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— whether provisions “reasonably appropriate and adapted”, 386, 388
“property”, damages for personal injury, as, 252
races power, 462
representative government, what is, 384
rights
— implied, 67, 379
— interpretation of, 66–72
— recognition of, 18
separation of powers, 428, 446–450, 455
See also: Bill of Rights; Judiciary; Legislature

CONSUMER RIGHTS
contractual protection, 8, 9

CONTRACTS
commercial contracts, 8–11
consumer rights, 8
implied terms
— business efficacy, 11
— general duty of good faith, 11
— implied by law, 11
— implied in fact, 11
— test of necessity, 11
intention of parties, 9
interpretation, 8–11
mistake, 9
prior negotiations, 10
subsequent conduct, 10
See also: Interpretation

COPYRIGHT
“literary work”, definition of, 399
Spicer Report, 401, 402

television broadcast copyright
— “broadcast”, what constitutes, 394, 398–404
— “broadcasting”, what is, 396
— commercial interests, whether harmed, 393
— duration of broadcast, 394
— infringement, what constitutes, 398–407
— interpretation of definitional provisions, 393–398
— legislative history, 401
— ownership
— of subject matter, 396–398
— scope of rights of owner, 396–399
— “re-broadcasting”, effect, 397
— scope of, 398–407
— “substantial part” of broadcast
— context of dissemination, 407
— “discernible market value” of part, 406, 407
— fact and degree, 404
— how to determine, 404
— quality of broadcast, 405, 407
— requirement for, 393, 395, 398
— skill, labour and judgment involved in
creation of broadcast, 405
— use made of part, 406
— unit of programming, what is, 401–404
where right subsists, 399
Whitford Committee (UK), 402

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE
See: Corporations

CORPORATIONS
corporate governance
— ASX guidelines, 555–566
— Best Practice Recommendations, 556
— Cadbury Code of Best Practice (UK), 556
— remunerate fairly and responsibly, 557, 558
directors
— equitable compensation for wrongdoing by,
45–47
— remuneration, guidelines for, 555–566
executives, guidelines for remuneration, 555–566
limited liability, use of principle to avoid debt,
364
mass tort liability, responses to, 223–254
remuneration
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

— ASX guidelines, 555–566
— determination of, 558
— directors and executives, excessive payments, 555
— disclosure
  — actual remuneration, 560–562
  — Annual Report, 564
  — bonuses, 563
  — content, 561, 562
  — continuous, 565
  — form, 562–564
  — options, 563
  — privacy concerns, 565
  — public interest, 565
  — shares, 563
  — standardisation of, 562
  — timing, 564
  — valuation, 563
— Greenbury Committee (UK), 557, 558
— linking rewards to performance, 557, 559
— outcome, 557, 560–565
— process, 557–560
— remuneration committees, 558
— separate legal personality, use of
  — in asbestos industry, 234, 244
  — transfer of property to prior to bankruptcy, 364
— shareholders
  — disclosure of actual remuneration to, 561
  — excessive remuneration to directors, cost to, 555
  — interests of, 557, 562, 565
— undercapitalised subsidiaries, use of for asbestos processes, 234
See also: Insolvency

CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY
See: International Criminal Court; International Law

CRIMINAL LAW
— arrest and detention
  — arbitrary, 94
  — powers of police, 91, 380, 390
  — unlawful, 380
— begging, 79
— burden and standard of proof
  — criminal allegations in civil proceedings, 187
  — in claim of insanity, 195
— Chamberlain convictions, Royal Commission, 154
— character defect, 213–216
— choice in criminal conduct, 213–215
— crimes against humanity, 518
— criminal process, theories of, 315–321
— criminal responsibility, 191, 213–219
— defences
  — automatism, 195, 196
  — insanity, 190–221
  — M’Naughten Rules, 190–195, 205
  — self-defence, 380
— distributing material with insulting words, 379, 380, 388–390
— future criminal activity, power to detain for, 91
— genocide, 455, 456, 518
— habitual drunkenness, 80, 92
— homelessness, offences targeted at, 75–95
— imprisonment, costs of, 92, 93
— innocence, presumption of, 94, 285, 286
— insulting words, 379, 380, 388–390
— international crime
  — Australian jurisdiction to prosecute for, 507, 508
  — co-operative crime prevention agreements between nations, 305, 315, 320, 321
  — “co-operative internationalism”, 305, 315, 320
  — rape, 517, 524
— moral responsibility for criminal conduct, 213
— no visible means of support, 79
— police resources, 92
— presumption of innocence, 94, 285, 286
— prison, costs of, 93
— rape, as international crime, 517
— right to legal representation, 94
— terrorism
  — offences relating to, 285
  — onus of proof, 285
  — privilege against self-incrimination, 285, 286
  — right to silence, 285
— using insulting words, 379, 380, 388–390
— vagrancy
  — ACT provisions, 81
  — arrest and detention powers of police, 91
  — costs of enforcement, 92
  — crime prevention effect of laws, 83, 86, 87
  — defences available, 91
  — enforcement of laws, 82
  — history of offence, 76, 77
  — justification for laws against, 82–86
  — NSW provisions, 81
  — Olympics legislation, 81
  — punishment, 92, 93
  — Queensland provisions, 75, 78
  — Tasmanian provisions, 81
  — Western Australian provisions, 80
— whether laws fair, 90–92
— war crimes, 509, 518
See also: Homelessness; International Criminal Court; International Law; Mental Illness; Privilege Against Self-Incrimination
DAMAGES
assessment for personal injury and death, book review, 409–412
burden of proof, 173–175
common law principles, 41, 54
equitable compensation, distinction, 37
exemplary damages in equity, 57–61
measure of, 173
mitigation, burden of proof, 174
“property”, as, 252
See also: Civil Litigation; Equitable Compensation; Torts

