is because states and corporations are bound by the institutional structures they have created and ‘inhabit’, and in which they become deeply embedded - economically, socially and politically. These structures evolve over time, with the potential for this evolution both enabled and constrained in certain ways depending on the path dependence of existing institutions. For example, the post-World War II developmental states of East Asia have evolved into what are often termed ‘coordinated market economies’, while the earlier developing Anglo Saxon economies have tended towards more liberal forms of governance. The latter, in turn, may increasingly be conceived of as ‘regulatory states’. As such, established market economies are likely to work with their institutions of governance in the future, as in the past, in response both to the global challenges and opportunities they face. Emerging market economies and their multinational corporations also have considerable ‘room to move’ as they develop new institutions of governance in the process of emerging, just as the established economies did before them.

Dr. John Mikler’s research interests are primarily focussed on the role of transnational economic actors, particularly multinational corporations, and the interaction between them and states, international organisations and civil society. He is currently researching the intersection of public and private authority in world affairs, and the national institutional contexts that inform approaches to climate change mitigation.

When: Thursday 8 August 2013, 12 noon
Where: Room 276, Level 2, Merewether Building, University of Sydney

Eco-Business: A Big-Brand Takeover of Sustainability
Professor Peter Dauvergne, University of British Columbia

Co-Hosted by The Sydney Environment Institute, Sydney Network on Climate Change and Society and Sydney Ideas

After decades of mostly greenwashing efforts, big-brand companies like Walmart, Nike, Coca-Cola and McDonald’s are now competing surprisingly hard to position themselves as “sustainability leaders” — adopting farsighted goals and driving change through core operations and global supply chains. On the surface the prospects appear enticing and benefits are certainly resulting. Governments and advocacy groups are eagerly partnering to lend the companies credibility and leverage the governance potential. Yet, as Peter Dauvergne and his co-author Jane Lister reveal in their 2013 book Eco-Business, big-brand sustainability is bringing new and perhaps even greater dangers for people and the planet.

In a compelling account rich with intriguing evidence and important warnings, this book exposes how brand companies are taking over the concept of sustainability for “eco-business”: turning it into a tool to enhance corporate control and growth as well as project an image of corporate responsibility. In a globalizing world economy fraught with volatility and risks, eco-business is proving highly valuable for business, but fundamentally limits the potential for deeper solutions — ones that challenge and transform rather than reinforce and legitimize mass retail and discount consumerism.

Peter Dauvergne is Professor of International Relations and Director of the Liu Institute for Global Issues at the University of British Columbia. He has published 12 books and over 50 articles on the politics of global environmental change, with current research projects on sustainable consumption, corporate social responsibility, and social movements. His first book, Shadows in the Forest, won the International Studies Association’s 1998 Sprout Award for the best book in international environmental affairs. His 2008 book, The Shadows of Consumption, won the Gerald L. Young Book Award in Human Ecology. His other books include Eco-Business (2013, with Jane Lister), Timber (2011, with Jane Lister), Paths to a Green World, second edition (2011, with Jennifer Clapp), and Protest Inc.: The Corporatisation of Activism

When: Monday 12 August 2013, 6pm - 7:30pm
Where: Law School Foyer, Sydney Law School, University of Sydney
Cost: FREE (RSVP Required)
Global Social Justice Network (GloSo) Networking Event

Introducing the University of Sydney’s Global Social Justice Network (GloSo). GloSo is a free group for postgraduates focusing on professional development and networking for post graduate students with an interest in social justice (including development, law, peace and conflict, public health, human rights, international law and many other areas).

We hold support events and networking to bridge the “why” of global social justice explored at university with the practical “how” in local communities, government, business and international contexts. We are in the midst of planning a number of exciting events for this Semester. Our first event for 2nd Semester 2013 will be:

The GloSo Welcome Afternoon Tea (with sushi and nibbles)

The GloSo Welcome Afternoon Tea is an informal chance to meet the other students, volunteers and staff involved with GloSo and get to know each other in this picturesque courtyard in Old Teachers’ College. Please don’t forget to RSVP so that we can make sure we have enough snacks for everyone although of course you should still come along on the day if you haven’t RSVPed.

**When:** Tuesday 13 August 2013, 2pm - 4pm
**Where:** Confucius Courtyard, Old Teachers College, University of Sydney (MAP)
**Cost:** FREE (RSVP Required)
**Registration:** Please add your name here

The Australian Leadership Paradox

Co-Hosted by The Benevolent Society, Social Leadership Australia and the University of Sydney Business School and Sydney Ideas

How can we turn around the culture of complaint about Australian leadership?

