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—legislative regime, 496, 499
—powers, 497, 499
—purpose, 497, 498
—restrictions on courts’ review role, 500
—role, 497
—whether exercising judicial power, 495, 500-508
See also: Corporate Objective

COURTS
Canadian circle courts, 429
community courts, 420, 428
political dimension, 429
See also: High Court; Indigenous Sentencing Courts

CRIMINAL LAW
bail hearing, apprehended bias of judge, 177
Browne v Dunne, rule in, 177
burglar shot while breaking in, 491
criminal libel, Communist Party case, 66
demanding money with menaces with intent to steal, apprehended bias of judge, 176
drug court, 426
indigenous sentencing courts, 415-443
jury, requirement of trial by for indictable offences, 198, 210, 213-220
minor offences by members of the Communist Party, 75
occupational health and safety offences, 359-390
proviso to criminal appeals, 184, 185
sedition
—Communist Party cases, 61-66
—interpretation of reforms, 344-348
—legislative provisions, 342-344
—origins of term, 347
—semiotic and constructionist theory, 344-348
suicide, whether engages defence to liability in tort, 487
transnational crimes, 337
war crimes, extradition, 322
See also: Domestic Violence; Extradition; Indigenous Sentencing Courts; Occupational Health and Safety; Prisoners; Terrorism

CROSS-VESTING POWER
High Court consideration of, 232
CROWN
Governor, role of, 88, 105-120
power to request amendment to Bill, whether, 120
See also: Royal Assent

DARLING, SIR CHARLES
Governor of Victoria, 126

DEATH
post-mortem examination
—dispute as to, 235, 246
—extent of, 247, 248
—objections to, 252, 253
—regimes governing, 235
—resolution of disputes, 248-256
—when to perform, 246
See also: Coroner; Human Body

DEFAMATION
cause of action
—imputation based (NSW), 655, 672
—publication based (Vic), 655
contextual truth defence, 659, 660, 663
damages as remedy, 653
‘defamatory meaning’, 653
fair comment defences, 674-681
‘identification’, meaning, 653
interlocutory proceedings, 655
meaning conveyed by publication
—‘common sting’, 655, 658
—comparative litigation, 670, 671
—English position, 661, 664, 670
—evaluation of defences, 673, 674
—Hore-Lacy test, 662, 664, 665, 668-674
—imputations centred approach, 672
—Lucas-Box different meanings, 654, 656-662, 670-682
—New South Wales practice, 671
—Polly Peck common sting meanings, 655, 657-663, 670-682
—separate and distinct allegations in publication, 659
—types of disagreement, 657-662, 668
—Victorian practice, 671
—whether fair comment defence must address imputation pleaded, 676
‘not substantially different’, 662, 666-668, 672, 678
opinion defence
—comment, distinction, 680
—continued existence of, 655, 680
—statutory honest opinion defence, 679
proof required, 653, 654
‘publication’, meaning, 653
reasonableness test, 653
truth defence
—common law defence of justification, 654, 655
—continued existence of, 655
—statutory defence, 654
uniform laws, enactment of, 652

DEMOCRACY
conclusive certificate, 616
democratic character of tax legislative processes, 613-649
Held’s model, 615
legitimacy
—models of social power, 618
—moral relativity, 621, 622
—procedural fairness as ground, 624
—procedural universalism, 624
—taxation legitimacy, 620-629
—significance in shaping political process, 618-620
meaning, 625-626
Schumpeter, Joseph, 615

DEPENDENT CONTRACTORS
See: Vicarious Liability

DETENTION
‘dead time’ provisions in criminal law, 558
detention powers, 325
without charge, 530
without having committed a crime, 537

DIRECTORS’ DUTIES
See: Corporate Objective; Corporations Law

DISCRIMINATION
‘appropriate and adapted’ test, 267
defERENCE to lawmaker, ascertaining
appropriate level, 289
established by reference to consequences of law in question, 277
features of non-discrimination rules, 277-287
general features of a discriminatory law, 268
instances in constitution, 264-266
‘preference’ distinguished, 275-277
purpose of rule, need to determine, 288-291
universal conception of interpretation
—absolutism, rejection of, 277-281
—balancing interests, 281-287
—common law applications, 271
—constitutional applications, 269-271
—differential taxes levied on States, 275-279, 281
—distinction between fixed and flexible views about likeness, 278, 279
—electoral laws, 271
—foundations, 267-269
—holistic approach to balancing, 281-287
—interpretation principles, 271
—local taxes levied upon telecommunications cabling, 272
—representative government, commitment to, 270, 271
—sentencing discretion, 271
—State immunity doctrine, 269, 270
—statutory applications, 271-273
See also: Constitutional Law