DEFAMATION
as burden on implied freedom of political communication, 382

DEMOCRACY
fundamental principles of, 276
representative government, description of, 384
See also: Human Rights

derogation
See: International Law

detention
See: Children; Human Rights; International Law

directors
See: Corporations

discrimination
burden of proof, 538–551
compensation for discriminatory operation of laws, 268
employer, need to disprove discrimination, 541–546, 552
employment, proof of, 535–554
inferences of, 539–551
international law, 94, 299
racial discrimination
— complainant, disadvantage faced by, 538, 539, 551–553
— conscious/subconscious level, 538, 541, 549, 552
— definition, 536
— direct, 536
— employment, 535–554
— English approach, 545–547
— “Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”, 550
— indirect, 536
— inferences, 539–551
— proof of, 535–554
— “public face” of organisation, reference to, 548
— statistical evidence, use of, 548, 553
— systemic bias, 552, 553
— test for, 537
sexual orientation, requirement to hide, 109–114
standard of proof, 539, 540, 547–551
See also: Human Rights

dust diseases
See: Asbestos Litigation

duties
See: Equity

education
See: Law Schools

employees
definition, 471, 501, 502
fiduciary duty to employer, 57, 58
racial discrimination, proof of, 535–554

employment
complaint handling, discrimination in, 544
racial discrimination, proof of, 535–554
termination of, discrimination in, 545

equitable compensation
apportionment, 34
causation, 34, 41, 44, 45
circumstances in which awarded, 34
consequential loss, 34
custodial fiduciaries, duties in respect of trust fund, 35–50
director of company, wrongdoing by, 45–47
duty of loyalty and fidelity, breach of, 50–53
duty of skill and care, breach of, 53–56
equitable wrongdoing, compensation for, 33
heads of damage, 34
jurisdiction, nature of, 35
limits on, 40–45
mitigation, 34
quantification, 43, 44
reparative compensation, distinction, 33, 36–45, 49, 50
role of, 34, 35
substitute compensation, 35–38
trusts
— breach of, 38–45
— order for account of administration of trust fund, 35–40
— types, 42

equity
breach of equitable duty, 32
custodial fiduciaries, duties in respect of trust fund
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

ACCOUNTABILITY, 35
ADMINISTRATION OF TRUST, 35, 36
DISTRIBUTION TO BENEFICIARIES, 36
ENFORCEMENT, 35, 36, 56
FAULT OF, 46
LIABILITY OF, 47
NATURE OF CLAIM AGAINST, 47, 48
ORDERS AGAINST, 56, 57
DUTIES
COMMONWEALTH JURISDICTIONS, 51
EMPLOYEES, DUTY TO EMPLOYERS, 57
EQUITABLE DUTIES, 31–33, 49, 53
FIDUCIARY DUTIES, 32, 33, 35–53, 57
LOYALTY AND FIDELITY, 50–53
NEW ZEALAND CASES, 52
NO-CONFLICT DUTY, 50
NO-PROFIT DUTY, 50, 59
SKILL AND CARE IN DISCHARGE OF DUTIES, 53–56
EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, 57–61
PUNISHMENT, JURISDICTION, 57–60
RIGHT TO OBTAIN REMEDY, 33
TRUST AND CONFIDENCE, RELATIONSHIP OF, 50–53
WRONGS, 31
SEE ALSO: EQUITABLE COMPENSATION; REMEDIES

EVIDENCE
DISCRIMINATION, STANDARD REQUIRED, 539, 550, 551
EXCLUSION OF “JUNK SCIENCE” EVIDENCE, 148
EXPERT
ACCURACY, 160, 161
BIAS, 138
COMPLEXITY OF, 134, 135
LAW REFORM, 139–143, 155–162
OBJECTIVITY
– DISPUTES OVER, 135–138
– LIMITS OF, 132–139
– PARTISANSHIP, 143–146, 150
– POLITICAL NATURE OF, 134, 135, 143–146
– PREFERRED, 137
“JUNK SCIENCE”, 143, 146–148
OBJECTIVITY, 132–163
SEE ALSO: WITNESSES