Everywhere you turn, there is dissatisfaction with leadership in Australia today, especially in politics, but also in business and in the community. We blame those in power for not showing leadership yet habitually expect their protection and support. We’re seduced by new leaders but quickly cut them down then they don’t have a quick fix. We bang on about mateship and egalitarianism... but are we just denying the power we have, in this prosperous nation, to lead with real courage and vision?

In their new book, Australian Leadership Paradox, Geoff Aigner and Liz Skelton argue the root of the problem is a misunderstanding about what leadership really is, can and should be. Working with hundreds of leaders from government, business and community organisations, they have identified four paradoxes holding Australia back from effective leadership and show how we can develop leadership which is truly inspiring, sustainable and effective - if we can be honest, gutsy and imaginative enough to do the work.

Join Sydney Ideas for a presentation by Geoff Aigner and Liz Skelton on the key points of their findings, followed by a conversation and Q&A led by Professor Richard Hall from the University of Sydney Business School Social Leadership program.

**SPEAKERS:**

**Geoff Aigner** is the Director of Social Leadership Australia at The Benevolent Society and has hands-on experience in senior leadership roles and a deep understanding of change and leadership theory. He is also adjunct faculty at the Australian Graduate School of Management and the author of Leadership Beyond Good Intentions.

**Liz Skelton** is a senior manager, educator and consultant for Social Leadership Australia at The Benevolent Society. She has 18 years experience in leadership roles in Australia and the UK and has led adaptive change initiatives for business, government and community sectors on complex issues such as homelessness, drug reform and Indigenous leadership.

**When:** Tuesday 13 August 2013, 6 - 8:30pm
**Where:** Law School Foyer, Sydney Law School, University of Sydney
**Cost:** FREE (RSVP Required)
"The Universal Cannibalism of the Sea": Comparing Locke and Derrida’s accounts on Dominion, Property and Sovereignty over Animals
Dr Dinesh Wadiwel , Director, Masters of Human Rights

This paper seeks to mark the uncanny resemblance between John Locke’s discussion of the foundation of property rights, and Jacques Derrida’s reading of sovereignty and violence in the The Beast & the Sovereign Vol.2.

Locke’s theory of property, and the view of natural right he advances, is arguably one of the theoretical pillars underpinning the western tradition of human rights. Focusing on the First Treatise in the Two Treatises of Government, this paper will explore the arguments that found the property right in Locke, drawing attention in particular to the pivotal role of ownership of non human animals in conceptualising this right. Dinesh will further highlight the common drive for “self preservation” Locke ascribes to both humans and animals, and the development of property as an outcome of a contestation between a human and animal claim of self preservation. This paper will then compare Locke’s approach to that of Derrida in the The Beast & the Sovereign lectures. This paper will argue that effectively both Locke and Derrida posit a foundation for human sovereignty over animals as not based upon a factual claim to superior capability (intelligence, speech, reason etc) , but rather upon a superiority won as a result of violent contest.

Dinesh is a lecturer in human rights and socio-legal studies. He has previously taught in Sociology and Politics at the University of Western Sydney, Macquarie University and the University of Notre Dame Australia. Dinesh’s research interests include sovereignty and the nature of rights, violence, race and critical animal studies. At present he is exploring the way in which non-human animals are constructed within rights discourses, and the possibilities for using contemporary theories of sovereignty to reframe our understanding of violence towards non-humans. The research examines the relationship between non-human life and the political sphere, with the aim of understanding the political dimension of the relationships between humans and non-human animals, and extracting relevant implications for thinking about race, gender and disability.

IDHR Lunchtime Seminar Series
Semester Two Schedule

Wednesday 14 August 2013
"The Universal Cannibalism of the Sea": Comparing Locke and Derrida’s accounts on Dominion, Property and Sovereignty over Animals
Dr Dinesh Wadiwel , Director, Masters of Human Rights

Wednesday 4 September 2013
Rethining Migrants Rights?
Associate Professor Nicola Piper, Director, Masters of Human Rights and Democratisation
CHANGE OF VENUE: Room 316, Edward Ford Building (next door to RC Mills)

Wednesday 11 September 2013
Precarity of Place: Noncitizens and Human Rights Activism
Dr Susan Banki, Dept. of Sociology and Social Policy

Wednesday 25 September 2013
Symposium on Alex Lefebvre’s Book
Human Rights as a Way of Life: On Bergson’s Political Philosophy
Dr Alex Lefebvre, Dept of Philosophy

Wednesday 9 October 2013
Title To Be Confirmed
Associate Professor Danielle Celermajer, Director, Prevention of Torture Project

