NOTICE OF MEETING

Meeting 2016/2 of the Undergraduate Studies Committee will be held at 10 am on Wednesday 15 June in the Senate Room, Quadrangle. The agenda for the meeting is attached.

Natalie Zawerucha
Committee Officer

Respect is a core value of the Academic Board
Undergraduate Studies Committee agenda 15 June 2016

AGENDA

1 WELCOME AND APOLOGIES

Presenter: Chair

2 PROCEDURAL MATTERS

2.1 Minutes of Meeting 2016/2 (27 April 2016)

Presenter: Chair

2.2 Actions Arising

Presenter: Members

3 STANDING ITEMS

3.1 Report of the Chair

Presenter: Chair

3.2 Report of the Academic Board meeting 18 May 2016

Presenter: Tony Masters

4 ITEMS FOR APPROVAL

Major Course Proposals

4.1 Faculty of Engineering and IT: Deletion of Bachelor of Engineering and related combined degrees

Presenter: David Lowe

4.2 Faculty of Engineering and IT: Change to honours grading in Bachelor of Engineering Honours and combined degrees

Presenter: David Lowe

Minor Course Proposals

4.3 Faculty of Engineering and IT: Change to the UoS table for the BE(Hons) Mechanical Engineering stream

Presenter: David Lowe

4.4 Sydney Law School: Addition of a UoS to the Elective Units of Study tables (Bachelor of Laws, Juris Doctor)

Presenter: Gail Mason

4.5 Sydney Law School: Amendment to assessment guidelines (Bachelor of Laws, Juris Doctor)

Presenter: Gail Mason

4.6 Faculty of Arts and Social Science: Change to FASS Honours Provisions

Presenter: Melissa Hardie

4.7 Faculty of Arts and Social Science: Deletion of World Religions major

Presenter: Melissa Hardie

4.8 Faculty of Arts and Social Science: Merge of two majors - Biblical Studies and Hebrew (Classical)

Presenter: Melissa Hardie

4.9 Faculty of Arts and Social Science: Economics combined degree (correction to the resolutions)

Presenter: Melissa Hardie

4.10 Sydney Nursing School: Change to the UoS table Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies)

Presenter: Jennifer Green

4.11 Faculty of Health Sciences: Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography)

Presenter: Mark Halaki

4.12 Faculty of Health Sciences: Changes to Undergraduate Electives list

Presenter: Mark Halaki

4.13 Faculty of Health Sciences: Change to Bachelor of Health Sciences table of Senior Research Units

Presenter: Mark Halaki
Other items
None received

5 ITEMS FOR NOTING
5.1 Faculty of Health Sciences: New elective UoS for pilot  Mark Halaki Attached
5.2 Learning and Teaching Policy 2015  Peter McCallum Attached
5.3 Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016  Peter McCallum Attached
5.4 Curriculum Framework  Peter McCallum Attached
5.5 Honours in Combined Degrees with Bachelor of Advanced Studies  Peter McCallum Attached

6 OTHER BUSINESS
6.1 Any Other Business

Next meeting – 10:00am-12:00pm, Wednesday 27 July 2016, Senate Room, Quadrangle
Undergraduate Studies Committee

Terms of Reference

Purpose

The Undergraduate Studies Committee assists the Academic Board in ensuring the maintenance of the highest standards and quality in teaching, learning and scholarship at the University of Sydney and, in this context, advises the Academic Board about resolutions, policy and procedures relating to undergraduate study at the University and acts as the Academic Board's agent in determining undergraduate matters, including the approval of new and amended courses, in accordance with the resolutions of the Senate: Delegations of Authority: Academic Functions.

Terms of Reference

1. To monitor issues relating to quality in relation to undergraduate award courses, and to make recommendations to the Academic Board as detailed below.
2. To advise the Academic Board on resolutions, policy and procedures relating to all undergraduate studies in the University, including the pattern of undergraduate courses in the University.
3. To make recommendations to the Academic Board in relation to proposals to introduce new undergraduate award courses and amendments to existing undergraduate award courses.
4. To make recommendations to the Academic Board regarding requirements to be satisfied by candidates for the award of a degree, diploma or certificate.
5. To act for the Academic Board in determining procedures for the consideration, and deadline for submission of proposals for new and amended undergraduate award programs and courses in consultation with the Course Profiles Steering Committee.
6. To contribute to the development of the University’s strategic objectives in relation to undergraduate study and to formulate, recommend to the Academic Board, and regularly review resolutions, policy and procedures supporting those strategic objectives.
7. To receive reports from, and provide advice to, the Deputy Vice Chancellor (Education) and, where appropriate the Deputy Vice Chancellor (International) on quality assurance and other matters relating to undergraduate study.
8. To obtain information or reports from any faculty, school or department, the Library or other academic unit on academic matters relating to undergraduate studies.
9. To ensure proper communication channels are established with other committees of the Academic Board and SEG to promote cross-referencing and discussion of matters concerning undergraduate students.
10. To determine the terms and conditions of undergraduate awards, scholarships and prizes established within the University.
11. To receive annual reports on the awarding of Honours and the University Medal from Faculties
12. To provide regular reports on its activities under its terms of reference to the Academic Board.
13. To consider and report on any matter referred to it by the Academic Board, or its committees, the Senior Executive Group or the Vice Chancellor.
MINUTES

1 WELCOME AND APOLOGIES
The Board noted apologies as recorded above and welcomed new members.

Resolution UGSC2016/2-1
The Undergraduate Studies Committee resolved to note that apologies have been received from the members above and that they be excused for their absence.

2 PROCEDURAL MATTERS

2.1 Minutes of Previous Meeting

Resolution UGSC2016/2-2
The Undergraduate Studies Committee resolved that the minutes of meeting 1/2016 on 16 March 2016 be confirmed as a true record.

2.2 Actions Arising

Resolution UGSC2016/2-3
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted that there were no outstanding actions.

3 ITEMS FOR ACTION

3.1 Report of the Chair
The Chair noted that the committee’s Terms of Reference had been included with the papers, to remind members of the committee’s purpose.

Resolution UGSC2016/2-4
The Undergraduate Studies Committee resolved to note the report of the Chair.

3.2 Report of the Academic Board meetings
There was no further report.

Resolution UGSC2016/2-5
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted the report of the Academic Board meetings.
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4 ITEMS FOR APPROVAL

Major Course Proposals
There were no major course proposals received.

Minor Course Proposals

4.1 Bachelor of Nursing (Honours)
A proposal was received from the Sydney Nursing School to amend the credit points for the Bachelor of Nursing (Honours) units of study (UoS) NURS4020 (from 6cp to 12cp) and NURS4021 (from 18cp to 12cp); and to make an amendment to the course resolutions for the Bachelor of Nursing (Honours) relating to the updated title from Associate Dean (Learning and Teaching) to Associate Dean (Education).

Resolution UGSC2016/2-6
The Undergraduate Studies Committee agreed to recommend that the Academic Board:

(1) approve the proposal from the Sydney Nursing School to change the credit points for Bachelor of Nursing (Honours) UoS: NURS4020 (from 6cp to 12cp) and NURS4021 (from 18cp to 12cp); and

(2) approve changes to the course resolutions for the Bachelor of Nursing (Honours) relating to the updated title from Associate Dean (Learning and Teaching) to Associate Dean (Education).

4.2 Bachelor of Education (Early Childhood)
A proposal was received from the Faculty of Education and Social Work to make changes to the course resolutions for the Bachelor of Education (Early Childhood) to ensure the program meets specifications from the new accrediting body, the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority.

Resolution UGSC2016/1-7
The Undergraduate Studies Committee agreed to recommend that the Academic Board:

(1) approve the proposal from the Faculty of Education and Social Work to amend the course resolutions for the Bachelor of Education (Early Childhood), to take effect from 1 January 2017 for all new students entering the Bachelor of Education (Early Childhood).

Other items

4.3 Faculty of Medicine: Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery – Progression requirements
A proposal was received from the Faculty of Medicine to amend the progression requirements for the Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS). The purpose of the amendments is to provide more clarity to students and staff around the requirements for progression, including the decision making process and the condition and timelines for repeating.

Members requested that the text be reworked and simplified to ensure that the progression rules could be clearly understood by students. A revised proposal would be circulated to members via email for endorsement before submission to 18 May Academic Board for approval.

Resolution UGSC2016/1-7
Subject to the requested amendments and endorsement via circulation, the Undergraduate Studies Committee agreed to recommend that the Academic Board

(1) approve the proposal from the Faculty of Medicine to amend the progression requirements for the Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery; and

(2) approve the amendment of the course resolutions arising from the proposal.
ITEMS FOR NOTING

5.1 Education strategy – Implementation update

Associate Professor Peter McCallum reported that work was underway to implement the education strategy across a number of areas, including the development of a common set of graduate qualities and a program for exceptional students, adoption of a simplified curriculum framework and introduction of vertical double degree pathways. The course approval process to implement the consolidation of the curriculum framework would begin in August 2016, with final approvals required by December 2016 for implementation in 2018.

Members discussed the new Open Learning Environment (OLE) component of the strategy, which would be offered by 2018. Academics would be invited to pitch ideas for UoS, which could be offered across multi-disciplinary areas. Members noted their enthusiasm for the initiative, but questioned the logistics of setting pre-requisites and assessments.

A/Professor McCallum reported that there would be another round of Education Innovation Fund open mid-year and then again in 2017. This funding would be available for open learning, multi-disciplinary based initiatives.

Members were invited to send further comments through to A/Professor McCallum.

Resolution UGSC2016/1-8
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted the report on the Education Strategy – Implementation Update.

5.2 Curriculum Framework – Policy Amendments

A/Professor McCallum advised that the Curriculum Framework policy paper was in draft form and welcomed feedback from members. Changes to the policy included the setting out of requirements for the new Liberal Studies degrees.

Members discussed the need for clarification on: definitions for levels of UoS (e.g. 1000, 2000, 3000) and whether faculties would be required to re-code UoS; phrasing around the number of units/credit points; the capstone experience; whether it was possible to have the option of two different major pathways within the same degree and how structures for accredited courses would be affected.

Members were invited to send further comments through to A/Professor McCallum.

Resolution UGSC2016/1-8
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted the report on Curriculum Framework – Policy Amendments.

5.3 Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016

A/Professor McCallum informed members that the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies would be responsible for approving OLE UoS and any majors or minors in the shared pool of offerings.

In response to a member query about student input into the procedures, A/Professor McCallum noted that the student representatives on Academic Board, Undergraduate Studies Committee (UGSC) and Academic Standards and Policy Committee (ASPC) were welcome to comment.

Members were invited to send further comments through to A/Professor McCallum.

Resolution UGSC2016/1-8
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted the report on Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016.
5.4 Academic Honesty Procedures 2016

A/Professor McCallum advised that the procedures were developed to accompany the policy approved in 2015. Members were asked for their view on whether the guidelines on proofreading and editing of written work would be useful for UG students.

Members requested that the procedures reflect the plagiarism penalty more specifically, to include the options of either a capped mark or percentage deduction.

Members were invited to send further comments through to A/Professor McCallum.

 Resolution UGSC2016/1-8
The Undergraduate Studies Committee noted the Academic Honesty Procedures 2016.

6 OTHER BUSINESS

6.1 Any other business

There was no further business.

6.2 Next meeting

10:00am-12:00pm, Wednesday 15 June 2016, Senate Room, Quadrangle
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Megan Kemmis, Executive Officer to Academic Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Associate Professor Tony Masters, Chair of the Academic Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Report of the Academic Board meeting, held 18th May 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To advise the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the outcomes of the Academic Board’s meeting held on 18th May 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

*That the Undergraduate Studies Committee note the report of the Academic Board meeting held on 18th May 2016.*

**REPORT OF ACADEMIC BOARD MEETING**

**Items related to the Undergraduate Studies Committee**
The Academic Board:
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery to amend the Bachelor of Nursing (Honours);
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Education and Social Work to amend the Bachelor of Education (Early Childhood); and
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Medicine to amend the Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery.