EXPERT EVIDENCE
SEE: EVIDENCE; WITNESSES

FAMILY LAW
CONTRIBUTIONS TO PROPERTY, 376, 376
CUSTODY OF CHILDREN, STANDARD OF PROOF, 185, 186
DIVORCE, STANDARD OF PROOF, 182
DOMESTIC LABOUR, 377
JOINT TASKFORCE ON THE USE OF BANKRUPTCY AND FAMILY LAW SCHEMES TO AVOID PAYMENT OF TAX, 361
PROPERTY INTERESTS
– ALTERATION OF PROPERTY INTERESTS, 362, 370, 371, 375, 376
– DOCTRINE OF UNITY, ABOLITION OF, 375
– USE OF PROPERTY ORDERS IN BANKRUPTCY, 370–378

FAMILY TRUSTS
SEE: TRUSTS

FIDUCIARY DUTIES
SEE: EQUITY

FREEDOM OF SPEECH
SEE: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FUNDAMENTALISM
AUSTRALIAN, 424
ISLAMIC EXTREMISM, 292–294, 301
SEE ALSO: TERRORISM

GENDER
CROSS-DRESSER, REFUGEE CLAIM BY, 116
HOMOPHOBIC VIOLENCE, 122
PUBLIC DISPLAY OF SEXUALITY, RIGHT TO, 104–108
REFUGEE CLAIM BASED ON, 97–124
SEE ALSO: REFUGEES

GENOCIDE
SEE: CRIMINAL LAW; INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT; INTERNATIONAL LAW

GIFTS
SEE: PROPERTY

GLOBALISATION
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL LAW AND DOMESTIC LAW, 424, 425
SEE ALSO: INTERNATIONAL LAW

GOVERNMENT
SEE: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW; DEMOCRACY

HANSARD
USE IN INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES, 13–16

HOMELESS PERSONS
IMPRISONMENT OF, 77
INDIGENOUS, 88
INTELLECTUALLY DISABLE, 78, 90
PUNISHMENT FOR, 86, 92–94
REMOVAL FROM PUBLIC PLACES, 85
TARGETING BY POLICE, 87, 90–92
YOUNG PEOPLE, 89
SEE ALSO: CRIMINAL LAW