DISSENTING JUDGMENTS OF HIGH COURT
See: High Court

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
alienation and manipulation as tactics of violent parents, 135-150
definition of ‘family violence’ in Family Law reforms, 153
effect on Family Court outcomes, 131-161
reluctance of women to disclose, 154
See also: Family Court Litigation

DOUZINAS, COSTAS
human rights discussion, 548-550

DRUG COURT
establishment, 426

DUE PROCESS
efficiency, competing concerns, 175-182
importance in administration of justice, 184-186

DWORKIN, RONALD
advocate of concept-conception distinction, 687, 690, 692, 694-700
human rights discussion, 548

ELECTIONS
constitutional obligation to hold, 305, 309
interpretation of guarantee, 702-705
See also: Constitutional Law; Vote

EMPLOYEES
distinction from independent contractors, 163
vicarious liability of employer, 163-174

ENVIRONMENT
advocacy to stop Anvil Hill mine, 726
coal mines, political pressure surrounding, 730
ecologically sustainable development as consideration of public interest, 726
environmental assessment, requirement for, 726-728
New South Wales planning law, 726-728
See also: Climate Change Jurisprudence

EQUITY
breach of fiduciary duty, 714, 723
rule in Barnes v Addy, 713-724
unjust enrichment, inconsistency with doctrines, 717
See also: Trusts

EXECUTOR
legal position, 243
rights over dead body, 240
role of, 260

EXTRADITION
Canadian approach, 338
detention for purposes of administrative order, 322, 327
—Australian citizen, 321-324, 332, 333
—extra-judicial nature of order, validity of, 321-322, 335
—judicial review of order, 328
—‘no evidence’ model, 321, 323, 330
—no treaty in place, 322, 337
—non-punitive purpose, 325, 326
—prima facie evidence test, 327
—proportionality requirement, 328
—reasonably necessary or adapted, 328
—requesting State not establishing prima facie case, 321, 333-336
reciprocity of laws, High Court consideration of, 232
recommendations to reform, 338
reform proposals, 337, 338
surrender, eligibility, 323

FAMILY COURT LITIGATION
analysis of reform provisions applied in practice, 133-150
Child Representative, 158, 159
contact against wish of child, 144
father-child relationship
—‘rebuttable presumption in favour of contact’, 150
—reluctance of Court to sever, 148, 149
—scrutiny of mothers’ support for, 142
‘friendly parent criterion’, 150, 153, 154
Independent Children’s Lawyer, 151
manipulation of children, 135-150
violence
—effect on children’s allegiances, 137, 138, 141, 147, 148
—link with alienation and manipulation tactics, 135-150
—Parental Alienation Syndrome, 136, 137, 142, 143
—scrutiny of mothers’ support for father-child relationship, 142
See also: Family Law

FAMILY LAW
access, 134, 149
Family Relationship Centres, 155, 160
Independent Children’s Lawyer, 151
men, strengthened position of, 134
Parental Alienation Syndrome, 136, 137, 142, 143
reforms
—analysis of provision in practice, 133-150
—assessment of ongoing parental relationship, 152
—case management powers, 156-160
—child focus, 131, 134, 151-156
—Child Representative, 158, 159
—Children’s Cases Programs, 157
—equal position of parents, 131, 151, 154-156
—‘friendly parent criterion’, 150, 153, 154
—‘interpretive sites’, 155
—objects, 152
—parental attitudes, 131
‘right of contact’ principle, 134, 149, 160, 161
shared parenting philosophy, 134
violent history
—definition of ‘family violence’, 153
—impact of, 134
—overlap with allegations manipulation, 135
See also: Domestic Violence; Family Court Litigation