**Other matters**
The Academic Board also:
- noted a presentation by the Director, Educational Innovation and the Director, Graduate Research;
- recommended that Senate accept the Academic Board’s report on its activities for 2015;
- noted administrative amendments to the Coursework Policy 2014;
- noted a report from Dr Saleeba on the Equity and Diversity working group on inclusive language, inclusive units of study and classes, physical environments and mandatory consent training;
- noted the report of the student members of the Academic Board on Bullying and Safety; the Federal Budget; the University Restructure; Restructure at the SCA; Indigenous Student Engagement with West Papua; and International Student Travel Concessions;
- noted the report of the Provost and Deputy Vice-Chancellor on the 2016-2017 federal budget and 2016 federal election and their impact on the higher education sector; members also discussed recent media reports regarding the residential colleges located on campus;
- expressed its confidence in the university's research integrity processes;
- endorsed the proposal to amend the constitution of the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies, and recommended that Senate approve the amendment of the Resolutions of Senate related to the Constitution of the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies, with immediate effect;
- endorsed the proposal from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences to amend the faculty constitution, and recommended that Senate approve the amendment of the Resolutions of Senate related to the Constitution of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, with effect from 1 July 2016;
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Science to amend the English language requirements for admission to the Master of Clinical Psychology (MCP) and the Master of Clinical Psychology / Doctor of Philosophy (MCP/PhD);
- endorsed the submission of the University's response to the Public Consultation on Transparency in Higher Education Admissions discussion paper from the Higher Education Standards Panel (HESP);
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Pharmacy to amend the Master of Pharmacy;
- approved proposals from the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies to amend the Master of Engineering, Master of Professional Engineering, Master of Information Technology and the Master of Information Technology/Master of Information Technology Management;
Non-Confidential

- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Dentistry to amend the Doctor of Dental Medicine;
- approved a proposal from the Faculty of Medicine to amend the Doctor of Medicine and the introduction of new postgraduate elective units of study;
- noted that the Academic Standards and Policy Committee endorses anonymous marking (identification by SID only) for all assignments and examinations, and note that related policy amendments will be submitted for approval to a later meeting;
- noted that the Academic Standards and Policy Committee had received draft reports on a range of policy matters arising from the 2016-2020 Strategic Plan on educational matters, including the draft Learning and Teaching Procedures, draft amendments to the Coursework Policy 2014 and Learning and Teaching Policy 2015; and
- adopted the draft guidelines for assessment types risk evaluation, noting that these guidelines will be included in the draft Academic Honesty Procedures to be submitted for approval to a later meeting.
Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Professor David Lowe, Associate Dean (Education), Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Professor Archie Johnston, Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Deletion of Bachelor of Engineering and related combined degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the deletion of the Bachelor of Engineering and related combined degrees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve the deletion of the Bachelor of Engineering and related combined degrees to take effect from 1 January 2017.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This course deletion proposal is submitted in conjunction with proposed changes to the grading scheme of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours.

The Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies proposes to bring the University’s Bachelor of Engineering programs into alignment with the practice across the sector, where all graduates from 4-year Bachelor of Engineering programs (and the associated combined degrees) are awarded an AQF8 qualification. As part of this change, the Bachelor of Engineering degree (awarded without Honours) would be deleted. All students entering from Semester 1, 2015 have entered the Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) rather than the Bachelor of Engineering.
Course management template

Use this template to:

- propose a new course of study following approval of an EOI
- propose an amendment to an existing course of study
- request the deletion of a course of study

Complete the relevant sections as indicated.

Please save and submit your complete document to the Curriculum and Course Planning Committee at: pio.ccpc@sydney.edu.au

The annual calendar of relevant committee meetings is located online at: http://sydney.edu.au/staff/planning/ccpc/index.php#meetschd

For all purposes, please complete these key details:

This submission relates to the following
- [ ] New course
- [ ] Amended course
- [x] Deletion of a course

Name of course

- Bachelor of Engineering
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Arts
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Commerce
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Design in Architecture
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Medical Science
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Science
- Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Project Management

School/department

Managing faculty

Name of proponent

Telephone

Email

Version date

Undergraduate

Postgraduate coursework

Postgraduate research

Signature

Dean

Date

Faculty Manager

Date

Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education)

Date

Divisional Finance Director

Part 2

Date

Head of Recruitment

Section 1.5

Date

Library Director

Appendix 4

Date

Approved by the Academic Board, 3 December 2014
PART 1: Strategy and marketing analysis

1.1 Strategic purpose (use this space, to a maximum one page)

This course deletion proposal is submitted in conjunction with proposed changes to the grading scheme of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours.

The Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies proposes to bring the University’s Bachelor of Engineering programs into alignment with the practice across the sector, where all graduates from 4-year Bachelor of Engineering programs (and the associated combined degrees) are awarded an AQF8 qualification. As part of this change, the Bachelor of Engineering degree (awarded without Honours) would be deleted.

1.2 Summary of internal consultation with other faculties and business services units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Consultees</th>
<th>Method of consultation</th>
<th>Evidence of consultation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No impact on other Faculties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7 Course(s) to be closed as a consequence of this proposal (use this space, to a maximum one page)

Bachelor of Engineering
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Arts
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Commerce
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Design in Architecture
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Laws
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Medical Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Project Management

PART 2: Financial viability analysis

No impact on finance, as the Bachelor of Engineering and associated combined degrees have already been replaced by the Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) for applicants.

PART 3: Course details

3.1 Course name:
Bachelor of Engineering
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Arts
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Commerce
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Design in Architecture
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Laws
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Medical Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Project Management

3.2 Course abbreviation:
BE
BE/BA
BE/BCom
BE/BDesArch
BE/LLB
BE/BMedSci
BE/BSc
BE/BPM

3.3 Start year: 2017
Start semester: Semester 1

3.4 Name of award:
Bachelor of Engineering
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Arts
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Commerce
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Design in Architecture
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Laws
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Medical Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Science
Bachelor of Engineering / Bachelor of Project Management

3.5 Combined degree? ☒ Yes ☐ No
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3.6 Combined type: (if applicable)
☐ Combined means a single program with a single set of course Resolutions leading to the award of two degrees unless otherwise specified in the Resolutions
☐ Double means a program where students are permitted by participating faculties (and/or by specific Resolutions within a single award) to transfer between courses in order to complete two awards
☐ Combined Level means a single program with a single set of course Resolutions leading to the award of two degrees at two different levels unless otherwise specified in the Resolutions

3.7 Honours offered? ☑ Yes ☐ No

3.8 Honours type: (if applicable)
☐ Appended Students satisfy requirements for the award of a Bachelor (Pass) degree and on this basis qualify for admission to an additional Honours year
☒ Integrated Students undertake Honours components in Year 2, Year 3 etc. of the Bachelor course

3.9 Course group: ☒ Undergraduate ☐ Postgraduate coursework ☐ Postgraduate research

3.25 Articulation pathway (if applicable): N/A

3.29 Course deletions may impact or be perceived to impact continuing (enrolled) students. If this proposal relates to a change to an existing course please complete sections 7.12.5 to 7.12.9 of this template which addresses transitional arrangements. Describe the proposed communication with continuing students about the deletion of the course.

3.30 Course deletions may impact commencing students or applicants. If this proposal relates to or involves a course deletion please complete sections 7.12.5 to 7.12.9 of this template. Has consultation been undertaken with Student Recruitment and Admissions regarding the numbers of applications or offers in train?

PART 4: Admission details

The following information will be used for internal and external publication and marketing purposes.

4.1 Admission pathway: ☒ UAC ☒ Direct ☒ Flexible Entry (UG only) (provide details of new or amended flexible entry requirements)

4.8 Second semester admission ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please indicate whether subject choice will be restricted and whether the duration of the course will necessarily increase

4.9 International student admission:

☒ Yes ☐ No

Will the minimum English language requirement for the proposed course differ from the usual requirements (i.e. overall IELTS score of 6.5 with a minimum of 6.0 in each band)?

If yes, please indicate IELTS equivalent:

Other international student entry requirements:

PART 5: External registration codes

Codes will be sought following final approval of the course proposal. For course deletions, please include existing details.

5.1 CRICOS Code: 000718F 025100A 025102K 064106E 032885D 037177C 025101M 074382B

☐ Application pending ☐ Not applicable

International Services will apply for a Commonwealth Register of International Courses for Overseas Students code on behalf of the University. Courses that are not offered to international students do not require a CRICOS code. Courses offered by distance or online only cannot be registered.
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5.2 UAC Code: ☐ Application pending ☑ Not applicable

UAC applications are all now for BE(Hons) and associated UAC codes.

The Student Centre will apply for a Universities Admissions Centre code on behalf of the University.

PART 7. Learning and teaching

7.12 Resolutions

Senate, Faculty and Course Resolutions

The faculty manager or nominee must provide any new Resolutions or proposed amendments to existing Resolutions with this proposal, using the attached templates as a strict guide. (Refer to Appendix 1 Resolutions of the Senate, Appendix 2 for Faculty Resolutions and Appendix 3 for Course Resolutions). Please also indicate below if changes to the Resolutions apply. New and amended resolutions are to be submitted as pdfs generated from the relevant CMS file. Advice and assistance can be obtained from the Committee Officer to the Undergraduate Studies or Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board, as applicable

| 7.12.1 | Are there changes to the list of Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates conferred by your faculty, as listed in the Resolutions of the Senate available in the University Calendar? If Yes, complete Appendix 1 | Yes |
| 7.12.2 | Will there be new Resolutions or changes to existing Faculty Resolutions for the proposed course or amended course? If Yes, complete Appendix 2 | Yes |
| 7.12.3 | Will there be new Resolutions or changes to existing Course Resolutions for the proposed course or amended course? If Yes, complete Appendix 3a or 3b (there are separate Appendices for undergraduate and postgraduate courses) | Yes |

Academic dress

Resolutions of the Senate prescribe the academic dress for graduates including doctors of philosophy and recipients of higher doctorates or professional doctorates, and holders of masters and bachelors degrees and diplomas and certificates. There are general protocols about colours. Under delegated authority from Senate the Registrar approves all aspects of academic dress and proposals must be made in accordance with the Resolutions of the Senate relating to Academic Dress. The Dean of the faculty submits a proposal for academic dress to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) for approval

| 7.12.4 | Will there be changes to the academic dress due to the introduction of the proposed new award course? If Yes, contact the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) | No |

Transitional arrangements

If this proposal replaces or amends an existing award course, what transitional arrangements have been made? (e.g. identification of last year of student intake; provision for enrolled students to continue under existing Resolutions etc.). Please include evidence of consultation with currently enrolled students who will be affected by any changes to, or withdrawal of the course.

| 7.12.5 | Last semester intake under existing Resolutions | Domestic | Sem 2 2014 |
| 7.12.6 | Are there international students who are currently undertaking foundation or English language studies and planning to take this course? e.g., students who received a package offer. If yes, what provisions are in place for such students? | No students are affected. |
| 7.12.7 | For course deletions, advise the last date for enrolments into the existing course | All students entering from Sem 1 2015 have entered the Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) rather than the Bachelor of Engineering. |
| 7.12.8 | For course deletions, attach proof of consultation with Student Recruitment and Admissions to determine whether any student applications are currently being processed, and outlined any provisions to be put in place for such students | No outstanding applications. Email confirmation from SRA at Appendix 4 |
| 7.12.9 | For course deletions, outline the provisions in place for students enrolled under existing Resolutions | Students who commenced the course prior to semester 1 2015 will complete the requirements in |
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accordance with the resolutions in force at the time of their commencement. Students admitted to the Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) (2015 onwards) would continue in the BE(Hons) and would have the option to be awarded Honours according to the new provisions. It is anticipated that all students would take up that option.
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Change to honours grading in Bachelor of Engineering Honours and combined degrees

To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the change to honours grading in Bachelor of Engineering Honours and combined degrees and related changes to i) the University Coursework policy, ii) Senate resolutions, iii) Faculty resolutions, iv) BEHons course resolutions and v) BEHons combined course resolutions.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve the changes to honours grading in Bachelor of Engineering Honours and combined degrees and related changes to:

1. Amendment to the University Coursework policy;
2. Amendment to the Senate Resolutions;
3. Amendment to the Faculty Resolutions;
4. Amendment to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours course resolutions; and
5. Amendment to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined course resolutions

The faculty is seeking to implement the changes as soon as possible, with retrospective approval for students who commenced in 2016.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This proposal modifies the honours grading within the (AQF8) Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree program (and associated combined degree programs), in order to bring them into alignment with all other undergraduate professional Engineering programs within Australia, and ensure that the programs can remain accredited by the relevant professional industry body: Engineers Australia.
Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Engineering and Information Technologies

Contact person: Prof David Lowe x15653, Christine Lacey x40678

1. Name of award course
   Bachelor of Engineering Honours
   Bachelor of Engineering

2. Purpose of proposal
   This proposal modifies the honours grading within the (AQF8) Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree program (and associated combined degree programs), in order to bring them into alignment with all other undergraduate professional Engineering programs within Australia, and ensure that the programs can remain accredited by the relevant professional industry body: Engineers Australia.

   Associated with this change are a number of ancillary changes: (1) An amendment to the University Coursework policy to allow the required change to the BEHons programs; (2) The removal of the associated (AQF7) Bachelor of Engineering (i.e. non-honours) programs, as these are no longer viable nor necessary.

3. Details of amendment
   
   Background
   
   Australian Engineering courses are externally accredited by various professional engineering bodies, with the most significant body being Engineers Australia (EA). EA bases its accreditation of professional engineering programs (including all 4-year BE programs) on their Stage 1 Competency Standards.

   Subsequent to the approval of the revisions to the AQF in 2011, EA wrote to all Australian Deans of Engineering. In this letter they indicated that their evaluation of the new AQF level descriptors resulted in the conclusion that the Stage 1 competency standards were consistent with the AQF Level 8 descriptors. They acknowledged in a subsequent letter that:

   The Accreditation Board anticipates that a program designed to meet AQF Level 8 will also meet the EA Stage 1 Competency Standards for the Professional Engineer. It may be that a four year AQF Level 7 program will meet EA Stage 1 Competency if the program is structured to ensure that all the elements of the EA Stage 1 Competency Standards for Professional Engineers are met. Engineers Australia will therefore consider for accreditation a four year AQF Level 7 program at the level of Professional Engineer.

   Despite the comment regarding recognition of AQF7 programs a majority of Australian Universities moved to reclassify their 4-year BE degrees as AQF8, and hence changed the title of the degree awarded to all graduates from these programs to be Bachelor of Engineering Honours.