HUMAN RIGHTS
ANTI-TERRORISM LEGISLATION, IMPACT ON, 277–286
AUSTRALIA’S INTERNATIONAL LAW OBLIGATIONS, 434, 517
democracy, fundamental principle of, 276
derogation from international obligations, 286–
292
detention
— arbitrary, 94, 282, 283
— children, 285, 286, 302
— foreign nationals, 279
— non-suspects, 282, 301
— review of lawfulness, 280
European Court of Human Rights, 281
freedom of association, 115
freedom of expression, 115
fundamental rights, existence of, 18
interpretation of legislation, 17–19
judicial review, access to, 280, 281, 284, 285
privacy against self-incrimination, 285, 286, 316
religious expression, 63, 112
right to silence, 285
sexuality
— European Court of Human Rights,
  decisions on, 111
— United Nations Human Rights Committee,
  opinions by, 111
— whether fundamental human rights attach
to, 109–117
treaties
— implementation of, 517
— whether reservations possible, 515
See also: Bill of Rights; Children; Homeless
  Persons; International Law; Privilege Against
  Self Incrimination; Refugees
IMMIGRATION
dictation test, 126
Tampa affair, 127
White Australia Policy, 126
See also: Citizenship; National Security;
  Refugees
INCOME TAX
See: Taxation
INDUSTRIAL LAW
“employee” definition of, use in tax law, 471, 501,
  502
tax law, application of precedents to, 471
INSANITY
See: Criminal Law; Mental Illness
INSOLVENCY
administration order (UK), 238, 241–243, 250
amelioration of tort claims, to protect business,
  245, 246
Ansett Australia Ltd, 238
court, requirement for leave, 239
creditors, monitoring by, 251
distinction from bankruptcy, 224
requirement for in bankruptcy, 227, 238, 250
scheme of arrangement, 238
voluntary administration, 238, 251
See also: Bankruptcy
INSURANCE CONTRACTS
burden of proof
INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT
Australia’s participation
— accused person, surrender to Court, 515,
  531, 532
— Attorney–General, consent to prosecution,
  531, 532
— compliance with obligations, 508, 532, 533
— declaration, 513–515
— domestic debate over, 424, 434
— JSCOT recommendations, 512, 517, 518
— retention of right and power to prosecute,
  508
— sentences, enforcement of, 508
admissibility of cases, 512
defences, 529, 530
implementing legislation
— offences, 519–532
— primacy of Australian jurisdiction, to
  ensure, 507, 520
— timing of, 445
inauguration, 507–511
judges, 507, 511
nuclear weapons, inclusion of, 515
offences
— command responsibility, 528, 529
— created by Rome statute, 516
— crimes against humanity, 523–526
— crimes against the administration of justice
  of the ICC, 530, 531
— elements, 519
— genocide, 520–523
— rape, 524
— sexual slavery, 524
— torture, 525
— trafficking in women and children, 524
— war crimes, 526–528
opt-out clause, 512
principle of complementarity, 511, 512
ratification
— Australia, 509, 512–516
— failures, 510
superior orders, 528
universal jurisdiction, 510
INTERNATIONAL LAW
arbitrary arrest or detention, 94
crimes against humanity, 518, 523–526
criminal law
— co-operative crime prevention agreements between nations, 305, 315, 320, 321
— “co-operative internationalism”, 305, 315, 320
— International Criminal Court, 424, 434, 507–534
— piracy, 444
customary international law
— genocide, 455, 456
— incorporation or transformation, 451, 452, 456, 457
detention
— arbitrary, 94, 282, 283
— foreign nationals, 279
— non-suspects, 282, 301
— review of lawfulness, 280
— visit by UN to place of detention, 434
discrimination, 94, 299
discipline of inter-se, 438
domestic law, relationship with
— ambiguities in common law, resolution of, 454, 455
— assumption of international obligations, 431–435
— Australia’s participation in international law, 438
— criminalisation of offences, 444
— customary international law, 451–457
— debate at Constitutional Conventions, 428–431
— environmental obligations, 444
— Executive government, role of, 431–438
— Executive Statements, clarification of law, 437, 440, 450
— federal balance, effect on, 426
— genocide, whether part of, 455, 456
— human rights obligations, 434, 444
— incorporation, 426, 451, 452
— implementation of international obligations
  – Constitutional power, 436, 439, 444
  – inconsistencies, 436
  – legislation, 435–438, 444–446, 448, 516–519
  – State co-operation, 436, 440
  – timing of legislation, 445
  – universal jurisdiction offences, 444
— industrial relations, 444
— Judiciary, role of, 446–463
— Legislature, role of, 438–444
— legitimate expectation ratified treaties will be observed, 270, 437, 446, 449, 450, 516, 517
— Parliament, role of, 428, 438
— relationship with Australian Constitution, 425, 430
— source of power in relation to, 428–430
— sovereignty, protection of, 434
— trade liberalisation, 435
— transformation, 426, 451, 452
— treaties, effect, 446–450
— variation between governments, 432, 433
European Commission, 288
European Court, 288, 513
European law as part of English law, 311
genocide
— International Criminal Court, 522, 523
— international jurisdiction to prosecute, 508
— whether part of Australian law, 455, 456, 518
indigenous people, 258
interpretation, as aid to, 457–463, 517
interpretation of obligations, 435, 437, 513
judicial review, access to, 280, 281, 284, 285
legal representation, right to, 94, 453, 454
Multilateral Agreement on Investment, 442
native title, role in, 255, 258, 270, 271
Nuremburg trials, 508
presumption of innocence, 94, 285, 286
procedural fairness, 281, 286
rape, 517, 524
role of, 424
Rwanda, International Criminal Tribunal, 509, 523, 524
Sierra Leone, Special Court, 509
South Africa, truth and reconciliation commissions, 509
Strasbourg authorities, 288
Tokyo trials, 508
torture
— international crime, 525
— Torture Convention, rejection of Optional Protocol by Australia, 434
trade liberalisation, 435
transformation, 426
treaties
— Australian Treaties Library, 440
— declaration, legal effect, 512–515
— derogation from
  – assessment of risk from public emergency, 293
  – circumstances in which lawful, 277
  – consistency with other obligations, 292, 299, 302
  – discrimination, between nationalities, 299
  – good faith requirement, 290, 295
  – international rules on, 286–288
  – notification of public emergency, 290
  – proclamation of public emergency, 290, 295
  – proportionality of emergency measures, 291, 296–298, 301
  – “public emergency”, existence of, 288–290, 293, 295, 300, 301
requirements for, 277, 286–292
terrorism, 292–302
drafting of, responsibility for, 431
Executive government, role in, 431, 432, 440, 444
Free Trade Agreement with Singapore, 435, 446
Free Trade Agreement with USA, 435
historic prerogative, 448
Joint Standing Committee on Treaties, 440–444, 512, 517, 518
margin of appreciation, 289, 291
Multilateral Agreement on Investment, 442
National Interest Analysis, 439, 445
negotiation of, 431
Parliament
role in treaty making, 428, 444
scrutiny by, 431
tabling requirements, 441
private rights, affecting, 448
ratification of, 431, 446–450, 512–516
reservations, legal effect, 513
review of treaty making reforms, 440
Rome Statute, 507–534
Senate inquiry into treaty making power, 439
Torture Convention, rejection of Optional Protocol by Australia, 434
Treaties Council, 440
UN treaty committee system, review of, 433
withdrawal from, 432
United Nations Industrial Development Organisation, 432
universal jurisdiction
International Criminal Court, 510
power of judiciary, 456
power to legislate with respect to, 444
war crimes
ad hoc tribunals, 509
defence, 523
domestic legislation, 518
International Criminal Court, 526–528
World Trade Organisation, 435
Yugoslavia, International Criminal Tribunal, 509, 523
See also: Human Rights; Terrorism
INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM
See: Terrorism
INTERPRETATION
abrogation against fundamental rights and freedoms, presumption against, 461
ambiguity, 6
bill of rights, 17–19
construction in accordance with international obligations, presumption, 457–460
contextual meaning of words, 6–8
contracts
consumer protection, 8
exemption clauses, 9
fairness, 9
implication of terms, 11
intention of parties, 9
mistake, 9
pre-contractual negotiations, 10
subsequent conduct, 10
extrinsic materials, 7
Hansard, use of, 13
international law as aid, 457–463
legislation, 11–16, 457–463
literalism, 7, 16, 395
primacy of text, 7
purpose of, 6, 7
purposive approach, 7, 16, 397
reasonable person test, 8
rules applicable to, 5, 6
tax laws, 15
See also: Legislation
INVESTMENT
China, restrictions on foreign, 339, 343–345, 356–359
Foreign Investment Review Board, 358
JUDICIAL REVIEW
access to under anti-terrorism laws, 280, 281, 284, 285
JUDICIARY
AIJA survey on expert evidence, 143–146
income tax complexity, role in, 470–480
interpretation of bill of rights, 66–72
judicial concept of income, 471–474
judicial understanding of expert evidence, 146
literalism, 476
precedents, misapplication of, 470–474
role in law/social policy making, 66–72
UK judgments, reliance on, 474–476
LAND LAW:
See: Native Title; Property
LAND RIGHTS
See: Native Title
LAW REVIEWS
as measurement of academic achievement, 24
Rodell, Professor Fred, 21–25
role of, 21–30
LAW SCHOOLS
academic achievement, measurement of, 24
funding practices, 24
promotion of academics, 24
LEGAL WRITING
footnotes, 28
plain language, 29
style, 29
See also: Law Reviews