FAMILY VIOLENCE
See: Domestic Violence

FINGLETON, DIANE
gaoling of Chief Magistrate, 399-401, 403

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION
interpretation of guarantee, 702
lack of consideration in Communist Party cases, 44, 80-84
new security laws, 343
See also: Communist Party

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
High Court consideration, 232

FREEDOM OF POLITICAL COMMUNICATION
ballot paper as ‘political communication’, 307, 308
lack of consideration in Communist Party cases, 44, 80-84
new security laws, 343
sedition legislation, 343
whether implied freedom to vote, 298, 306
See also: Communist Party; Constitutional Law

FUNDAMENTALISM
reactionary fundamentalism, 547, 560-568
reconciliation of fundamentalisms, 568-575
transcendental fundamentalism, 546, 551-560
See also: Human Rights

GALLIE
analysis of contested concepts, 689-700

GAUDRON, JUSTICE
discrimination judgments, 267, 268

GAVAN DUFFY, CHARLES
requirement to swear separate oath of office as Roman Catholic; 89, 94

GENDER
affirmative action, 394, 406-408
disproportionate attention paid to women judicial appointments, 397
masculinity of merit, 405
role in demise of Chief Magistrate, 400
See also: Judges; Women

GOOD SAMARITANS
legislative defences, 448

GOVERNMENT
conclusive certificate, 616
democracy, theories of, 615
elements of representative government, 306
legitimacy, 618-629
tax legislative process, 613-649

GOVERNOR-GENERAL
powers, 232
See also: Royal Assent

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
See: Climate Change Jurisprudence

GUANTANAMO BAY
detention of 'enemy combatants', 528, 537, 538
English case concerning application for relief, 538
human rights abuses, 555

HABEAS CORPUS
claim by David Hicks, 528, 529
custody or control, 534, 535
unlawfulness of detention claim, 535

HART, HLA
interpretation of general terms, 693
utility of rights, 548

HICKS, DAVID
action in Federal Court against Federal Attorney-General, 528-540
charge under US Military Commission, 540
human rights, comments by Michael Mori, 555
providing material support to a terrorist organisation, 527-541
voluntariness of plea, 540, 541

HIGGINBOTHAM, GEORGE
campaign against Royal instructions to Governor, 106

HIGH COURT
appointments to, 395-397
Crennan, Justice, appointment of, 395-397
development of implied freedom of political communication, 505
discrimination, approach to, 263-295
dissenting judgments
—aspirational quality, 196
—Canadian Supreme Court, comparison, 201
—development of law through, 207
—minority views, future impact, 197, 201, 209, 210, 236-229
—nature of disagreement, 202, 203
—persistent dissent, effectiveness, 208-220
—precedent, power of, 204
—rate of divisions, 201
issues considered by High Court 1981-2003, 230-233
leave requirement, 206, 207
power to change meaning of Constitution, 683
women, appointment of, 395-397
See also: Constitutional Law; Discrimination; McHugh, Justice

HIH LITIGATION
class action by shareholders, 27

HUMAN BODY
after death
—ashes, property rights to, 241
—black market, 237, 238
—custodial conception of property applied to, 260
—direction not to cremate, 240
—dispose, power to, 240
—disputes over post-mortem examination, 235, 246-261
—executors/administrators, rights and powers, 240
—legislative control, 240, 248, 249, 251-256
—ownership, 237, 238, 243
—preservation of, 238
—property, as, 245
—sacredness, 237
—State, role of, 260
anatomical study, source of corpses, 239
Bentham, Jeremy, donation of body, 239, 240
‘bodysnatching’, 239
executed prisoners, 239
Human Tissue Acts, 240
ownership, 237, 241
prohibition on patenting of human body and biological processes, 241
unauthorised removal of organs, 247, 248
See also: Coroner; Death; Property

HUMAN RIGHTS
Australian Constitution, reading in of, 553
China, justifications, 565
controversial types of rights, 565-567
decay as ideological force, 549, 550
demonisation of, 553-560
deprohibition of liberty of David Hicks, 538-540, 555
descriptions of, 547-550
detractors, 549, 553-560
education of community, importance of, 570, 571
European Union, 552, 564
framers of Universal Declaration, 547
fundamentalism, types, 546, 547
global, whether, 564
implementation, 566
indeterminacy, 567
individualism, concentration on, 563
issues other than mainstream, 565-567
‘margin of appreciation’, 564
‘mutual obligation’, 553
over legalisation of, 562
promotion of, utility and effectiveness, 573, 574
standardisation of inquiries, 550, 551, 568
success of movement as standard-bearing force, 560
UK legislation, 548
women’s rights, 561

