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<tr>
<td>Workplace Relations and Other Legislation Amendment Act 1996</td>
<td>s7A</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLAND/UNITED KINGDOM/IMPERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statute of Monopolies 1623</td>
<td>21 Jac 1 c3</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>21 James 1 c17</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>331</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>s9, 321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright and Related Rights Regulations 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988</td>
<td>s296ZA</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>s5</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
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<td>Designs Registration Act 1839</td>
<td>2 &amp; 3 Vict c17</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
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<td>217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
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<td>s4(2)</td>
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</tr>
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<td>s3</td>
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</tr>
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<td>s9</td>
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</tr>
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<td>208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s10, 219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Marks Registration Act 1875</td>
<td>38 &amp; 39 Vict c91</td>
<td>203, 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s1, 204, 208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s2, 203, 208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s10, 204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Civil</td>
<td>Art 1382, 210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW SOUTH WALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti–Discrimination Act 1977</td>
<td>Part 4B</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s24(1)(a)</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s24(1)(b)</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s24(1A)</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s49L(4)</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s49T</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s49T(1)(b)</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s49V</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s49V(4)</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s92</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s94</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s113(4)</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil Liability Act 2002</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part 3, 293–303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s5B</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s30, 297</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s30(2)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s30(5)</td>
<td>299, 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s31, 295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32, 300</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(1), 302, 303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(2)</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(2)(a)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(2)(b)</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(2)(c)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(2)(d)</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s32(4)</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil Liability Amendment (Personal Responsibility) Act 2002</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s15(2)(a)</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s15(2)(b)</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s22</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil Liability Act 1974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s15(2)(a)</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s15(2)(b)</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s22</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair Trading Act 1987</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guardianship Act 1987</td>
<td>s33(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial Relations Act 1996</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ch 2, Part 4, 398, 404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s53(2)</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s66</td>
<td>405, 421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s66(4)</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s68(l)</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s10b, 423</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Law Reform (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1944, 303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Marks Act 1865, 209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workers’ Compensation Act 1987</td>
<td>s150E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immigration Act 1987</td>
<td>s128(13B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treaty of Waitangi, 340–344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Death Act 1988</td>
<td>ss3–7</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal Injuries (Liabilities and Damages) Act 2003, 277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEENSLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Liability Act 2003, 277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defamation Act 1889 s15, 368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardianship and Administration Act 2000 Schedule 4, 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers of Attorney Act 1998 Schedule 3, 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH AUSTRALIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act 1995 ss7–11, 108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrongs (Liability and Damages for Personal Injury) Amendment Act 2002, 277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASMANIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Liability Act 2002, 277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardianship and Administration Act 1995 s36(2), 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act 1999, 209, 220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton Act, 445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution First Amendment, 219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Clause, 78, 90, 91, 93, 94, 103 Art 8, 339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright Term Extension Act 1998, 213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Millennium Copyright Act 1998, 11, 614, 628, 635</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Labor Standards Act 1938, 81, 91, 93, 94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Trade Commission Act, 440–442, 446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun-Free Schools Act 1990, 94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act 1996, 71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration and Nationality Act s243(h), 71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Code s163, 29 s267, 29 s904(d)(3)(c), 29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanham Act, 209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Labor Relations Act 1935, 91, 94, 102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway Labor Act 1926, 91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugee Act 1980, 71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTORIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Powers (Industrial Relations) Act 1996, 77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Act 1984, 425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Act 1995, 425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardianship and Administration Act 1986 s36(2), 115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Treatment Act 1988 ss3–9, 108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Marks Act 1876, 209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTERN AUSTRALIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Liability Act 2002, 277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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