   During 2013 the Faculty of Engineering and IT investigated how it would respond to this situation. It became apparent that a proposal to bring our Engineering programs into alignment with those elsewhere – where all BE degree graduates were awarded an AQF8 Honours degree – would be unlikely to be approved by Academic Board. As an alternative, the Faculty proposed, and had approved, an approach based on introducing parallel BE programs – one a BEHons program with a requirement for completion consistent with the previous honours requirements, and the other a BE program, identical except for the absence of that requirement. Students are admitted into the BEHons degree, but if they do not achieve the required honours WAM then they graduate from the BE program. Whilst not ideal, this
approach provided an acceptable compromise position which has been in place since the 2014 commencing cohort.

In late 2015 EA again wrote to the Deans of Engineering. In this letter (see attachment 7) they indicated that they would no longer be accrediting, at the level of professional engineer, any AQF7 programs.

In order to help avoid potential confusion for all stakeholders in an already complex landscape, it is planned that from 2017 the Accreditation Board will no longer consider for accreditation, four year programs for Professional Engineer at AQF Level 7. Any Professional Engineer programs currently accredited at AQF Level 7 not planned for phasing out will be required to have a transition plan to AQF Level 8 or accreditation will be terminated.

This issue has been discussed at length with Engineers Australia. They have advised us that they will not be changing their position on this issue, and that all remaining Engineering departments within Australia have indicated that they have moved (or are in the processing of finalising a move) to have all 4-year Engineering programs to be Bachelor of Engineering Honours programs, with all graduates awarded an AQF8 Honours degree.

This would leave Sydney University as the only University in Australia with AQF7 Bachelor of Engineering programs, and would mean that a significant proportion of graduates from our 4-year Engineering degrees (and associated combined degrees) would graduate from non-accredited programs. Such a situation would be catastrophic to the Engineering programs offered by the University of Sydney.

Details of proposal

It is proposed to bring the University’s Bachelor of Engineering programs into alignment with the practice across the sector, where all graduates from 4-year Bachelor of Engineering programs (and the associated combined degrees) are awarded an AQF8 qualification.

Consideration has been given to the labelling of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree for those students who do not meet the requirements that exist within the current degree resolutions (i.e. who fall below the current threshold for 2nd class honours). Attachment 6 lists the current award levels for a representative sample of other Australian BEHons programs, with the two dominant patterns being:

- Remain silent: i.e.
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 1
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 2A
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 2B
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours

- Award 3rd class honours: i.e.
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 1
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 2A
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 2B
  - Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Class 3

It is suggested that the former approach (i.e. remaining silent) is potentially open to misinterpretation regarding the level of award for students whose testamur does not list an honours level. The latter approach is more common, and appears to have been adopted by two third or more of institutions, and hence is the approach we are proposing to adopt at Sydney.

This will require the following changes (with detailed outlined in the attachments):

---

1 The proportion of students who currently graduate without honours varies from program to program but in 2015, taken across all BE programs, approximately 20% gained first class honours, 30% gained second class honours, and just over 50% were not awarded honours.
1. Proposed changes to Coursework policy (see Attachment 1)
   The proposed changes amend clauses 94 and 97. The change to clause 94 provide
   clarification regarding interpretation of the phrase “special proficiency” as it relates to
   honours degrees, and aims to ensure that it is consistent with the awarding of AQF8
   honours degrees.
   The change to clause 97 allows the awarding of 3rd class honours to graduates from the
   AQF8 Bachelor of Engineering Honours programs who have a WAM below that currently
   required for 2nd class honours.

2. Proposed changes to Senate Resolutions (see Attachment 2)
   These changes remove the current AQF7 (non-Honours) Bachelor of Engineering
   program and associated combined degree programs.

3. Proposed changes to Faculty Resolutions (see Attachment 3)
   Removes references to the (non-Honours) Bachelor of Engineering programs and ensure
   that all references are to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours programs.

4. Proposed changes to BE Hons degree resolutions (see Attachment 4)
   Proposed changes to BE Combined degree resolutions (see Attachment 5)
   Removes references to the (non-Honours) Bachelor of Engineering programs and ensure
   that all references are to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours programs. Amends the
   requirements for Honours so that students who complete the degree with a WAM below
   the current threshold for 2nd class honours are awarded third class honours.

4. Transitional arrangements
   (as outlined in attachments)

5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean
   
   [Signature]
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PART 19 AWARDS WITH HONOURS

93 Admission to an award course with honours

(1) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an appended honours course, if the student has:
   (a) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
   (b) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
   (c) met any additional requirements set by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions for admission to honours in the course.

(2) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an integrated honours course:
   (a) if the student has:
      (i) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
      (ii) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate units of study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
      (iii) met any additional requirements set out by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions;
   or
   (b) from the commencement of the award course if:
      (i) the Academic Board has approved the award course as one that meets the learning outcomes of an AQF Level 8 honours qualification; and
      (ii) the course resolutions incorporate explicit requirements for completion of the award course that are consistent with the awarding of honours as prescribed in this Policy.

(3) A student who is enrolled in an appended honours course:
   (a) may not graduate with the pass degree; and
   (b) may not enrol part-time, except in accordance with the course resolutions.

(4) A student who fails or discontinues an appended honours year may not re-enrol in it, except with the approval of the Dean.

94 Principles for the award of honours

The principles for the University’s offering degrees with honours are:
   (a) the award of honours is reserved to indicate special proficiency; this special proficiency may be demonstrated either through advanced performance within an award course, or through completion of an AQF Level 8 course (as approved by the Academic Board);
   (b) the University offers courses leading to a degree with honours to provide research training opportunities to students who demonstrate special proficiency and the ability to undertake further study and research within a discipline;
   (c) a course leading to a degree with honours is intended to attract and stimulate students of high ability;
   (d) honours awards are in classes, to recognise and reward outstanding academic ability;
   (e) an honours course:
      (i) will provide the foundations of research training within the relevant discipline; and
      (ii) will have an identifiable, discipline-specific individual research, scholarly or creative component that is allocated at least 12 credit points; and
   (f) the assessment tasks for research units of study will comprise, at least in part, a dissertation.

95 Qualifying for an award with honours

(1) To qualify for an award with honours, a student must meet the requirements set out in the faculty resolutions and course resolutions.
The award of a degree with honours, and the grade of honours awarded, will be assessed and calculated according to two mechanisms:

(a) for appended honours - by an honours mark; or
(b) for integrated honours - by a grade average calculated across at least 48 credit points of study.

Each faculty will publish the grading systems and criteria for the award of honours in that faculty.

96 Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 48+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to:
(a) an appended honours course; and
(b) an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using a mark calculated across units of study attracting at least 48 credit points but less than 96 credit points.

(2) A student who achieves a mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range …</th>
<th>will be awarded honours …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark &lt; 80</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Third Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours.

97 Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 96+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using an honours mark calculated across units of study that together have at least 96 credit points.

(2) A student who achieves an honours mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range …</th>
<th>must be awarded honours …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) The course resolutions for a course may require a student to achieve higher honours marks for particular classes of honours.

(4) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours may be awarded Third Class honours where this has been specified as available under the course resolutions.
### Resolutions of the Senate

1. Degrees, diplomas and certificates of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies

   (1) With the exception of the Doctor of Engineering and the Doctor of Philosophy, The Senate, by authority of the University of Sydney Act 1989 (as amended), provides and confers the following degrees, diplomas and certificates, according to the rules specified by the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies. The Doctor of Engineering and the Doctor of Philosophy are provided and conferred according to the rules specified by the Senate and the Academic Board.

   (2) This list is amended with effect from 1 January, 2016. Degrees, diplomas and certificates no longer open for admission will be conferred by the Senate according to the rules previously specified by the Faculty.

### Degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHENGINE</td>
<td>Doctor of Engineering</td>
<td>DEng</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPhDENG</td>
<td>Doctor of Philosophy</td>
<td>PhD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMPhLENG</td>
<td>Master of Philosophy</td>
<td>MPhil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAENGINE</td>
<td>Master of Engineering</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINFTEC</td>
<td>Master of Information Technology</td>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINFTMG</td>
<td>Master of Information Technology</td>
<td>MITM</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAHLCIN</td>
<td>Master of Health Technology Innovation</td>
<td>MHTI</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDATAASC</td>
<td>Master of Data Science</td>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Master of Professional Engineering</td>
<td>MPE</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Aerospace Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Aerospace)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Biomedical Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Biomedical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Chemical &amp; Biomolecular)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Civil)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Electrical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Fluids Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Fluids)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Geomechanical Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Geo)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Mechanical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Power Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Power)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Software Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Software)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Structural Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Structural)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPROFEN</td>
<td>Telecommunications Engineering</td>
<td>MPE(Telecoms)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPRJMT</td>
<td>Master of Project Management</td>
<td>MPM</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPRJLEA</td>
<td>Master of Project Leadership</td>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bachelor of Computer Science and Technology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPCSTECN</td>
<td>Bachelor of Computer Science and Technology</td>
<td>BCST(ComputerScience)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCSTECN</td>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>BCST(InformationSystems)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bachelor of Engineering Honours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Aerospace Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Aerospace)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Biomedical Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Biomedical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering</td>
<td>BENGURT(Chemical &amp; Biomolecular)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Civil Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Civil)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Electrical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Mechanical)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Mechatronic Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Mechatronic)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGURT</td>
<td>Software Engineering Honours</td>
<td>BENGURT(Software)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Course title & stream

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Aeronautical Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Aeronautical)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Biomedical Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Biomedical)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Chemical and Biomolecular)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Civil Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Civil)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Electrical)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Mechanical)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Mechatronic Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Mechatronic)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Software Engineering</td>
<td>BEHons(Software)</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPENCHBM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Project Management</td>
<td>BPM</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPRMCES</td>
<td>Bachelor of Project Management (Civil Engineering Science)</td>
<td>BPM(Civil Engineering Science)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPRMSES</td>
<td>Bachelor of Project Management (Software)</td>
<td>BPM(Software)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPRMBEN</td>
<td>Bachelor of Project Management (Built Environment)</td>
<td>BPM(Built Environment)</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPINFTEC</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology*</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*may be awarded with honours following a further year of study

**Combined degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title &amp; stream</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAINFITM</td>
<td>Master of Information Technology/Master of Information Technology Management</td>
<td>MIT/MITM</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPENGARR</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Arts*</td>
<td>BE/BA</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPENGGCM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Commerce*</td>
<td>BE/BCom</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPENGDOM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Design in Architecture*</td>
<td>BE/BDesArch</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGCLAW</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Laws*</td>
<td>BE/LLB</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGCMSC</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Medical Science*</td>
<td>BE/BMedSci</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPENGBHI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Science*</td>
<td>BE/BSc</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGART</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering/Bachelor of Arts</td>
<td>BEHons/BA</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGCOM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Commerce</td>
<td>BEHons/BCom</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGDAR</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Design in Architecture</td>
<td>BEHons/BDesArch</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGELAW</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Laws</td>
<td>BEHons/LLB</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGMSC</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Medical Science</td>
<td>BEHons/BMedSci</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Science</td>
<td>BEHons/BSc</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPITCART</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Arts*</td>
<td>BIT/BA</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPITCCOM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Commerce*</td>
<td>BIT/BCom</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPITCLAW</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Laws*</td>
<td>BIT/LLB</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPITCMSC</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Medical Science*</td>
<td>BIT/BMedSc</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPITCSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Information Technology/Bachelor of Science*</td>
<td>BIT/BSc</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGPRM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours/Bachelor of Project Management*</td>
<td>BE/BPM</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*may be awarded with honours following a further year of study

*may be awarded with honours in an integrated program
## 4 Graduate diplomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GNCOMPUT</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Computing</td>
<td>GradDipComp</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENGINE</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Engineering</td>
<td>GradDipEng</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNENPROF</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Engineering (Professional Engineering) (Last intake 2013)</td>
<td>GradDipEng(ProfEng)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNINFTEC</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Information Technology</td>
<td>GradDipIT</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNIINFTMG</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Information Technology Management</td>
<td>GradDipITM</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNPRJMGMT</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Project Management</td>
<td>GradDipPM</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNPRJLEA</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Project Leadership</td>
<td>GradDipPL</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNHLTCIN</td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Health Technology Innovation</td>
<td>GradDipH</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate Diploma in Complex Systems</td>
<td>GradDipCSX</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5 Graduate certificates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Credit points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCENGINE</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Engineering</td>
<td>GradCertEng</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCINFTEC</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Information Technology</td>
<td>GradCertIT</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCINFTMG</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Information Technology Management</td>
<td>GradCertITM</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCDDATASC</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Data Science</td>
<td>GradCertDS</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCPJRJMG</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Project Management</td>
<td>GradCertPM</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCPJRJLEA</td>
<td>Graduate Certificate in Project Leadership</td>
<td>GradCertPL</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolutions of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies for coursework awards

These resolutions apply to all undergraduate and postgraduate coursework award courses in the Faculty, unless specifically indicated otherwise. Students enrolled in postgraduate research awards should consult the resolutions for their course. These resolutions must be read in conjunction with applicable University By-laws, Rules and policies including (but not limited to) the University of Sydney (Coursework Policy 2014 (the ‘Coursework Policy’), the resolutions for the course of enrolment, the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006 (as amended) and the Academic Board policies on Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism.