IGNATIEFF, MICHAEL
human rights discussion, 549, 550, 562

IMMIGRATION LAW
detention powers, 325, 558
deporation power, 222-224
off-shore processing of refugee claims, 558
Pacific Solution, 558
privative clause, 232
White Australia Policy, 558

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR
distinction from employees, 163
no vicarious liability for negligent acts of, 163-174
single client contractors, 163

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE
See: Aboriginal People; Constitutional Law; Sovereignty
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

INDIGENOUS SENTENCING COURTS
aims and objectives, 430-438, 442
cultural shaming, 437
customary laws, 420
Elders, role of, 421, 436
establishment in Australia, 416-418
features, 421
first instance of, 416
governing legislation, 417, 418, 432-435
jurisdictions, 417, 418, 432-435
limitations on offences heard, 421, 422
numbers sentenced, 419
protocols, 430
reasons for establishing, 422
Respected Persons, role of, 421, 436
restorative justice, 419, 423-430, 438-442
sentencing process, 421
therapeutic jurisprudence, 423-430, 438-442
traditional punishment, 420

INDUSTRIAL MANSLAUGHTER
See: Occupational Health and Safety

INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
intersection between disciplinary and unfair
dismissal provisions, 511
termination of appointment of police officers, 511

INSURANCE
insurance company liable for slanders made by
salesman, 168-170
‘insurance crisis’ 2001, 446, 492

INTERNATIONAL LAW
Act of State doctrine, 530-534, 539
comity, international spirit of, 532
diplomatic protection, whether owed to David
Hicks, 536
domestic implementation, 570
human rights, trend towards acceptance of
norms by municipal courts, 540
interfering with foreign state’s sovereignty,
532
_jus cogens_, violation of, 539
justiciability, 531-534

INTERPRETATION
concept-conception distinction
—constitutional guarantees, role in
interpreting, 702-706
—description, 686
—development, 689
—grants of legislative power, role in
interpreting, 707-712
—justification for constitutional evolution,
686
—theory of constitutional evolution, 712
—contested concepts
—features of, 689
—general terms, distinction from, 691-694
—objection to notion, 690
—operation of, 694-702
—right answers, whether existing, 694-702
—test for, 694
distinction between implicit and explicit
contradiction, 511
general terms, differing conceptions, 692, 710
imaginative reconstruction, 523
implied repeal
—aims of the enactment, 517
—exhaustiveness, 516-523
—key features, 514, 515
—meaning of ‘inconsistency’, 515, 516
—nature of the enactment, 516
—potential derogation from convention,
518
Industrial Relations Commission, jurisdiction
to review police dismissal, 512-514
intersection between disciplinary and unfair
dismissal provisions, 511
marriage power, 693
meaning of law fixed at date of drafting, 683
time of unconstitutionality, 684
‘trade among the States’, 691

JEWISH PEOPLE
objections to post-mortem examinations, 253

JUDGES
affirmative action, 406-408
Fingleton, Diane, gaoling of Chief Magistrate,
399-401, 403