LEGISLATION
abrogation against fundamental rights and freedoms, presumption against, 461
bill of rights, 17–19
construction in accordance with international obligations, presumption, 457–460
drafters of tax law, contribution to complexity, 483, 484
interpretation
— bills of rights, 17–19
— copyright legislation, definitional provisions, 393, 395–398
— exclusionary rule, 15
— Hansard, 13–16
— intention of parliament, 13–16
— international law, as aid to, 457–463
— Minister’s view, 14, 16
— purposive methods, 12
plain English, use of in tax law, 483, 484, 491–497
source of law, as, 5

LEGISLATURE
cartribution to tax law complexity, 486–490

LEHANE, JOHN
memorial lecture, 5

LITIGATION
See: Civil Litigation; Torts

MAREVA ORDER
expansion of by High Court, 252

MENTAL ILLNESS
anti-social personality disorder, 216
behaviour, effect on, 198
behavioural/organic dichotomy, 204
“biopsychosocial” model of mental disorder, 201, 202
cause of illness, relevance in criminal law, 197
cognitive competency
— definition, 221
— measurement of, 212
compulsive volitional disorder, effect on conduct, 216–218
concept formation, impairment of, 209
criminal history and homelessness, 78
“dangerousness”, measure of, 199
definition, 205, 206
delusions, definition, 207, 208
depression, effect on conduct, 216
“disease of the mind”, 192, 193, 196–205
DSM–IV, 201, 202, 207
functional impairment, as characteristic feature, 205
hallucination, definition, 207, 209
“health”, concept of, 200
“illness”, concept of, 200
legal defence to criminal act
— automatism, 195, 196
— insane automatism, 195
— sane automatism, 195, 196
— causation, 198
— cognition and volition, 193, 217
— cognitive aspects of behaviour, focus on, 192
— cognitive disfunction, requirement of, 207
— defects of reasoning, 193, 205–213
— detention, 195
— insanity
— burden of proof, 195
— M’Naughten Rules, 190–195, 205, 220
— reformulation of defence, 190, 220
— nature of mental impairment, 207
— reform of law, 205, 212, 218–220
— responsibility for criminal conduct, 213–219
legal term compared with medical term, 192, 197, 198, 200, 201, 221
medical model of mental illness, 203, 205
“mental impairment”, definition, 221
non-cognitive impairment, 217, 218
rationality
— concept of, 210, 211
— critique of, 209–212
— practical reasoning, 206–210
— rational agency, theory of, 207
— reasoning, capacity for, 206–213
“reasons”, definition, 221
schizophrenia, characteristics of, 201, 209
self-conception, 216
Szasz’s critique, 203, 204
See also: Criminal Law