Part 1: Course Enrolment

1 Enrolment Restrictions

(1) Except where explicitly listed in a Faculty recommended program of enrolment, or with the permission of the Dean or delegate, an undergraduate student shall satisfy the following enrolment requirements.

(a) No more than 26 credit points in either semester one or two;

(b) No more than 12 credit points in the summer session and 6 credit points in the winter session;

(c) A student may enrol only:
   (i) in level 1000 units of study during their first year;
   (ii) in level 1000 or 2000 units of study during their second year;

(d) A student shall enrol in lower year level core units of study as a priority above any higher year level units of study irrespective of meeting any prerequisite requirements of the higher year units.

2 Transferring Between Streams or Degrees

(1) Students admitted to specific postgraduate degrees or streams wishing to transfer between degrees or streams managed by the Faculty need to apply to the Faculty and obtain the approval of the Dean (or delegate). Students will be assessed based on their progress in their current degree or stream and must be able to show that they meet the criteria that apply to commencing students.

3 Time Limits

(1) Except where specific course resolutions specify alternative requirements, the following conditions must be met:

(a) A student must complete all the requirements for a combined BEHons, single or combined BIT, and BCST within ten calendar years of first enrolment;

(b) A student must complete all the requirements for a combined BEHons, single or combined BIT, and BCST within ten calendar years of first enrolment;

(c) A student must complete all the requirements for a single (non combined) BEHons or BPM within the lesser of 16 enrolled semesters or ten calendar years of first enrolment;

(d) A student must complete all the requirements for a graduate certificate within two calendar years of first enrolment; completing in a minimum of 1 semester and a maximum of 4 semesters;

(e) A student must complete all the requirements for a graduate diploma within four calendar years of first enrolment; completing in a minimum of 2 semesters and a maximum of 6 semesters;

(f) A student must complete all the requirements for a master’s degree within six calendar years of first enrolment; completing in a minimum of 2 semesters and a maximum of 8 semesters.

(2) Periods of suspension, exclusion or lapsed candidature will be added to maximum completion times except that no completion time may exceed 10 years from first enrolment.

(3) Credit will not be granted for prior learning older than 10 years at the time of first enrolment.

4 Suspension, Discontinuation and Lapse of Candidature

The Coursework Rule Policy specifies the conditions for suspending or discontinuing candidature, and return to candidature after these events. The Rule Policy also defines the circumstances when candidature is deemed to have lapsed. Students seeking to suspend, discontinue or apply for a return to candidacy after a lapse must apply to the Dean of Engineering and Information Technologies or their delegate for permission, supplying detailed reasons and evidence to support the request.

5 Credit for Previous Study

(1) Conditions for the granting of credit for previous study are in accordance with the Coursework Rule Policy, except:

(a) the maximum credit that may be granted to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree, Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees, Bachelor of Information Technology degree or Bachelor of Information Technology combined degrees is 96 credit points;

(b) the maximum credit that may be granted to the Bachelor of Computer Science and Technology or Bachelor of Science and Technology/Advanced or Bachelor of Project Management is 48 credit points; and

(c) credit for prior learning at the University of Sydney at postgraduate level may be given subject to the approval of the Faculty and to the following conditions:

(i) where no award has been conferred, credit may be transferred in full to the Graduate Diploma and Master degree;

(ii) if an award has been conferred credit to a limit of 12 credit points may be transferred;

(d) credit for prior learning at postgraduate level at an external institution recognised by the University of Sydney may be granted as follows:

(i) where no award has been conferred credit to a maximum of 50 percent of the degree may be approved, provided units of study have been completed at credit average and are equivalent to units of study offered under the degree being taken;

(ii) where an award has been conferred credit to a maximum of 12 credit points may be approved provided units of study have been completed at credit average and are equivalent to units of study offered under the degree being taken.
Part 2: Unit of Study Enrolment

6 Cross-institutional Study

(1) Provided permission has been obtained in advance, the Dean (or delegate) may permit a student to complete a unit of study at another institution and have that unit credited to the student’s course requirements, provided that:

(a) the resolutions of the student's course of enrolment do not specifically exclude cross-institutional study; and either
(b) the unit of study content is not taught in any corresponding unit of study at the University; or
(c) the student is unable, for good reason, to attend a corresponding unit of study at the University.

7 International Exchange

The Faculty encourages students to participate in international exchange programs, except where specified otherwise in the resolutions for a particular course. Students must apply to the Head of the relevant School of Engineering and Information Technologies to obtain approval for their planned enrolment while on exchange. This guarantees that the units completed externally will be correctly matched to the core requirements of their Course. International exchange must not exceed 12 months / 48cp and must not be approved where it would result in less than 50% of the normal course requirements being completed at the University of Sydney.

Part 3: Studying and Assessment

8 Attendance

(1) Students are required to be in attendance at the correct time and place of any formal or informal examinations. Non attendance on any grounds insufficient to claim special consideration will result in the forfeiture of marks associated with the assessment. Participation in a minimum number of assessment items may be a requirement of any unit of study.

(2) Students are expected to attend a minimum of 90 percent of timetabled activities for a unit of study, unless granted exemption by the Dean or Head of School most concerned. The Dean or Head of School most concerned may determine that a student fails a unit of study because of inadequate attendance. Alternatively, at their discretion, they may set additional assessment items where attendance is lower than 90 percent.

9 Special Consideration for Illness, Injury or Misadventure

Special consideration is a process that affords equal opportunity to students who have experienced circumstances that adversely impact their ability to adequately complete an assessment task in a unit of study. The Coursework Rule Policy provides full details of the University policy and procedures.

10 Concessional Pass

In this Faculty the grade PCON (Concessional Pass) is not awarded.

11 Re-assessment

The Faculty does not offer opportunities for re-assessment other than on the grounds of approved special consideration.

Part 4: Progression, Results and Graduation

12 Satisfactory Progress

The Faculty will monitor students for satisfactory progress towards the completion of their award course. In addition to the common triggers used to identify students not meeting academic progression requirements (as defined by the Progression requirements of the Coursework Rule Policy), students must pass any unit of study identified in the course resolutions as being critical to progression through the course.

13 Award of the Bachelor’s Degree with Honours

Honours is available to students as either appended honours or integrated honours. Admission, requirements and award for the honours courses are in accordance with the relevant course resolutions.

14 Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies Specific Weighted Average Mark Indicators.

(1) The Weighted Average Mark (WAM) is calculated by the formula:

\[
WAM = \frac{(CPi \times Mi)}{(CPi)}
\]

where

(a) \( CPi \) is the number of credit points for the unit of study.
(b) \( Mi \) is the mark achieved for the unit of study.

(2) The Engineering Integrated Honours Weighted Average Mark (EIHWAM) is calculated by the formula:

\[
EIHWAM = \frac{(Wi \times CPi \times Mi)}{(Wi \times CPi)}
\]

where

(a) \( Wi \) is the weighting given by 0 for 1000 level units of study, 2 for 2000 level units, 3 for 3000 level units and 4 for 4000 level or above units. Theses units of study are given a double weighting of 8.
(b) \( CPi \) is the number of credit points for the unit of study.
(c) \( Mi \) is the mark achieved for the unit of study.

All attempts at units of study are included except for units of study assessed on a pass/fail basis; units of study with a grade of DNF, DC; and credited units of study from other institutions. The mark used for units of study with a grade of AF or DF is zero. For combined degree students, only units of study within the Bachelor of Engineering tables are included.

(3) The Engineering Integrated Honours Weighted Average Mark (EIHWAM) is calculated using the same formula as the EWAM in Clause 14.1 with the additional condition that thesis units of study are given a double weighting of 8.

15 University Medal

A student who has qualified for the award with first class honours and has an EIHWAM of 85 or above, and who has demonstrated excellence in their honours thesis will be considered for the award of a University Medal. The Medal is awarded at the discretion of the Dean or relevant
Associate Dean, after the recommendation of the relevant Head of School, to the highest achieving students who in the opinion of the Faculty have an outstanding academic record, in accordance with the Coursework Rule Policy.

Part 5: Other

16 Transitional Provisions

(1) These resolutions apply to students who commenced their candidature on or after 1 January, 2016.

(2) Students who commenced prior to 1 January, 2016 may:

(a) complete the requirements in accordance with the resolutions governing their candidature immediately prior to these changes; or

(b) where approved by the Faculty, elect to proceed under these resolutions provided appropriate programs of study can be identified.
Bachelor of Engineering Honours

These resolutions must be read in conjunction with applicable University By-laws, Rules and policies including (but not limited to) the University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014 (the 'Coursework Rule'), the Resolution of the Faculty, the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006 (as amended) and the Academic Board policies on Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism.

Course resolutions

Terminology: in the following resolutions, all references to the Bachelor of Engineering degree apply to both the Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Engineering Honours degrees, except where otherwise indicated. The Bachelor of Engineering Honours provides students with advanced knowledge and special proficiency in the professional work of engineering.

1 Course codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHENGINE</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UENGINE</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Attendance Pattern

The attendance pattern for this course is full-time or part-time. Part-time students must still satisfy appropriate enrolment progression and are subject to the same degree time limits as full-time students. International students are required to follow the enrolment pattern as specified by their visa. The Faculty strongly recommends full-time enrolment as the preferred option for all undergraduate students unless exceptional circumstances exist.

3 Streams

(a) The Bachelor of Engineering Honours is available in the following streams:
   (i) School of Aerospace, Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering
   (ii) Aeronautical Engineering
   (iii) Mechanical Engineering
   (iv) Biomedical Engineering
   (b) School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering
   (c) School of Civil Engineering
   (d) Civil Engineering
   (e) School of Electrical and Information Engineering
   (f) Electrical Engineering
   (g) Software Engineering

(2) Completion of a stream is a requirement of the course.

(3) Students may apply to change streams by direct application to the Faculty Office. Approval is required from the relevant Associate Dean (or his/her delegate) for any case. Students will be assessed based on the Flexible First Year average mark criteria but will also be required to show that they have met progression requirements in their current degree or stream as specified by the school and that they will able to complete the new stream in the normal time period.

(4) Flexible First Year
   (a) Undergraduate students entering first year of the Engineering courses in Semester 1 may apply to undertake the Flexible First Year program, instead of choosing a particular stream.
   (b) The Flexible First Year Program is listed in the Flexible First Year Table. At the end of Semester 1 Students may transfer into approved streams as defined in the following clause, or may choose to continue in the Flexible First Year Program for Semester 2, though Semester 2 units may or may not count towards their course, depending on the final choice of stream.
   (c) Those students who have met the requirements for first year entry (ATAR cut-off or equivalent) into a particular Engineering program will be guaranteed approval to transfer into that program even though they chose the Flexible First Year Program. Students who did not meet the first year entry requirements for specific streams, but subsequently attained average marks in the Flexible First Year Program that met or surpassed the specified requirements for those streams will also be eligible to apply for transfer into those streams. The transfer requirements will be approved by the Dean or nominee. These conditions will also apply for combined degree candidates.

4 Admission to Candidature

(1) Admission to this course is on the basis of a secondary school leaving qualification such as the NSW Higher School Certificate (including national and international equivalents), tertiary study or an approved preparation program. English language requirements must be met where these are not demonstrated by sufficient qualifications taught in English. Special admission pathways are open for mature aged applicants who do not possess a school leaving qualification, for educationally disadvantaged applicants and for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. Applicants are ranked by merit and offers for available places are issued according to the ranking. Details of admission policies are found in the Coursework Rule.

5 Requirements for Award

(1) The units of study that may be taken for the course are set out in the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Flexible First Year Table of units of study, the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Core Table, the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Stream Core Tables, and the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Stream Specialist Tables of units of study for the specialised stream in the degree.
To qualify for the award of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree, a candidate must:
(a) successfully complete 192 credit points comprising:
   (i) A minimum of 36 credit points from the Engineering Core Table, including all required units;
   (ii) A minimum of 108 credit points from the Engineering Stream Table pertaining to the specialist stream being undertaken, including all required units;
   (iii) A minimum of 48 credit points of additional units from the Engineering Stream Specialist Table pertaining to the specialist stream being undertaken, including satisfying any additional requirements specified for the Specialist Table; and
(b) have an EWAM of at least 65 immediately prior to the semester in which a thesis unit of study is first attempted; and
(c) have an EIHWAM of at least 65 at the completion of the degree; and
(d) complete the requirements within a time limit of 5 years for a single Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree or complete the requirements within a time limit of 6 years for a Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degree.

Candidates who satisfy Clause 5.2(a), but who have not satisfied all of clauses 5.2(b), 5.2(c) and 5.2(d), will qualify for the award of the Bachelor of Engineering degree (i.e. the pass degree; awarded without honours).

The class of Honours will be determined by the EIHWAM.

In exceptional circumstances, the Dean may vary the conditions for the award of Honours after seeking the advice of the relevant Head of School.

The Bachelor of Engineering Honours degree is awarded in classes ranging from First Class to Second Class, Division Two Third Class. The various classes of Honours are awarded on the basis of a candidate’s EIHWAM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>HWAM Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honours Class I</td>
<td>75 &lt;= EIHWAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honours Class II (Division 1)</td>
<td>70 &lt;= EIHWAM &lt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honours Class II (Division 2)</td>
<td>65 &lt;= EIHWAM &lt;70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honours Class III</td>
<td>EIHWAM &lt; 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no restrictions on students attempting majors other than the Space Engineering and Humanitarian Engineering majors.