Wednesday 6 November 2013
Co-Hosted with the Sydney Environment Institute
The Problem of the Anthropocene
Professor David Schlosberg, Co-Director, Sydney Environment Institute

When: 12:30 - 2pm
Where: RC Mills Boardroom - 148, Level 1, RC Mills Building, University of Sydney

RSVP: idhr@sydney.edu.au
For more information on the IDHR Lunchtime Seminar Series, please visit our website
Western liberal democracies are rife with institutions that view massive restrictions on speech as essential to realising the ends of liberal democracies. In universities and schools, for example, no one thinks the classroom should be turned over to unregulated expression of opinion, without regard to cognitive value, civility, or pedagogical purpose. Professor Leiter’s focus shall be on the courts. In courts, the idea that the unbridled freedom of speech - the “unfettered exchange of ideas” to quote a typical formulation of the United States Supreme Court - has any value is rejected from the start. We recognize a plethora of epistemic reasons - reasons pertaining to the discovery of the truth - for restricting speech. There are obvious disanalogies between the courtroom and the polity at large, but the aim of this lecture is to explore those in some detail. Professor Leiter will argue that to the extent there are reasons to have less regulation of speech in society at large, these pertain only to the epistemic reliability of our institutional mechanisms for restricting speech.

Brian Leiter is Kari N. Llewellyn Professor of Jurisprudence and Director of the Center for Law, Philosophy & Human Values at the University of Chicago, where he has taught since 2008. He taught previously at the University of Texas at Austin. Professor Leiter teaches and writes primarily in the areas of moral, political, and legal philosophy, in both Anglophone and Continental traditions. He is the author of *Nietzsche on Morality* (Routledge, 2002), *Naturalizing Jurisprudence* (Oxford, 2007), and *Why Tolerate Religion?* (Princeton, 2013), as well as many articles in such journals as Ethics, Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, Philosopher’s Imprint, Social Philosophy & Policy, and the Journal of the History of Philosophy.

**When:** Wednesday 14 August 2013, 6 - 7:30pm  
**Where:** Sydney Law School, University of Sydney  
**Cost:** FREE (RSVP Required)  

---

**The Merits of Secularity and Contradictions of Theocracy: Religion Through Civil Society**  
**Professor Mohsen Kadivar, Duke University**  
**Hosted by The Institute for Democracy and Human Rights and Sydney Ideas**

Professor Mohsen Kadivar is a guest of the Competing Visions in the Muslim World Symposium at the University of Sydney.

Recent developments in Egypt and elsewhere have prompted a fundamental question concerning the role of Islamists groups in politics: to what extent can and should Muslims promote their faith through politics, in particular by ensuring that the state functions as a medium of their religious principles?

A conventional reply has it that Muslims who desire to live according to spiritual norms, religious ethics and Islamic law (Sharia) must form an Islamic state. Mohsen Kadivar argues that the understandable temptation to use political power as a means of reaching religious ends can in fact lead to the formation of a theocracy. By this he means a type of state in which Islamic law becomes state law and special legislative and judicial rights are granted to clerics and religious leaders. In this public lecture, Kadivar asks about the efficacy of a theocracy. From Muslims’ perspective, he will argue that in a secular age an Islamic state is the most detrimental way of promoting religious ethics, championing divine values and implementing Islamic law. Evidence is growing that the theocracy, or an Islamic state, inevitably damages religiosity and decreases its public appeal, which raises another fundamental question: in Muslim societies, are Islamic divine values and implementing Islamic law. Evidence is growing that theocracy, or an Islamic state, inevitably damages religiosity and decreases its public appeal.

Theologian and philosopher Mohsen Kadivar is one of Iran’s most prominent and respected advocates of the reconstruction and reform of Islamic theology, jurisprudence and politics. Imprisoned for 18 months for his political and religious views by the Iranian government, Kadivar was forced into exile. Since 2009, has taught Islamic studies at Duke University in the United States. Kadivar has published a number of influential books in Persian and Arabic, as well as a dozen essays in English. His scholarly interests span both classical and modern Islamic thought, with a special focus on Islamic philosophy, theology, law, ethics, Qur’anic studies and Shiite political thought. Click here for more information about Kadivar’s recent works.