MOTOR VEHICLES
burden of proof in actions against nominal defendant, 178

NATIONAL SECURITY
Special Immigration Appeals Commission (UK), 280, 281, 295, 298, 300
See also: Terrorism

NATIONALISM
Australian, 424, 425
See also: Fundamentalism; Terrorism
NATIVE TITLE
adverse dominion test, 263, 271
Argyle Diamond Mine, 256, 265
bundle of rights analogy, use of, 255, 259
Canada, 271
certainty of tenure, 261
cultural knowledge protection, 255, 258
elements to be satisfied under legislation, 256, 261
extinguishment
— high court finding, 255–272
— irreversibility, 260, 261
— legislation, 261
— practical application, 264–266
— principles of, 260–264
— rationale for partial extinguishment, 259
— test for in event of inconsistency, 263
— test for partial extinguishment, 255, 269
fishing rights, 267, 271
inconsistency of incidents test, 255, 263, 271
international law, role of in determination, 255, 270, 271
mining leases, 265, 266, 269
operational inconsistency, 263
Ord River irrigation project, 256, 263
pastoral lease, coexistence, 260, 264, 269
previous possession acts, 263
priority disputes, 271
resources, right to, 255, 266, 267
spiritual connection with land, 257
types of rights, 256, 259

NEGLECT
See: Civil Litigation; Torts

NOMINAL DEFENDANT
burden of proof in actions against, 178

NORTHERN IRELAND
terrorism, legislative counter measures, 277, 278

OBLIGATIONS
See: Equity

PERSONAL INJURY
See: Civil Litigation; Torts

PLAIN LANGUAGE
legal writing, in, 29
tax law, in, 483, 484

POLICE
accountability, 385

executive government, as part of, 385
insults against, 379–390
obstructing police, 379
powers of arrest, 91, 380, 390

POPPER, KARL
falsification used to demarcate science/non-science, 148

PRIVACY
disclosure of directors remuneration, 565
state compulsion to testify, infringement of, 317
See also: Privilege Against Self-Incrimination

PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW
See: Choice of Law

PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF INCRIMINATION
anti-terrorism legislation, 285, 286
application of, 307, 308
human right, as, 316, 317
invocation of
— how to invoke, 307, 308
— when may be invoked, 307, 315
rationales for
— crime control theories, 318–320
— Instrumental rationale, 315–318
— Personality rationale, 315–318
right to silence, distinguished, 305
risk of prosecution in foreign jurisdiction
— Australian position, 306, 311, 312
— common law position, 306, 309–311
— disadvantages of extra-territorial operation of privilege, 319
— other countries, positions of, 306, 311
— proposed new test for application of privilege, 323
— test for application of privilege, 307
— United States position, 306, 312–315, 320
United States, 306, 308, 309

PROOF
See: Civil Litigation; Criminal Law

PROPERTY
alteration of interests in family law, 362, 370, 371, 375, 376
assignment of, 364
dispositions of property within families, 365, 370
doctrine of unity, abolition of, 375
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gifts, avoidance by trustee in bankruptcy, 365, 370
land, certainty in tenure, 261
“protective” transfers to family members, 370
right to own and freely alienate, 375
tax law, application of precedents to, 471
See also: Bankruptcy; Equity; Family Law;
Native Title
PUBLIC SPACE
removal of homeless people, 85
PUBLIC WELFARE
justification for criminalisation of vagrancy, 82,
83
RACIAL DISCRIMINATION
See: Discrimination
RACIAL VILIFICATION
See: Discrimination
REAL PROPERTY
See: Property
REFUGEES
definition, 98
discretion or secrecy to avoid attention of
authorities, 99, 100, 103–107, 114, 120, 122
particular social group
— discrimination, 118
— “for reasons of” group membership, 121
— homosexuals, whether basis for claim, 98
— how to define, 117–123
— risk to all members, no requirement for, 120
— women, 118, 121
persecution
— future risk, 102, 119, 123
— nexus, 121
— suppression of homosexuality to avoid, 99,
100, 103–107, 114, 120, 122
political expression, 114
religion, claim based on, 112
RELIGIOUS FREEDOM
See: Human Rights; Refugees
REMEDIES
accounting for profits, 33
performance, order for, 33, 56
proprietary remedy, 33, 34
See also: Damages; Equitable Compensation
REPRESENTATIVE-GOVERNMENT
See: Democracy
RESOURCES
Argyle Diamond Mine, 256, 265
fishing rights, 267
mining leases, impact on native title, 265, 266
native title right to, 255, 266, 267
RESTITUTION
compensation, distinction, 33
unjust enrichment, 33
See also: Equitable Compensation
REVIEWS
See: Law Reviews
RIGHTS
See: Bill of Rights; Human Rights
SCIENCE
judicial understanding of expert evidence, 146
“junk science”, use in expert opinion evidence,
143, 146–148
SEXUAL ORIENTATION
refugee claim based on, 97–124
See also: Gender; Refugees
SHAREHOLDERS
protection of interests in asbestos litigation, 228,
251, 252
SILENCE, RIGHT TO
anti-terrorism legislation, removal of, 285
privilege against self-incrimination,
distinguished, 305
SOCIAL WELFARE
justification for criminalisation of vagrancy, 82,
83
tax system as vehicle for, 487–490
STANDARD OF PROOF
See: Civil Litigation
STATUTES
See: Legislation
STATUTORY CAUSES OF ACTION
See: Civil Litigation
TAXATION
advisers, role in complexity of law, 480–483
amortisation regimes, 485, 493–495
assessments, burden of proof, 176
Australian Taxation Office
— ANAO report on tax evasion investigation, 371
— as creditor in bankruptcy, 361, 373
— failure to address emerging tax problems, 484–486