Except where otherwise specified in the details of a specific major, a major will be available to all students who satisfy the requirements of that major. The availability of the major does not however mean that the units of study listed in the table for the major (or required prerequisite units of study) will be available to all students, or that students in all streams will have sufficient free electives to complete the required units.

Students can be awarded multiple majors where those majors are available without the limits specified in clause (2)(b) and where they satisfy the requirements for those majors. When completing multiple majors, no unit may be counted towards satisfying the requirements of more than one major.

Students are eligible to attempt the Space Engineering major based on either a separate and specific admission pathway or on application at the end of any calendar year having achieved an AAM approved by the Dean or nominee. There are no restrictions on students attempting majors other than the Space Engineering major.

Entry to the Humanitarian major may be on a competitive basis, subject to demand. Any required application process and selection criteria will be approved by the Dean or nominee.

A major requires:
(a) the completion of 24 credit points chosen from units of study listed in the table for that major;
(b) satisfying any additional requirements specified for the major, and listed with the table of units for the major;
(c) the completion of a thesis project that has been approved by the Head of School (or delegate) as relevant to the topic of the major.

The majors available are:
(a) Chemical Engineering
(b) Computer Engineering
(c) Construction Management
(d) Electrical Engineering
(e) Environmental Engineering
(f) Geotechnical Engineering
(g) Humanitarian Engineering*
(h) Information Technology
(i) Internet of Things*
(j) Materials
(k) Mechanical Engineering
(l) Mechatronic Engineering
(m) Power Engineering
(n) Space Engineering
(o) Structures
(p) Telecommunications Engineering
(q) Transport Engineering
* available from 2017

The resolutions apply to students who commenced their candidature after 1 January 2016 and students who commenced their candidature prior to 1 January 2016 who elect to proceed under these resolutions will take effect from 1 January 2017.

Candidates who commenced prior to 1 January, 2016 may:
(a) complete the requirements in accordance with the resolutions governing their candidature immediately prior to these changes; or
(b) where approved by the Faculty, elect to proceed under these resolution provided appropriate programs of study can be identified.
Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees

Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees
Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees
Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Arts
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Arts
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Commerce
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Commerce
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Design in Architecture
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Laws
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Laws
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Medical Science
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Medical Science
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Music Studies
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Music Studies

Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Project Management
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Project Management
Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science
Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Science

These resolutions must be read in conjunction with applicable University By-laws, Rules and policies including (but not limited to) the University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014 (the ‘Coursework Rule’) Coursework Policy 2014, the Resolutions of the Faculty, the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006 (as amended) and the Academic Board policies on Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism. Up to date versions of all such documents are available from the Policy Register: http://www.sydney.edu.au/policies.

Course Resolutions

Terminology

In the following resolutions, all reference to the Bachelor of Engineering degree apply to both the Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Engineering Honours degrees, except where otherwise indicated.

Course codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHENGART</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGART</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering-and-Bachelor of Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGCOM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGCOM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering-and-Bachelor of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGDA</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGDA</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering-and-Bachelor of Design-in-Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGW</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGW</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering-and-Bachelor of-Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Medical Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineer-and-Bachelor of-Medical-Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BENGPRM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGPRM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGPRM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHENGPRM</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENGSCI</td>
<td>Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Attendance Pattern

(1) The attendance pattern for the following programs is full-time only. The attendance pattern for all other Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined courses is full time or part time.

(a) Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Design in Architecture
(b) Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture
(c) Bachelor of Engineering and Bachelor of Design in Architecture
(d) Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Laws

Part time students must still satisfy appropriate enrolment progression and are subject to the same degree time limits as full time students. International students are required to follow the enrolment pattern as specified by their visa. The Faculty strongly recommends full time enrolment as their preferred option for all undergraduate students unless exceptional circumstances exist.

3 Streams

(1) Completion of a stream is a requirement of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and students in combined degrees are subject to the stream requirements in the Bachelor of Engineering Honours course resolutions.

(2) Students in the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees can change the stream of the BEHons portion of their combined degree in accordance with the same requirements specified in the Bachelor of Engineering Honours resolutions.

(3) Flexible First Year

(a) Students gaining entry to any of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees may also choose to undertake the Flexible First Year program under the same requirements as specified in the Bachelor of Engineering Honours resolutions.

Within the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Design in Architecture and the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Bachelor of Design in Architecture, the Bachelor of Engineering Honours is available only in the Civil Engineering stream. For all other Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees, the streams available for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours are listed under the course resolution for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours.

(5) The Bachelor of Science degree is available in the following streams:

(a) Advanced
(b) Advanced Mathematics
(c) Advanced Mathematics
(d) Advanced Mathematics
(e) Advanced Mathematics
(f) Advanced Mathematics

Completion of a stream is not a requirement of the Bachelor of Science. Candidates wishing to transfer between streams should contact the Faculty of Science student office.

(6) The Bachelor of Music Studies is available in the following streams:

(a) Composition
(b) Contemporary Music Practice
(c) Musicology
(d) Performance
(e) Performance
(f) Performance

Completion of a stream is a requirement of the Bachelor of Music Studies.

4 Cross-Faculty Management

(1) Candidates in the combined Engineering and Law courses will be under the general supervision of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies until the end of the semester in which they complete the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours. They will then be under the supervision of the Faculty of Law. Candidates in all other combined degree programs will be under the general supervision of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies for the duration of the combined program.

(2) The Dean of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies and the Dean of the Faculty hosting the associated combined degree shall jointly exercise authority in any matter concerned with the combined course not otherwise dealt with in these resolutions.

5 Admission to Candidature

Admission to these degrees is on the basis of a secondary school leaving qualification such as the NSW Higher School Certificate (including national and international equivalents), tertiary study or an approved preparation program. English language requirements must be met where these aren't demonstrated by sufficient qualifications taught in English. Special admission pathways are open for mature aged applicants who do not possess a school leaving qualification, educationally disadvantaged applicants and for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. Applicants are ranked by merit and offers for available places are issued according to the ranking.

Details of admission policies are found in the Coursework Rule.

(2) Admission to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Music Studies will, in addition to the above, require the applicant to complete a music skills test or jazz aptitude test and:

(a) Principal Study in Composition, to submit three compositions in different performance media which should represent their present level of achievement as composers, and to attend an interview;
(b) Principal Study in Contemporary Music Practice, to submit a portfolio with original work; song(s) or composition(s) in any genre that demonstrates a high level of creative potential and technical ability, and to attend an interview;
(c) Principal Study in Musicology, to present an example of recent work and to attend an interview;
(d) Principal Study in Performance, to undertake a practical audition in their nominated instrument or in voice.

The results of this process will form part of the ranking of applicants.

6 Requirements for Award

(1) To qualify for the award of the combined degree:

(a) For all Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined degrees except the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Laws, a candidate must complete 240 credit points and satisfy any additional requirements specified in the following clauses.
(b) For the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Laws combined degree, a candidate must complete 288 credit points and any additional requirements specified in the following clauses.
(c) Where the requirements specified in the following clauses account for less than the total required credit, candidates must complete additional units of study (not including general electives) from the relevant Bachelor of Engineering Honours specialist stream table subject to any conditions specified in that table as may be necessary to satisfy the requirements of the degree.
(d) For the Bachelor of Engineering Honours component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours component of the combined degree are set out in the tables of units of study for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours single degree.
(b) Except where varied by other clauses of these resolutions, all candidates must complete a minimum of 144 credit points comprising:
   (i) 36cp from the Engineering Core Table, including all required units;
   (ii) 108cp from the Engineering Stream Core Table pertaining to the specialist stream being undertaken, including all required units;
   (c) The Faculty Board may approve, based on appropriate academic justification, a list of approved unit alternatives. These alternatives specify, for particular Engineering stream/combined degree combinations, units within the normal requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours component of the combined degree that can be replaced by specified alternative units that would form part of the normal program for single degree students in that stream.

(3) For the Bachelor of Arts component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken are set out in Table A from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Tables of units of study.
(b) Candidates must complete a total of 84 credit points from Table A, including:
   (i) a major from Table A
   (ii) a minimum of 54 credit points of 2000/3000 level units of study.
(4) For the Bachelor of Commerce component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken are set out in the Tables of Undergraduate Units of Study from The University of Sydney Business School;
(b) Candidates must complete 96 credit points of units of study selected from the Table of Undergraduate Units of Study from The University of Sydney Business School including:
   (i) 36 credit points of core units of study (30 junior credit points and six senior credit points); and
   (ii) at least 48 credit points of 2000 and/or 3000 level units of study.
(5) For the Bachelor of Science component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken are listed in Table 1 from the Faculty of Science.
(b) Candidates must complete 96 credit points of Science units of study, including at least one major in a Science subject area.
(c) Candidates completing the Bachelor of Science in the Advanced or the Advanced Mathematics stream must include as part of the above requirements:
   (i) a minimum of 54 credit points of intermediate or senior Science units of study, of which at least 36 credit points shall be completed at either the Advanced level or as Talented Student Program (TSP) units of study; and
   (ii) a minimum of 24 credit points of senior Science units of study at either the Advanced level or as TSP units in a single Science subject area.
(6) For the Bachelor of Design in Architecture component of a combined degree:
(a) Candidates must complete 96 credit points of units of study from the Bachelor of Engineering Honours (Civil) and Bachelor of Design in Architecture - Architecture Table.
(7) For the Bachelor of Laws component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken are set out in the Faculty of Law Undergraduate Table.
(b) Candidates must complete 144 credit points of Law units of study taken from the Faculty of Law Undergraduate Table, comprising:
   (i) 102 credit points of compulsory units of study; and
   (ii) 42 credit points of elective units of study, of which a maximum of 36 credit points are taken from Part 1 and a minimum of 6 credit points are taken from Part 2.
(8) For the Bachelor of Medical Science component of a combined degree:
(a) The units of study that may be taken are listed in Table IV for the Bachelor of Medical Science from the Faculty of Science.
(b) The mathematics requirement for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours component of the combined degree will also satisfy the mathematics requirements for the Bachelor of Medical Science component.
(c) Candidates must complete 96 credit points of units including:
   (i) A minimum of 24 credit points from junior Science units of study, including:
      (A) 12 credit points from Chemistry; and
      (B) MBLG1001/1901/1991 Introductory Molecular Biology and Genetics; and
      (ii) 48 credit points from intermediate Science units of study, comprising:
         (A) 36 credit points of BMED240X units from Table IV(B) for the Bachelor of Medical Science; and
         (B) MBLG2071/2971 Molecular Biology and Genomics; and
         (C) MBLG2072/2972 Genetics and Genomics.
   (ii) A minimum of 24 credit points of senior Science units of study selected from the Bachelor of Medical Science Table IV (C).
(9) For the Bachelor of Project Management component of a combined degree:
(a) Candidates must complete the core and elective units of study as set out in the Bachelor of Project Management Unit of Study Table.
(10) For the Bachelor of Music Studies component of a combined degree:
(a) Candidates must complete 96 credit points from the Conservatorium of Music, and reach the minimum levels of achievement as set out in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Study</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Minimum Level of Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal Study</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Principal Study 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music Skills</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Harmony and analysis 1-4 and Aural Perception 1-4; or Jazz Music Skills 1-4; or Music Fundamentals 1-2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis, history, and culture studies</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>At least 12 credit points from Foundation units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ii] Composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Study</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Minimum Level of Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal Study</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Principal Study 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Instrumentation &amp; Orchestration; New Music; New Thinking; or Electroacoustic Music 1 &amp; 2; Composer Performer Workshop 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 credit points Ensemble or 6 credit points Composition Through Improvisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Candidates who do not meet the requirements for awarding of Honours is as defined in the resolutions for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours, Bachelor of Business, Bachelor of Arts, Bachelor of Science, Bachelor of Design in Architecture, Bachelor of Information Technology, Bachelor of Management, Bachelor of Social Sciences, Bachelor of Laws, and Bachelor of Medical Science.

(2) The award grades, and the criteria for the grades, are as defined in the resolutions for the constituent single degrees.

(3) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Arts degrees (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Arts pass degree.

(4) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Commerce (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Commerce pass degree.

(5) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Design in Architecture (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Design in Architecture pass degree.

(6) The Bachelor of Laws can be awarded in the grades of either Pass or Honours. Honours in the Bachelor of Laws is awarded in First Class or Second Class in accordance with the resolutions of the Bachelor of Laws.

(7) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Medical Science (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Medical Science pass degree.

(8) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Science (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Science pass degree.

(9) Award of the Degrees

(1) Candidates will be awarded a separate testamur for each degree completed.

(2) Candidates who do not meet the requirements for the award of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours, but who have otherwise satisfied the requirements of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and who have not otherwise satisfied the requirements of the Bachelor of Engineering Honours shall graduate with the Bachelor of Engineering pass degree.

(3) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Arts (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Arts pass degree.

(4) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Commerce (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Commerce pass degree.

(5) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Design in Architecture (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Design in Architecture pass degree.

(6) The Bachelor of Laws can be awarded in the grades of either Pass or Honours. Honours in the Bachelor of Laws is awarded in First Class or Second Class in accordance with the resolutions of the Bachelor of Laws.