**When:** Wednesday 14 August 2013, 6 - 7:30pm  
**Where:** Footbridge Theatre, Camperdown Campus, University of Sydney  
**Cost:** FREE (RSVP Required)  
2013 marks 150 years since the first of 55,000 Pacific Islander labourers (known as Australian South Sea Islanders or ‘ASSI’) were brought to Australia between 1863-1901, partly by kidnapping and in slave-like conditions to develop the sugar cane, pastoral and maritime industries. In 1901 the new Federal Parliament passed an Act to deport the entire community as part of the White Australian Policy, reducing their numbers from 10,000 to just over 1,000, one of the cruelest acts in Australian history. The Islander community was devastated but the few who were able to remain gradually built up again over generations.

Over the past 20 years numerous community members have been involved in “The call for recognition” – a community initiated movement seeking federal government recognition of this community as a disadvantaged ethnic identity within Australia. Diligent political lobbying by the descendants of these people begun by Faith Bandler AO in the 1970s, has created a momentum among state and federal government representatives for proper recognition and assistance of the Australian South Sea Islander community. They gained the support of the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission (HREOC, 1992) and in 1994 HEROC findings bought about 1994 Commonwealth recognition as a disadvantaged ethnic group. Despite this, little has changed over the last twenty years although ASSI’s suffer the same disadvantages as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities and remain marginalised, facing the ongoing consequences of cultural kidnapping, identity, loss of family and severe lack of government services in education and well-being. We invite you to discuss this pertinent issue with a panel of representatives from current governments, historians and ASSI representatives to outline the present situation and plans that are in development for formal ongoing assistance to Australian South Sea Islander peoples.

The forum will be opened by ASSI.PJ patron Mrs Bonita Mabo AO.

**PANELLISTS:**
- Emelda Davis (Moderator)
- The Hon. Alex Greenwich MP
- Shireen Mallamoo
- Jeff McMullen
- Professor Clive Moore
- Matt Magas
- Professor Gracelyn Smallwood, AO MSc, RN

**When:** Tuesday 20 August 2013, 6 - 7:30pm  
**Where:** Sydney Law School Foyer, Sydney Law School  
**Cost:** FREE (registration essential)  
**RSVPS:** Click [here](#) to register

---

**JSI Roundtable: The Migration of Proportionality across the World**  
**Hosted by Sydney Law School**

This discussion explores the migration of proportionality across practices of adjudication of human and constitutional rights with the aim of illuminating an answer to the question whether that migration is justified. It will begin with a presentation by Dr Bernal-Pulido, which proceeds in an introduction and two parts. The introduction explains the main concerns arising from the migration of proportionality. The first part accounts for the spread of proportionality across the world. On the basis of an analysis of the particular reasons behind those migrations, the second part argues for a justification common to them, namely, that proportionality is normatively necessary for the adjudication of constitutional rights. Professor Alec Stone Sweet will then respond. The session will conclude with an open discussion.

**Speakers:**
- **Dr Carlos Bernal-Pulido** is a Senior Lecturer at Macquarie Law School. He has research interests in the fields of jurisprudence, comparative constitutional law and constitutional theory. He has published widely in all these fields in seven different languages. He is currently writing a book on proportionality and socio-economic constitutional rights.
- **Professor Alec Stone-Swet** works in the fields of comparative and international politics, comparative and international law, and European integration. His most recent book is *A Europe of Rights: The Impact of the ECHR on National Legal Systems* (2008). He is presently engaged in a long-term project on the development of (private, a-national) systems of governance for transnational business.

**When:** Tuesday 29th August 2013, 6 - 7:30pm  
**Where:** Faculty Common Room, Level 4, Sydney Law School, University of Sydney  
**Cost:** FREE (registration essential)  
**RSVPS:** Click [here](#) to register
**Everything Political** is an events newsletter designed to publicise details of all forthcoming research and public events in the field of politics, very broadly defined. The newsletter is not confined to events (co-)hosted by the University of Sydney; it hopes to include all interested parties who wish to publicise and promote politics-themed events. Everything Political will be published on the last Thursday of each month.

Everything Political is a new public service to all members of staff, and to interested publics outside the University. Its main purpose is to raise the level of visibility and co-operation among research and teaching staff interested in politics. The newsletter will enable people to plan their events in advance, so avoiding clashes and duplication.

**Event Submission**
Everything Political is an initiative of staff based at the University of Sydney, the University of Western Sydney and the University of New South Wales. It will be published on the last Thursday of each month. If you wish to submit details of an event for inclusion in the newsletter, please email: idhr@sydney.edu.au by the 17th of the month. We require the following information for every event:

- Brief summary of the event, including titles, speakers, relevant subject details and details of the sponsoring organisations
- When, where (maps included, where possible), cost and RSVP or further information details
- An image in JPEG, GIF or High Res format related to your event

**Subscription**
Please email your details including name, organisation (where applicable) and email address to idhr@sydney.edu.au