war crimes, extradition, 322, 323, 331
individuality, 196
judicial activism, 684
merit
—Aristotle, understanding of, 401
—assumption of subversion, 398, 399
—disregard for, 399-401
—focus on in appointment of women, 395-397, 402
—masculinity of, 405
—meaning, 401
—Neave, Marcia, appointment to Victorian Court of Appeal, 398, 406
selection, 404
United States system of appointments, 404
women
—appointment of 50 percent to Victorian benches, 398, 399
—numbers, 392
See also: Gender; High Court
JUDICIAL COMMENT
tentative views, need to express carefully, 179-181
See also: Apprehended Bias
JUDICIAL REVIEW
concept-conception distinction, 686-712
David Hicks’ claim against Attorney-General, 527-530
declaration of unconstitutionality of law, 683
irrelevant considerations, 536-538
purpose of, 685
theory derived from Marbury v Madison, 683-712
JURY
requirement of trial by, 198, 210, 213-220, 705, 706
KANT, IMMANUEL
human rights discussion, 547, 551
KIRBY, JUSTICE
dissenting judgments, 207
KORAN
human rights discussion, 548
LANGUAGE
linguistic philosophy in interpretation, 684, 685, 712
See also: Constitution; Interpretation
LEGISLATION
‘advice and consent’ phrase in Bills, 111
nullity if found to be unconstitutional, 683
tax legislative process, 613-649
whether power in Crown to request amendments to Bill, 120
See also: Royal Assent
LINGUISTIC PHILOSOPHY
philosophy of language in interpretation, 684, 685, 712
LOCKE, JOHN
human rights discussion, 547, 551
MARX, KARL
human rights, opinion of, 549
MCCARTHYISM
See: Anti-communism
MAURICE BLACKBURN CASHMAN PTY LTD
See: Class Actions
MENTAL ILLNESS
effect on statutory illegality defence, 469
MENZIES, ROBERT
ban on Communist Party, 50
MICHIE, ATTORNEY-GENERAL
Bill not passed in required manner, 124
removal of separate oath of office for Roman Catholics, 89, 93-104, 107-109, 115
MIGRATION
See: Immigration Law
MILITARY TRIBUNALS
jurisdiction, 205
United States Military Commission at Guantanamo Bay, 527-541

MILL, JOHN STUART
human rights discussion, 547

MINING INDUSTRY
Gretley disaster and inquiry, 359, 362, 374-376, 384
occupational health and safety
—position on enforcement, 375, 376
—prosecutions, 359-390
See also: Occupational Health and Safety

MISLEADING AND DECEPTIVE CONDUCT
class action by shareholders for, 8, 27

MORI, MICHAEL
comments on human rights by, 555

NATIVE TITLE
concept of property in native title claims, 242-244

NATURAL JUSTICE
See: Apprehended Bias

NEGligence
causation, 164
contributory negligence, abolition of in UK and Canada, 484
Negligence Review Panel, 447, 473
occupational health and safety, 375
whether principal vicariously liable for acts of contractor, 163-174
See also: Vicarious Liability

OATH OF OFFICE
amendment to, 93-95
legal basis for applicability in Victoria, 91, 92
requirement for separate oath for Roman Catholics, 89-93
Royal veto of Bill to simplify, 96-105

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY
Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry, reform calls, 360
British Airways Flight Crew Order 608, 377
defensive training, 375, 376
enforcement
—deterrent effect of prosecutions, 361
—enforcement pyramid, 366, 367
—failure of, 368
—general deterrence, 368, 369
—specific deterrence, 368
industrial manslaughter, 360
negligence, 375
prosecutions
—circumstances justifying, 372-381
—counterproductivity, 372
—deterrence, 361, 366-371, 383-385
—‘event focus’, 381
—fatalities, in event of, 365, 368, 383
—frequency of, 364
—Gretley disaster and inquiry, 359, 362, 374-376, 384
—harm, whether necessary, 381-383
—limitations of pure compliance policy, 371
—McCallum Committee, 382, 385
—mine managers, against, 360, 376
—mining industry position, 375
—misuse of, 370, 372
—New South Wales practice, 363-365, 381, 382
—past record, 377-379
—penalties, 374
—Queensland practice, 363, 365
—restorative justice, 387
—retribution, appropriate level, 386
—risk, degree of, 377-379
—Ritter Report, 363, 364, 368
—role of, 372-381
—victims, position of, 387
—Western Australian practice, 363, 364
shared responsibility, 361

O’SHANASSY, JOHN
declaration of religious equality as fundamental guarantee, 89, 93-95, 103, 104
knighthood, 116

PAINE, TOM
human rights discussion, 547

PARLIAMENT
‘advice and consent’ phrase in Bills, 111
Bill not passed in required manner, 121
Crown as constituent part of, 88
Duration of Parliament Bill, 120-130