(7) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Medical Science (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Medical Science pass degree.

(8) Candidates for the award of the Bachelor of Science (Honours) who do not meet the requirements, and who have not already graduated, will be awarded the Bachelor of Science pass degree.

(9) Course Transfer

(1) For the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined with Bachelor of Arts, Bachelor of Science, Bachelor of Design in Architecture, Bachelor of Project Management, and Bachelor of Medical Science, a candidate may abandon the combined program and elect to complete either the Bachelor of Engineering Honours or the associated combined degree in accordance with the resolutions governing that degree.

(2) For the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined with Bachelor of Laws, a candidate may withdraw from the combined degree program and elect to transfer to the Bachelor of Engineering, by written application to the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies, and complete the requirements in accordance with the resolutions governing that degree at the time of transfer. Candidature in the Bachelor of Laws will cease in these circumstances.
For the Bachelor of Engineering Honours combined with Bachelor of Commerce a candidate may abandon the combined program and elect to complete either the Bachelor of Engineering Honours or the Bachelor of Commerce in accordance with the resolutions governing that degree. Transfer from a combined degree to the Bachelor of Commerce is also conditional on the student having met the entry requirements of the Bachelor of Commerce in force at the time of their enrolment in the combined degree.

Completion of the abandoned degree in the future will require a new application for admission to that course and completion in accordance with the resolutions governing that degree.

Progression Rules

1. General progression rules for the combined degrees are covered by the resolutions of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies.

2. Candidates in a combined Science program with a stream in either Science (Advanced) or Science (Advanced Mathematics):
   (a) are required to maintain a minimum average mark of 65 in all intermediate and senior units of study in Science subject areas in each year of enrolment. Failure to maintain the required average will result in candidates being transferred to the Bachelor of Engineering Honours and Bachelor of Science without stream in their next year of enrolment with full credit for the units of study completed.
   (b) who fail to achieve an average mark of 65 across all Science units of study attempted in their final year but have otherwise completed all the requirements of the degree will be awarded the Bachelor of Science.

3. Candidates in a combined law program:
   (a) must successfully complete LAWS1006 Foundations of Law before enrolling in any other Bachelor of Laws units of study;
   (b) who fail to achieve an average mark of 65 across all Science units of study attempted in their final year but have otherwise completed all the requirements of the degree will be awarded the Bachelor of Science.
   (c) except with permission of the Dean of the Faculty of Law, candidates must complete the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering Honours before proceeding to Year Five of the Bachelor of Laws.

Transitional Provisions

1. These resolutions apply to students who commenced their candidature on or after 1 January, 2016.

2. Students who commenced their candidature prior to 1 January, 2016 may:
   (a) complete the requirements in accordance with the resolutions governing their candidature immediately prior to these changes; or
   (b) where approved by the Faculty, elect to proceed under these resolutions provided appropriate programs of study can be identified.

3. Notwithstanding sub-rule (2), the admission and award requirement for Honours in the Bachelor of Laws will be determined according to the transitional provisions in rule 11 of the Resolutions for the Bachelor of Laws.
Attachment 6: Engineering Honours calculations at other institutions

The following table describes the level of honours awarded for Engineering Honours programs at other Australian Universities. Note that "silent" means that the testamur does not indicate an honours level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2A</th>
<th>Class 2B</th>
<th>Class 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wollongong</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monash</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSW (#1)</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Silent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtin</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMIT</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Cowan</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UQ</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTasmania</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCU</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQU (#2)</td>
<td>Silent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USQ</td>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Class 2A</td>
<td>Class 2B</td>
<td>Class 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
#1: For UNSW, honours class 1, 2A, 2B require a thesis mark of >=65.0
#2: For CQU, level of honours based only on final year courses only
24 August 2015

Australian Council of Engineering Deans
Attention: Professor Doug Hargreaves, Executive Officer

Dear ACED Member

Re: Professional Engineer programs at AQF Level 7

Consequent to the implementation of the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF) in 2015, the Accreditation Board of Engineers Australia (EA) has been considering its accreditation policy for the long term in relation to Professional Engineer and Engineering Technologist levels.

To assist education providers move to the new AQF, and in the context of its implementation phase, the Accreditation Board provided a letter (11 September 2013, attached) outlining its approach to accreditation of programs at the level of Professional Engineer at AQF Levels 7 and 8. In that letter, it was stated that:

"Analysis of the AQF requirements has demonstrated that there is alignment between the AQF Level 5 Bachelor Honours Degree requirements and the EA Stage 1 Competency Standards for Professional Engineer, AQF Level 7 for Engineering Technologist and AQF Level 6 for Engineering Associate. The Accreditation Board anticipates that a program designed to meet AQF Level 8 will also meet the EA Stage 1 Competency Standards for the Professional Engineer. It may be that a four year AQF Level 7 program will meet EA Stage 1 Competency if the program is structured to ensure that all the elements of the EA Stage 1 Competency Standards for Professional Engineers are met. Engineers Australia will therefore consider for accreditation a four year AQF Level 7 program at the level of Professional Engineer."

Now that almost all universities have settled their implementation approach and are transitioning their four year engineering programs to AQF Level 8, the Accreditation Board advises its longer term position regarding four year programs at AQF Level 7. In order to help avoid potential confusion for all stakeholders in an already complex landscape, it is planned that from 2017 the Accreditation Board will no longer consider for accreditation, four year programs for Professional Engineer at AQF Level 7. Any Professional Engineer programs currently accredited at AQF Level 7 not planned for phasing out will be required to have a transition plan to AQF Level 8 or accreditation will be terminated.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions in relation to this matter.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Lincoln A Wood PhD FIEAust CPEng
National Manager Accreditation

cc Chair, Accreditation Board
25 February 2016

Professor David Lowe  
Associate Dean (Education)  
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies  
The University of Sydney NSW 2006

Ref. Letter to ACED dated 24 August 2015, regarding accreditation of AQF Level 7 courses at the level of Professional Engineer

Dear David,

Thank you for taking the time to meet with the Chair of the Engineers Australia Accreditation Board (Emeritus Professor Elizabeth Taylor) and myself recently to discuss the referenced letter (above).

Engineers Australia recognises that The University of Sydney has the authority to organise and name its education programs according to its policies and mission, consistent with its responsibility to TEQSA and to the community.

Similarly, Engineers Australia, in maintaining a well-ordered profession, has a responsibility to assure the community that the profession of engineering achieves appropriate professional competency standards, the foundation elements of which are provided by ‘entry to practice’ professional education programs. In the terminology of Engineers Australia, these standards are described as ‘Stage 1 Competency Standards’, representing the competencies required for entry to professional practice. Professional accreditation of engineering education programs is based on these competency standards. Standards are defined at three levels: Professional Engineer, Engineering Technologist and Engineering Associate.

The higher education environment has evolved significantly in recent years, one of the drivers of change being the introduction of the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF). The AQF has resulted in a period of transition for both universities and the professions. Each university has responded by aligning its education offerings with the AQF in ways that reflect the policies and mission of the organisation. Engineers Australia has also responded by aligning its three professional levels with the AQF levels, doing so in order to maintain the orderliness of the profession. Of particular relevance in the current discussion is the alignment of Professional Engineer Stage 1 Competency Standards with AQF Levels 8 and 9, and the Engineering Technologist Stage 1 Competency Standards with AQF Level 7.
In the engineering business community, AQF Level 7 has settled as the education level for Engineering Technologists, characterised by three year Bachelor education programs. Consequently, in order to avoid potential confusion between the Professional Engineer and Engineering Technologist standards, Engineers Australia has decided to consider all programs offered at AQF Level 7, whether three years or four years, for accreditation at the level of Engineering Technologist. This serves to not only protect the standing of the profession in the eyes of the community, but it also provides clarity and brand protection for all accredited engineering programs in Australia. It is for this reason that, although it is possible that some programs at AQF Level 7 might meet the Professional Engineer Stage 1 Competency Standards, Engineers Australia will only consider them at the level of Engineering Technologist.

I trust that this expanded explanation of our letter of August 2015 is helpful to the university in understanding the position of Engineers Australia in relation to accreditation of programs at AQF Level 7. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Lincoln A Wood
National Manager Accreditation, Engineers Australia
RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technologies to amend the Bachelor of Engineering Honours Mechanical Engineering stream and;
2. the amendment to the course resolutions UoS table arising from the proposal, with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the curriculum restructure of the BE(Hons) approved in 2015, AMME introduced two new engineering computational analysis units AMME2000 Engineering Analysis and AMME3060 Engineering Methods. The intention was for AMME2000 to be available as a Mechanical Stream Core and AMME3060 to be a Mechanical Specialist Elective. However, they are currently the classed other way around. AMME2000 is classed as a Mechanical Specialist Elective, while AMME3060 is classed as Mechanical Stream Core.

It is proposed the following change to the curriculum for the Mechanical Engineering stream.

AMME2000 Engineering Analysis: be become Mechanical Stream Core
AMME3060 Engineering Methods: become Mechanical Specialist Elective
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Engineering and IT

Contact person: Dr Doug Auld x12336, Christine Lacey x40678

1. Name of award course

Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) Mechanical Engineering Stream

2. Purpose of proposal

As part of the curriculum restructure of the BE(Hons) approved in 2015, AMME introduced two new engineering computational analysis units:

- AMME2000 Engineering Analysis
- AMME3060 Engineering Methods

These units have been designed to address the changing needs of our students with regard to competence in the use of engineering mathematical modelling packages to perform analysis of complex engineering systems. AMME2000 provides the core fundamentals of engineering analysis and modelling, while AMME3060 extends upon this, giving students specialist skills in this area.

As such, the intention here was always that AMME2000 would be Mechanical Stream Core and AMME3060 would be a Mechanical Specialist Elective. However, they are currently the classed other way around. AMME2000 is classed as a Mechanical Specialist Elective, while AMME3060 is classed as Mechanical Stream Core.

This is problematic, since AMME3060 builds on material in AMME2000 (and has AMME2000 as a prerequisite), yet students are not required to complete AMME2000. It is a problem for combined degree students in particular, since AMME2000 does not appear in the 2016 programs of combined degree students at all, yet AMME3060 does.

3. Details of amendment

It is proposed the following change to the curriculum for the Mechanical Engineering stream.

- AMME2000 Engineering Analysis: become Mechanical Stream Core
- AMME3060 Engineering Methods: become Mechanical Specialist Elective

See Appendix A for amended Unit of Study Table

4. Transitional arrangements

No transition arrangements are necessary as students who had commenced the new B Eng Honours (Mechanical) program in 2016 will not reach the core unit requirements until 2017.

5. Other relevant information

Consultation has taken place between the Heads of School of AMME and the School of Mathematics and Statistics. The School of Mathematics and Statistics indicated that they did not object to the change. It was a stipulation that combined BE(Hons)/BSc students should still complete MATH2061 and MATH2065 or their advanced alternates as is required for the Science component of their combined degree.

6. Signature of Dean

[Signature]

1/6/2016
Appendix A

**Mechanical Engineering Stream Table**

### Mechanical Engineering Stream Core units

Complete all 108 credit points of the following units of study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMME1362</td>
<td>Materials 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N CIVL2110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2000</td>
<td>Engineering Analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A (MATH1001 or MATH1901 or MATH1906) and (MATH1014 or MATH1002 or MATH1902) and (MATH1003 or MATH1903 or MATH1907) and ENGG1801</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2261</td>
<td>Fluid Mechanics 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A MATH1001, MATH1002, MATH1003. N AMME2200</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2262</td>
<td>Thermal Engineering 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A MATH1001, MATH1002, MATH1003. N AMME2200</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2200</td>
<td>Mechanical Engineering 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2301</td>
<td>Mechanics of Solids</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P ENGG1802 AND (MATH1001 OR MATH1901) AND (MATH1002 OR MATH1902) AND (MATH1003 OR MATH1903)</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2500</td>
<td>Engineering Dynamics</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P ENGG1802 and (MATH1001 or MATH1901) and (MATH1002 or MATH1902)</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME2700</td>
<td>Instrumentation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A ENGG1801. Programming Skills, 1st Year maths skills, familiarity with fundamental Aerospace concepts. P AERO1560 OR MECH1560 OR MTRX1701 OR ENGG1800</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME3060</td>
<td>Engineering- Methods.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AMME2000</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME3500</td>
<td>System Dynamics and Control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P ((MATH2061 or MATH2961) and (MATH2065 or MATH2965)) or MATH2067</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGG1801</td>
<td>Engineering Computing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1 Summer Late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGG1802</td>
<td>Engineering Mechanics</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2 Summer Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH1560</td>
<td>Introduction to Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N AERO1560, MTRX1701, ENGG1800</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Limited Places due to TAFE component. Department Permission required for non-BE(Mech) students.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MECH2400</td>
<td>Mechanical Design 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A ENGG1801 and ENGG1802, HSC Maths and Physics</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3260</td>
<td>Thermal Engineering 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A Fundamentals of thermodynamics and fluid mechanics are needed to begin this more advanced course P AMME2200 OR AMME2262.</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3261</td>
<td>Fluid Mechanics 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AMME2200 OR AMME2261.</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Credits</td>
<td>Prerequisites</td>
<td>Semester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3361</td>
<td>Mechanics of Solids 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AMME2301 AND (AMME1362 OR AMME2302 OR CIVL2110)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3362</td>
<td>Materials 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A This subject requires you to have two important skills to bring in: (1) A good understanding of basic knowledge and principles of material science and engineering from AMME1362 Materials I and mechanics of solids for simple structural elements (in tension, bending, torsion) from AMME2301; (2) Reasonable mathematical skills in calculation of stresses and strains in simple structural elements. P AMME2301 AND (AMME1362 OR AMME2302 OR CIVL2110)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3460</td>
<td>Mechanical Design 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A Properties of engineering materials including fatigue failure theories. Statics and dynamics properties of machines. Practical use of Word and Excel including the use of the 'solver' and graphing capabilities built into the spreadsheet. The use of a spreadsheet is mandatory. P MECH2400 and AMME2301</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH3660</td>
<td>Manufacturing Engineering</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P MECH2400 or ENGG1960</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Candidates for the Space Major are exempt from AMME3060, AMME2000, and MECH3460.