avoidance or minimisation
— anti-avoidance provisions, 473, 487, 488
— schemes, 473
— tax advisers, role of, 480–483
— use of bankruptcy, 361–378

capital gains
— “capital events”, 496
— simplification of law, 495, 496, 504
— subsidy for, 489, 504

depreciation, simplification of law, 493–495, 499–501

fringe benefits tax, 476

government policy
— cause of complexity in laws, 493
— economy, intervention in, 487
— response to judicial decisions, 486, 487
— social and political objectives, 487–490

income tax
— annuity, loan agreement recast as, 478
— anti-avoidance provisions, 473
— business goodwill, 471–473
— Commonwealth legislation, history of, 469
— complexity
  — accountants, role in, 480–483
  — amortisation regimes, 485
  — causes, 469, 499
  — drafters of laws, 483, 484
  — judiciary, role in, 470–480
  — lawyers, role in, 480–483
  — legislature, role in, 486–490
  — literalist interpretation of law, 476–480
  — misapplied precedents, 470–474, 493
  — plain English redraft, 483, 484
  — solutions, 499–505
— subsidies through tax expenditures, 490, 502–505
— tax advisers, role in, 480–483
— Treasury department, role in, 484–486
— undefined concepts in legislation, 472, 501, 502
— “employee”, meaning, 472
— income
  — defining, 470–474
  — eligible termination payment, 475
  — judicial concept of income, 470–474, 479
  — “negative covenant” remuneration, 475, 476
  — tax expenditures, use of, 487–489, 502–506
  — unrealised gains, inclusion of, 473
— windfall gains, inclusion of, 473
— reimbursed expense, 479
— reforms, lack of, 484
— schemes to avoid or minimise, 473, 477, 478
— small business concessions, 489, 490, 503, 504
— spending vehicle, as, 487–489
— UK precedents, reliance on, 474–476
— interpretation
  — “fallacy of the transplanted category”, 470
  — in favour of taxpayer, 13–16
  — judicial concept of income, 470–474, 479
  — legal form or economic substance, 479
  — purposive/literalist debate, 476–480
— Joint Taskforce on The Use of Bankruptcy and Family Law Schemes to Avoid payment of Tax, 361, 370–378

Ralph Review of business taxation, 495, 497

Reform of the Australian Tax System, Draft White Paper 1985, 468

simplification
— definitions, need for, 501, 502
— plain English drafting, 483–486, 491–497
— Simplified Tax System for small business, 490
— structural flaws, need to address, 499–501
— tax expenditures, need to address, 502–505
— tax value method, 497–499
— what is, 467–469

small business sector, subsidies, 489, 490, 503, 504

subsidy program, use of tax expenditures for, 487–489

tax expenditures, government preference for, 487–489, 502–505
tax value method, 497–499