PASSING ON
book review, 351

PATENTS
prohibition on patenting of human body and biological processes, 241

PETROV, VLADIMIR
defection of, 53, 78

PLATO
human rights discussion, 548

POLICE
assault conviction of officer, 512
Industrial relations Commission, jurisdiction to review dismissal, 513
intersection between disciplinary and unfair dismissal provisions, 511
merits review of decision to terminate employment, 513
nature of appointment, 516
termination of appointment, 511-513
unfair dismissal, 512

POST-MORTEM EXAMINATION
See: Coroner; Death; Human Body

PRECEDENT
effect of doctrine on minority judgments, 204, 206, 209
US Supreme Court, 198
See also: High Court

PRIVATIVITY
See: Choice of Law

PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW
See: Choice of Law

PRIVATIVE CLAUSE
High Court consideration of, 232

PRIVY COUNCIL
relationship of Australian courts to, 232

PROBLEM ORIENTED COURTS
development of and applications, 423-430

PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS
See: Apprehended Bias

PROPERTY
alienation right, 240, 242
characteristics of property, 244
copyright as property, 241
human body after death
—application of principles to, 256-261
—characterisation of proprietary right, 245
—‘commodity’, 256
—custodianship, 257
—executors, rights of, 240
—existing applications of principles, 240, 241
—indigenous property concepts, 256-261
—objection to concept, 243
—parents, rights of, 241
—preserved body, action for detinue, 238
—preserved body parts, charge of theft, 238
—traditional legal view, 238, 241
indigenous property concepts, 256-261
native title, recognition of, 244
nature of concept, 241
patent as property, 241
possessive individualism, 236, 237, 240-244
special protection of property rights, 236
Torrens system
—knowing receipt, relationship with, 719-723
See also: Human Body; Real Property

PUBLIC COMPANIES
See: Corporate Objective; Corporations Law
PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW
See: International Law

RAWLS, JOHN
theory of justice, 548

REAL PROPERTY
Royal assent to Torrens system legislation, 105, 117

REFERENDUM
constitutional change, 198
to dissolve Communist Party and extend power over, 51, 52

REFUGEES
class action by applicants on ‘Beagle’, 13
off-shore processing of asylum seekers, 558

RELIGION
separate oath of office for Roman Catholics, 89, 93
treatment of body after death, 235-237, 247
See also: Human Body

RESTITUTION
book review, 351

RESTORATIVE JUSTICE
development of and applications, 423-430, 438-442

RIGHTS
declaration of religious equality as fundamental guarantee, 89
due process, 184-186
Enlightenment’s thinkers, 548
expulsion, right of citizen to resist, 232
‘four freedoms’ of Franklin D Roosevelt, 552
guarantee of non-discrimination, 220, 221
impartial tribunal, fundamental right to, 179, 186
implied freedom of movement, 232
implied freedom to vote, 297-299
individual liberty, 329
utilitarianism, 549

See also: Constitutional Law; Human Rights

ROMAN CATHOLICS
separate oath of office for, 89, 93

ROOSEVELT, FRANKLIN D
‘four freedoms’, 552

ROUSSEAU
human rights discussion, 551

ROYAL ASSENT
delay in, 85
disallowance by Imperial authorities
—abolition of power, 87
—distinguished from refusal, 86
—exercise of, 87
Governor’s power to refer legislation to Queen, 87, 101
refusal or withholding of (Victoria)
admission by Queen that no religious equality in colony as reason for, 102
—assessment of veto, 105-120
—Bill not passed in required manner, 121, 126
—Canada, in, 110, 112, 117-119
—Duration of Parliament Bill, 120-130
—Ministerial advice to refuse, 86, 120
—Oaths of Office Bill, 89-120
—procedural errors, 120-130
—reasons to veto, 109, 110, 121
United Kingdom, convention of British Constitution, 86
whether cures defects in Bill, 125

RULE OF LAW
interpretation of doctrine, 330, 331

ST THOMAS AQUINAS
human rights discussion, 548

SCHUMPETER, JOSEPH
democratic theory, 615

SECURITY
legislative reforms, 341-343
See also: Criminal Law; Terrorism
SEDITION
See: Criminal Law

SHAREHOLDERS
class actions, restriction of groups of claimants
to clients of law firm, 5-41
primacy of, 577
See also: Class Actions; Corporate Objective