### Mechanical Engineering Stream Specialist units

Complete 48 credit points of the units listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMME2000</td>
<td>Engineering-Analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A (MATH1001 or MATH1901 or MATH1906) and (MATH1014 or MATH1002 or MATH1902) and (MATH1003 or MATH1903 or MATH1907) and ENGG1801.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME3060</td>
<td>Engineering Methods</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AMME2000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5101</td>
<td>Energy and the Environment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 24 credits of 3000-level or above units of study</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5202</td>
<td>Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A Partial differential equations; Finite difference methods; Taylor series; Basic fluid mechanics including pressure, velocity, boundary layers, separated and recirculating flows. Basic computer programming skills.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5271</td>
<td>Computational Nanotechnology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A The students will require an understanding of basic principles of Newtonian mechanics, physics and chemistry, fluid mechanics and solid mechanics. General knowledge of how to operate a computer and work with different software is also required.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5310</td>
<td>Engineering Tribology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A (AMME2302 OR AMME9302) AND (AMME2301 OR AMME9301) AND (MECH3261 OR MECH9261)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5510</td>
<td>Vibration and Acoustics</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A (AMME2301 OR AMME9301) AND AMME2200 AND (AMME2500 OR AMME9500)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME5520</td>
<td>Advanced Control and Optimisation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A Students have an interest and a strong understanding of feedback control systems, specifically in the area of system modelling and control design in the frequency domain.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Department permission required for enrolment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMME5912</td>
<td>Crash Analysis and Design</td>
<td>P AMME3500 OR AMME5501 OR AMME9501</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH1400</td>
<td>Mechanical Construction</td>
<td>6 A Computer Aided Drafting, Basic FEA principles and Solid Mechanics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH2660</td>
<td>Engineering Management</td>
<td>6 A MECH1560 and HSC Mathematics and HSC Physics and HSC Chemistry</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH4460</td>
<td>Mechanical Design</td>
<td>6 A ENGG1803. It is expected that students will understand the concepts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH4961</td>
<td>Biomechanics and Biomaterials</td>
<td>6 A Students are expected to be familiar with the basic laws of thermodynamics, fluid mechanics and heat transfer.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH5255</td>
<td>Air Conditioning and Refrigeration</td>
<td>6 A Students are expected to be familiar with the basic laws of thermodynamics, fluid mechanics and heat transfer.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH5265</td>
<td>Advanced Combustion</td>
<td>6 A Students are expected to be familiar with the basic laws of thermodynamics, fluid mechanics and heat transfer.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH5275</td>
<td>Advanced Renewable Energy</td>
<td>6 A The students will require an understanding of the basic principles of fluid mechanics, thermodynamics and heat transfer, and the application of these principles to energy conversion systems. In particular, students should be able to analyse fluid flow in turbomachinery; perform first and second law thermodynamic analysis of energy conversion systems; and perform calculations of radiative, conductive and convective heat transfer.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH5310</td>
<td>Advanced Engineering Materials</td>
<td>6 A The students will require an understanding of the basic principles of fluid mechanics, thermodynamics and heat transfer, and the application of these principles to energy conversion systems. In particular, students should be able to analyse fluid flow in turbomachinery; perform first and second law thermodynamic analysis of energy conversion systems; and perform calculations of radiative, conductive and convective heat transfer.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Department permission required for enrolment.
technology, advanced joining methods, processing-structure-property relationship, damage tolerance, toughening mechanisms, structure integrity and reliability.

A maximum of 6 credit points may be chosen from the Bachelor of Engineering General Elective Table.

Candidates enrolled in the Space major complete the following 18 credit points of units of study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AERO2705</td>
<td>Space Engineering 1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A First Year Maths and basic MATLAB programming skills.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P (AERO1560 or MECH1560 or MTRX1701 or ENGG1800) and (MATH1001 or MATH1901) and (MATH1002 or MATH1902) and (MATH1003 or MATH1903)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERO3760</td>
<td>Space Engineering 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AERO2705. Students must have achieved a 65% average mark in 2nd year for enrolment in this unit.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERO4701</td>
<td>Space Engineering 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P AERO3760. Students must have achieved a 65% average mark in 3rd year for enrolment in this unit.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Natasha de Zilva, Team Leader, Professional Law Programs, Sydney Law School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Professor Joellen Riley, Dean, Sydney Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Addition of a UoS to the Elective Units of Study tables (Bachelor of Laws, Juris Doctor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the amendment to the Bachelor of Laws and Juris Doctor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Sydney Law School to amend the Bachelor of Laws and Juris Doctor; and
2. the amendment to the UoS table arising from the proposal, with effect from 1 January 2017.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Sydney Law School wish to:

- Add an optional UoS ‘Energy Law: Renewables & Emerging Technologies’ to the Bachelor of Laws in Part 1 of the Elective Units of Study Table and;
- Add an optional UoS ‘Energy Law: Renewables & Emerging Technologies’ to the Juris Doctor in Part 1 (International/Comparative/Transnational) of the Elective Units of Study Table:
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Sydney Law School

Contact person: Natasha de Zilva

1. Name of award course
   Bachelor of Laws
   Juris Doctor

2. Purpose of proposal
   Add a unit of study to the Elective Units of Study tables from 1 January 2017.

3. Details of amendment

   Undergraduate
   Optional units of study
   • Bachelor of Laws
     Insert the following approved unit of study in correct alphabetical order in Part 1 of the Elective Units of Study Table:
     Energy Law: Renewables & Emerging Technologies

   Postgraduate
   Optional units of study
   • Juris Doctor
     Insert the following approved unit of study in correct alphabetical order in Part 1 (International/Comparative/Transnational) of the Elective Units of Study Table:
     Energy Law: Renewables & Emerging Technologies

4. Transitional arrangements
   None required.

5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean

   [Signature]

   Professor Joellen Riley
   Dean
RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the amendment to the Faculty of Law coursework assessment guidelines and;
2. the amendment to the Resolutions of the Faculty of Law for coursework awards arising from the proposal, effective immediately.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To amend the wording of the Faculty Resolutions relating to Assessment Guidelines to achieve consistency in wording between the Undergraduate and Juris Doctor guidelines.

In September 2014 the Faculty Board agreed that the wording to (1)(a) and (2)(a) should be changed to reflect the intention that all units of study offer two or more assessments. This has been the practice since that decision. This proposal was not forwarded to Academic Board at the time due to an administrative error.
Proposal to amend to Faculty Resolutions, May 2016

Sydney Law School
Contact: Peter Lead, Executive Officer

1. Affected courses
Bachelor of Laws (including all combined degrees)
Juris Doctor

2. Purpose of proposal
To amend the wording of the Faculty Resolutions relating to Assessment Guidelines to achieve consistency in wording between the Undergraduate and Juris Doctor guidelines.

In September 2014 the Faculty Board agreed that the wording to (1)(a) and (2)(a) should be changed to reflect the intention that all units of study offer two or more assessments. This has been the practice since that decision. This proposal was not forwarded to Academic Board at the time due to an administrative error.

3. Details of the amendment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 3: Studying and assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Assessment guidelines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Undergraduate:
(a) It is expected that the assessment regime of each unit of study will include more than one form of assessment, or at least the option of a second form of assessment.
(b) The total number of assessable words for a unit of study assessed by assignments and/or take-home exams is 6000 words.
(c) In cases where an Sit-down exams is 100% of assessment it should not exceed 2 hours, unless circumstances justify a variation which has been approved by the Pro-Dean.
(d) "Free form" class participation must not amount to more than 10% of total assessment, but "structured class participation" may be weighted more heavily. A mark will not be assigned for free-form class participation where class size exceeds 25.
(e) Jointly assessed work must be approved by the Pro-Dean and must not be encouraged, but when permitted may not exceed 30% of total assessment.

(2) Juris Doctor:
(a) The assessment regime of each unit of study should include more than one form of assessment, or at least the option of a second form of assessment.
(b) The total number of assessable words for a unit of study assessed by assignments and/or take-home exams is 6000 words.
(c) Sit-down exams should not exceed 2 hours, unless circumstances justify a variation which has been approved by the Pro-Dean.
(d) "Free form" class participation must not amount to more than 10% of total assessment, but "structured class participation" may be weighted more heavily. A mark will not be assigned for free-form class participation where class size exceeds 25.
(e) "Structured class participation" may be weighted more heavily. A mark will not be assigned for structured class participation where class size exceeds 25.
(f) Jointly assessed work is not encouraged and must be approved by the Pro-Dean, and must not exceed 30% of total assessment.

(3) Postgraduate: please refer to individual unit outlines.

4. No transitional arrangements are required.

Professor Joellen Riley
Dean
RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposed changes to the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences – Honours Provisions 2014, with effect from 1 January 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences have a requirement that students intending to enrol in Honours programs “must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.”

This proposal seeks to reduce that requirement to “a major at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.”
Proposal to change FASS Honours Provision  
Melissa Hardie – Associate Dean Undergraduate

Our current requirement of students intending to enrol in our Honours programs is that they “must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.” I am advancing a proposal to reduce that requirement to “a major at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours,” to take effect from 2017.

1. Background.

- Colleagues in several departments have indicated to me that they think a minimum requirement of a major in their discipline would be a satisfactory one for intending honours students.
- I am frequently asked to waive the 48-cp requirement where these requests are supported by Honours co-ordinators.
- We currently accept students from other institutions on the basis of their having completed a major. In other words, we have a higher hurdle to entry for our own students than for external students. We could raise the bar for external students but I’ve seen no evidence that this would be beneficial for them.
- Since 2015 we have had a significantly raised average entry mark (from 65% to 70%). That increase may better moderate Honours entry in terms of likely success than the mere addition of units to a major (especially where the 48cp requirement does not encompass specific “Honours entry” units but just means adding 12cp to a major).
- Specifying ‘major’ rather than 36 credit points will make it clearer that a curriculum for a major is directed toward Honours study where relevant.

2. Implications

As noted in the 2014 provisions, some programs require additional units to be taken to prepare students for Honours. This change would be to the minimum requirement for any major, but does not curtail any major putting into place further requirements. In other words, lowering the requirement will not affect Honours entry as it currently stands unless a discipline decides to move to the new minimum requirement. Each discipline will need to determine its particular Honours entry requirements.
Current Honours Provisions 2014:
“(1) Students enrolling in the honours program before 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 65% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.
(2) Students enrolling in the honours program after 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.
(3) Some departments or programs may expect incoming honours students to have completed advanced senior units of study designed to prepare students for the honours program. These are sometimes referred to as “honours entry” units but are not part of the honours program.”

Proposed Change:
(1) Students enrolling in the honours program before 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 65% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.
(2) Students enrolling in the honours program after 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.
(3) Students enrolling in the honours program after 1 January 2017 must have completed a major (36 credit points) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.
(4) Some departments or programs may expect incoming honours students to have completed advanced senior units of study in addition to the completion of the major. These units are designed to prepare students for the honours program. These are sometimes referred to as “honours entry” units but are not part of the honours program.

Process:
A change to Honours minimum requirements has been discussed across FASS via the Undergraduate Committee during Semester 1, 2015. This proposal is now going to Hons Co-ordinators for their feedback and for dissemination broadly into programs. A meeting of Honours Co-ordinators in Semester 2 will ratify (or discard, or amend) the proposal, which will then travel through committees and Faculty and Academic Board for implementation in 2017.
1. Rationale and principles

(1) These provisions set out the basis for implementation of the Faculty resolutions relating to honours.

(2) Honours degrees in the Faculty are appended honours degrees: that is, honours consists of an extra year’s full-time study appended to the pass degree.

(3) The honours year is both a capstone to an undergraduate degree and a preparation for postgraduate study. It may be the culmination of a student’s formal education or the first step on a path to an academic career. In either case, it is a valuable qualification for careers within and outside the academy.

(4) Honours is an intensive program of advanced study with research at its centre. Students undertake coursework, usually within the framework of seminar classes, and research and write a substantial thesis on a topic that they work out in collaboration with a supervisor, who is a specialist in the relevant field. The program requires students to take advanced classes in one discipline or area of study and to apply the methods of a discipline actively.

(5) Honours students in the Faculty engage in depth with the problems and research processes of a discipline (such as Philosophy) or a coherent interdisciplinary subject area (such as International and Comparative Literary Studies).