See also: Bankruptcy

TELECOMMUNICATIONS LAW

commercial disputes, resolution of, 345

competition regulation
— Australia, 346–348
— China, 348–351, 359
— interconnection regulations, 350
— price controls, 349–352, 355

corporatisation of commercial activities
— accountability requirements, 333, 334
— Australia, 332, 333, 335
— China, 333–336, 335

emergency call services, 345

foreign investment
— Australia, 356
— China, 356–359

government policy
— Australia, 328, 329, 332
— China, 329–332
international reform of sector, 327
licensing regimes
— Australia, 341, 342
— basic telecommunications businesses, 343
— carriage service providers, 341, 342
— carriers, 341, 342
— China, 342–345
— value added telecommunications businesses, 344
provision of services
— Australia, 328
— China, 329
— remote areas, 352–355
reform
— history of
  — Australia, 328, 329
  — China, 329–332
  — legislation, 359
— recommendations for, 358, 359
regulatory bodies
— Australia, 328, 337, 340
— China, 337–340
— separation of regulatory and commercial functions, 336–340, 359
remote rural areas
— cross-subsidies, 354, 355
— service to, 352–355
state-owned enterprises
— Australia, 328
— China, 331, 335
telephone numbers
— Australia, 353
— China, 354, 355
— mobile phone number portability, 355
— number portability, 353, 354
universal service obligations, 352–355

TELEVISION BROADCAST COPYRIGHT
See: Copyright

TERRORISM
Al-Qaeda, 293, 294, 297–301
Islamic extremism, 292–294, 301
Kurdish PKK, 297
legislative response to
— Australia, 281–286
— offences, 285
— onus of proof, 285
— United Kingdom, 277–281, 292
Northern Ireland, 277, 292, 294
“suspected international terrorist”, detention of, 278
Tamil Tigers, 297
“terrorism” defined, 279
“terrorist”, defined, 278
“terrorist act”, defined, 282
“terrorist organisation”, defined, 282
“time of public emergency threatening the life of the nation”, whether, 277, 287, 292–303
war on terror, 276
See also: Human Rights; National Security

TORTS
asbestos litigation
— bankruptcy, use of procedures to avoid liabilities, 227, 247–252
— CSR Limited, 236–238
— case load crisis, 229
— class actions, use of, 229–234
— Federal-Mogul Corporation, 241, 251
— James Hardie Industries, 235, 236
— Johns-Manville Corporation, 226–229
— Wittenoom, 236–238
— bailments, burden of proof, 173
— breach of statutory duty, 176–179
— breast implant litigation, 151, 154, 224
— contributory negligence, burden of proof, 171
deciet
— burden of proof, 175, 176
— standard of proof, 182
— defences, 172, 173
— “employee” definition of, use in tax law, 471, 501, 502
false representation, burden of proof, 175
— frustration, defence of, 172
— future claimants, protection of, 245, 248–250, 252
— increase of actions in, 31
— latent defect, 173
— long-tail torts, 224
— mass torts
— corporate responses to, 223–254
— definition, 224
— negligence
— burden of proof, 170, 171, 175
— duty of care owed by mining company to townspeople, 236
— off-setting gains, burden of proof, 174, 175
— personal injury, damages as “property”, 252
— pre-existing condition, burden of proof, 174, 175
— product liability, 240
— tax law, application of precedents to, 471
See also: Civil Litigation; Damages; Equitable Compensation

TORTURE
See: International Law

TRADE PRACTICES
— restraint of trade covenants, 471
— telecommunications, regulation of market power, 346–355
TREASURY
role in tax law complexity, 484–486

TREATIES
See: International Law

TRUSTS
asbestos liability, for satisfaction of, 228
breach of trust, 38–40
custodial fiduciaries, duties in respect of trust fund, 35–50, 56
family trust, avoidance of transfer to by trustee in bankruptcy, 364, 365
order for account of administration of trust fund, 35–40
presumption of advancement, 365
resulting trust on transfer with in families, 365
tax law, application of precedents to, 471
traditional trusts, 42
willful default, 38–40
See also: Equitable Compensation; Equity

UNITED NATIONS
See: International Criminal Court; International Law

UNJUST ENRICHMENT
basis of claim, 48
restitution for, 33
See also: Equitable Compensation

VAGRANCY
See: Criminal Law

VIOLENCE
against homosexuals, 122
frequency of types of interpersonal violence, 83

WAR CRIMES
See: International Criminal Court; International Law

WILLS
allegations of crime, standard of proof, 187
competence, burden of proof, 176
interpretation of, 6, 7

WITNESSES
expert
— academics, 162
— conferences between experts, 140, 150, 160
— court-appointed, 142, 143, 152–155
— general duty to court, 139, 140, 148–150
— judicial discipline of, 156, 157
— numbers of, 159
— repeat witnesses, 151, 153, 156
Expert Witness Code of Conduct, 139

WITTENOOM
See: Torts

WITTGENSTEIN
use of context to understand meaning, 6

WORKERS’ COMPENSATION
burden of proof, 177

WORKPLACE RELATIONS
remuneration, disclosure, 565

WORLD BANK
recommendations to China for economic reform, 325

WORLD TRADE ORGANISATION
China, 326, 351, 357, 358

XIAOPING, DENG
economic reforms, 325

YOUNG PEOPLE
homelessness, 89

ZEMIN, JIANG
economic reforms, 325
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