SOUTH AFRICA
Truth and Reconciliation Commission, 425

SOVEREIGNTY
High Court consideration of, 232

STARE DECISI
See: High Court; Precedent

STATES AND TERRITORIES
Commonwealth-State relations, High Court
consideration of, 232
High Court consideration of constitutional
matters, 233
powers of parliament, 233

STATUTORY INTERPRETATION
See: Interpretation

TAKEOVERS PANEL
See: Corporations Law

TAXATION LAW
American revolutionaries, 614
Australian tax legislative process
—accountability, 630, 645-648
—business lobbyists, 631
—chaos of, 630, 635-644
—elitism of Treasury officials, 631
—Huntington’s thesis, 637
—information, augmentation of, 647
—interest groups, 631, 637, 648
—literature, limits of, 632
—public interest, 631
—reform, 644-648
—rhetorical devices within official
discourse, 638-641
—Standing Tax Commission, call for, 647
—status quo, preservation of, 642-644
—suppression of participation at all levels, 643
benefit theory of taxation, 622
Charles I and the ‘Glorious Revolution’, 613
moral relativity, 621, 622
Ontario Fair Tax Commission, 643, 644
Ralph Review of Business Taxation, 647
reform processes
—studies of, 633
—United Kingdom, 633, 634
—United States, 633, 634
voluntary compliance, 622

TERRORISM
Belfast in the 1970s, 546
Hicks, David, 527-541
human rights, effect on, 545, 556, 557
law reform, effect on, 337, 338, 341-343
new offences, 342, 346
providing material support to a terrorist organisation, 527-541

THERAPEUTIC JURISPRUDENCE
development of and applications, 423-430,
438-442

TORRENS SYSTEM
knowing receipt, relationship with, 719-723

TORT
compensation, legislative restrictions on
—caps and thresholds for loss or earnings, 458
—gratuitous care, 458
—increased discount rates, 458
—pain and suffering, 458
—punitive damages, 459
contributory negligence, abolition of in UK
and Canada, 484
damages awarded to prisoners, 446
duty of care, statutory definition, 476
‘failure to exercise reasonable care’, 486
good Samaritans, legislative defences, 448
illegality defence at common law
benefits of defence, 454-461
criticisms of defence, 451-453
damages linked to illegal conduct, 451
deterrence potential, 452
English position, 451
ex turpi causa non oritur actio, 448
injury to plaintiff during joint illegal enterprise, 488
legislation, effect of, 487
rationales for, 451-455
sanction shifting actions, 455-457
unilateral illegal activity, 449, 450
whether abolished by statute, 489
wide scope, 451-461
wrongful profiting, 458-461
reforms, 446-448
statutory defences of illegal act
—acquittal, effect of, 469
—apportionment legislation, 484
—Australian Capital Territory, 480, 481, 493
—common law defence, effect on, 487
—comparative table, 493
—discretion to award damages, 476
—joint illegal enterprises, 467
—loss of earnings, 469
—New South Wales, 462-472, 493
—non-economic loss, 469
—Northern Territory, 481, 482, 493
—parliamentary debates, 447
—plaintiff suffering mental illness, 469
—Queensland, 472-477, 493
—seriousness of plaintiff’s wrongdoing, 482
—South Australia, 477-479, 493
—suicide, 487
—Tasmania, 479, 480, 493
—thresholds to application, 483
—United Kingdom, 490, 491
See also: Negligence; Vicarious Liability

TORTURE
use in interrogation, 557

TRADE UNIONS
industrial unrest by Communist leaders, 47-50, 67-71
miners’ strike of 1949, 67

TRUSTS
conflict of duty and interest, 715
constructive trust, 714
knowing receipt
—High Court consideration of, 714-724
—requirement of knowledge, 716-719
—scope of doctrine, 723
—Torrens system, 719-723

UNITED STATES
Alford plea, 540
constituency statutes, analogy with UK
corporative objective legislation, 594-596
‘four freedoms’, 552
influence of precedent, 200
Military Commission, 527-541

UNJUST ENRICHMENT
book review, 351
inconsistency with equitable doctrines, 717

VICARIOUS LIABILITY
agency
—limits of, 171, 172
—use of, 168-170
‘control’ test, 166
distinction between employees and independent contractors, 163-174
employment relationship, features, 165-167
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