(6) Students who wish to continue studying a variety of subjects in more depth than a pass degree permits should consider a Master’s degree rather than honours.

2. Honours program requirements

(1) Students enrolling in the honours program before 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 65% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.

(2) Students enrolling in the honours program after 1 January 2015 must have completed 48 senior credit points (normally, eight units of study) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.

(3) Students enrolling in the honours program after 1 January 2017 must have completed a major (36 senior credit points) at an average of 70% or better in the subject in which they intend to take honours.

(4) Some departments or programs may expect incoming honours students to have completed advanced senior units of study in addition to the completion of the major. These units are designed to prepare students for the honours program. These are sometimes referred to as “honours entry” units but are not part of the honours program.

(5) All honours programs will involve a mix of seminars and a thesis. Some also require additional work in forms appropriate to the discipline in question (for example, placements).
All honours students must submit between 30,000 and 39,000 words of written work or its equivalent.

There are two typical models for honours programs.

(a) Three seminars and a shorter thesis (12,000 to 15,000 words or the equivalent if written in a language other than English);

(b) Two seminars and a longer thesis (18,000 to 20,000 words or the equivalent if written in a language other than English).

3. Responsibilities of departments and programs

(1) The Chair of department or director, in the case of interdisciplinary programs, is responsible for ensuring that the department or program responsibilities listed in this clause are discharged.

(a) Depending on the organisational structure, this may involve some or all of the responsibilities being discharged by an honours co-ordinator, or a departmental committee or both.

(b) Where such arrangements are implemented, they must be explained clearly to students.

(2) Departments and programs are responsible for:

(a) ensuring that applicants for admission to honours are properly qualified, in terms of admission requirements and proposed research topic;

(b) ensuring the project proposed is appropriate for an honours thesis and can be undertaken with available time and facilities;

(c) ensuring the candidate receives proper supervision throughout the research and writing of the thesis;

(d) ensuring examination and assessment processes are consistent with the Coursework Policy 2014, Part 14;

(e) informing candidates of applicable requirements and conventions relating to the length, presentation, production and submission of the thesis;

(f) encouraging supervisors to participate in appropriate training activities;

(g) providing opportunities for the development of profitable intellectual relationships among honours students and staff;

(h) encouraging honours students to participate in appropriate departmental, school, or faculty activities;

(i) informing students of all ethical requirements relevant to their research, including requirements for Ethics Committee approvals, and assisting them to meet these requirements;

(j) monitoring the student –supervisor relationship and working to resolve any problems with it;

(k) making honours candidates aware of people within the department or program, Faculty and wider University to whom they can turn for advice;

(l) ensuring that honours candidates are made aware of relevant University policies and procedures.

Note: All University policies and procedures are available from the Policy Register (www.sydney.edu.au/policies).
4. Responsibilities of supervisors

Supervisors are responsible for:

(a) ensuring that honours students are aware of the standards expected for an honours thesis;
(b) identifying with the student the particular research methods and analytical skills that will need to be developed;
(c) negotiating with the student a plan for researching and writing the thesis, which may include a deadline for submitting a complete draft and arrangements for supervision meetings;
(d) making themselves available to meet with a thesis student for half an hour every two weeks, on average, during term time, noting that meetings may be shorter or less frequent earlier in the program and longer or more frequent in the later stages of the thesis;
(e) returning written work with constructive criticism within two weeks or any shorter agreed time;
(f) providing the student with feedback on progress, identifying problems and suggesting ways of addressing them;
(g) providing appropriate and timely advice on requirements of content, style, presentation and production of the thesis;
(h) working with the student to prepare any necessary applications for ethics approval;
(i) being aware of the support services for students available within the University and directing students to them where appropriate;
(j) informing the Chair of Department or honours co-ordinator of any problems which occur during the writing or researching of the thesis; and
(k) ensuring that appropriate records are created and maintained.

Note: See University Recordkeeping Policy and Recordkeeping Manual.

5. Responsibilities of students

(1) Students are solely responsible for:
(a) enrolling in honours units and varying their enrolment when required or appropriate; and
(b) the content, style, presentation and production of the thesis that is finally presented.

(2) Students are also responsible for:
(a) devoting sufficient time to the thesis, including ensuring that there is sufficient time to write it up;
(b) ascertaining applicable requirements for content, style, and presentation of the thesis;
(c) negotiating with the supervisor a plan for researching and writing the thesis, which may include a deadline for submitting a complete draft and arrangements for supervision meetings;
(d) drawing the attention of either or both of their supervisor or honours co-
ordinator to problems;

(e) identifying and, if necessary using, mechanisms that exist to help with
student-supervisor difficulties;

(f) familiarising themselves with relevant University policies and procedures,
and Faculty and departmental requirements; and

Note: All University policies and procedures are available from the Policy Register.
(www.sydney.edu.au/policies/)

(g) working with their supervisors to prepare any necessary applications for
ethics approval.

6. Joint honours programs

(1) The Faculty permits individually tailored joint honours programs for students whose
scholarly interests and research projects are strongly interdisciplinary.

(a) Students seeking to enrol in joint honours must meet the honours program
requirements for each subject area.

(b) Enrolment in such programs is at the discretion of the relevant honours co-
ordinators.

(c) Credit for the Equivalent Full-Time Student Load (EFTSU) will be divided
equally between the departments regardless of the number of seminars the
student takes in each.

(2) The relevant honours co-ordinators must ensure from the outset of a joint honours
program that satisfactory arrangements, consistent with procedures for other
students in their respective programs, are in place for marking the joint honours
thesis.

(a) This is especially important where a thesis requires specialist technical
knowledge or knowledge of a language other than English. For example: a
thesis written in German by a student undertaking joint honours in German
and Government and International Relations will need to be able to be read,
in German, by examiners from both departments.

(3) Students who wish to take joint honours in two programs must work with the
honours co-ordinators of both departments to devise a plan of study that has a
level of coherence comparable to that of honours taken in a single program.

(a) In collaboration with the honours co-ordinators the student must complete a
Plan of Study in the form set out in Schedule 1.

(b) The Plan of Study must be agreed and submitted to each of the honours co-
ordinators, with a copy retained by the student.

(c) The Plan of Study will constitute an agreement between the student and the
staff members, and between the departments and will be referred to in the
case of disagreement.

(4) Any joint honours program must include a thesis of at least 12,000 words which is
worth at least 40% of the final honours mark.

(5) Supervision arrangements for joint honours programs must be negotiated and
agreed upon by all parties at the beginning of the student’s program.

(6) A joint honours thesis must be supervised jointly by a staff member in each
department, although the responsibility need not be shared equally.
(7) There are three typical models for joint honours programs.
   
   (a) Two seminars, each worth 20% of the final mark, and a long thesis (18,000 to 20,000 words) worth 60%.
      
      (i) If one of the seminars is from a department which normally requires three seminars, and so offers seminars that require less work, the scale of assessments in that seminar may need to be increased to bring the total amount of written work within the 30,000 to 39,000 word range.
   
   (b) Three seminars, each worth 20% of the final mark, and a short thesis (12,000 to 15,000 words) worth 40%.
      
      (i) This option is appropriate for programs involving most School of Language and Cultures departments and English or Philosophy (but not both).
      
      (ii) This option may not be appropriate for programs involving the School of Social and Political Sciences departments, History and other programs that normally require a long thesis.
   
   (c) For joint honours in English and Philosophy: two seminars in English (each worth 15% of the final mark), two seminars in Philosophy (each worth 15% of the final mark) and a short thesis (12,000 to 15,000 words) worth 40%.

(8) A thesis written in a joint honours program must be assessable in both fields of study. The thesis will be due on the earlier of the dates for thesis submission in the relevant departments or programs.

(9) The Pro-Dean (Academic), in consultation with the relevant Chairs of Department and Heads of School, will be responsible for mediating any disputes over joint honours programs of study.

7. Marking honours theses

(1) Each thesis must be examined by at least two examiners, who will be members of academic staff other than the student’s supervisor.

(2) Each examiner must write a detailed report on the thesis, at least one page in length.

(3) The student will be provided with copies of each examiner’s report, but not the raw mark recommended by him or her.

(4) The supervisor will be provided with copies of each examiner’s report, including the raw mark recommended.
   
   (a) These must be provided before any meeting to finalise marks is held.
   
   (b) The supervisor may comment on, question or challenge the examiners’ reports.

(5) If the raw marks recommended by the examiners differ by 10 marks or less, the thesis will be awarded the average of the two marks provided that, if the marks fall within different grades, the honours co-ordinator will consult the two examiners to agree on the appropriate final mark and grade.

(6) If the raw marks recommended by the examiners differ by more than 10 marks:
   
   (a) the honours co-ordinator will request a written report (but no mark) from the supervisor, to clarify the contribution of the thesis;
(b) the honours co-ordinator will then consult the original examiners to attempt to reach agreement on an appropriate final mark and grade;

(c) if no agreement is reached, the honours co-ordinator will appoint a third examiner, who will provide a further recommended mark; and

(d) the honours co-ordinator will then consult all three examiners to agree on the final mark.

(7) The honours co-ordinator will maintain a record of all discussions with examiners relating to the final mark.

8. University medal

(1) A University medal may only be awarded by decision of the Faculty Honours Board in formal meeting.

(2) The Faculty Honours Board may award a University medal if:
   (a) the student has an overall fourth year honours mark of 90 or higher. For the avoidance of doubt, this includes a mark of 90; and
   (b) in the pass degree:
       (i) the student has a weighted average mark of 80 or higher; or
       (ii) has shown ever improving academic performance rather than intermittent excellence;
   and
   (c) the department’s citation makes a convincing case that the student’s academic accomplishment is genuinely exceptional.

(3) In departments where there is more than one student with a mark of 90 or higher, the department must explain why the medal candidate is outstanding even in the company of other 90+ students.

(4) Departments should not reflexively nominate all 90+ students for medals.

9. Faculty Honours Board

(1) There will be a Faculty Honours Board (“the Board”) which will:
   (a) certify the honours results of all departments and programs in the Faculty; and
   (b) decide to whom University medals will be awarded.

(2) The Board will consist of:
   (a) the Pro-Dean (Academic), as Chair;
   (b) the honours co-ordinators or chairs of every department or program with honours students whose results are under consideration; and
   (c) where there is a single honours co-ordinator for an entire School, the relevant Chairs will also attend.

(3) The Board will meet twice each year, at the end of each semester.

(4) Before each meeting, honours co-ordinators or Chairs of departments must prepare citations for each student recommended for award of a University medal, using the form set out in Schedule 2.
(5) Each citation must include:
   (a) the student’s overall Honours D mark;
   (b) the thesis mark;
   (c) the student’s seminar marks;
   (d) the weightings of the seminar and the marks;
   (e) the student’s pass degree weighted average mark;
   (f) an explanation, for a non-specialist, of what makes the student’s academic accomplishments exceptional, including qualitative comments rather than generic superlatives; and
   (g) an outline of the process by which the department arrived at the recommendation.

(6) Citations must be received by email in the Faculty Office by 9.00 am on the Monday before the Board meets.

(7) Before the meeting:
   (a) the Associate Dean will collect all citations and distribute copies to members of the Board; and
   (b) Faculty staff, in consultation with the Pro-Dean (Academic) will prepare a spreadsheet showing the honours results from each program.

(8) At its meeting the Board will:
   (a) consider, and if appropriate certify as valid, the numerical marks and corresponding awards submitted by each department or program; and then
   (b) discuss the merits of each candidate for a University medal and decide to whom medals should be awarded.

(9) Decisions to award a University medal must be:
   (a) taken in relation to each candidate one by one;
   (b) made by show of hands, not ballot; and
   (c) determined by a simple majority of Board members, with no casting vote in the event of a tie.

(10) The Faculty will retain the citation forms, in accordance with the University Recordkeeping Policy and Recordkeeping Manual.
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SCHEDULE 1
JOINT HONOURS PLAN OF STUDY FORM

This form should be completed by the student and the Honours Coordinators for the two departments, programs or schools involved. Sections marked with an asterisk need to be completed by the Honours Coordinators. The student and the two Honours Coordinators should each retain a copy.

GENERAL
Name of Student:
Departments/programs involved:
1.
2.

ASSESSMENT STRUCTURE
What assessment structure will this plan of study follow?

☐ Joint Honours in two programs, at least one of which requires a long thesis (18,000-20,000 words):
  - 1 seminar from each program, each worth 20% of the final Honours mark
  - A thesis of 18-20,000 words, worth 60% of the final Honours mark

☐ Joint Honours in two programs (one of which may be English or Philosophy, but not both), each of which requires a shorter thesis (12,000-15,000 words):
  - 1 seminar from one program, worth 20% of the final Honours mark
  - 2 seminars from the other program, each worth 20% of the final Honours mark
  - A thesis of 12,000-15,000 words, worth 40% of the final Honours mark

☐ Joint Honours in English and Philosophy:
  - 2 seminars from English, each worth 15% of the final Honours mark
  - 2 seminars from Philosophy, worth 15% of the final Honours mark
  - A thesis of 12,000-15,000 words, worth 40% of the final Honours mark

☐ Other

SEMINARS
List two or three or four seminars, depending on the option selected in section B

**Seminar 1**

Seminar title: 
Instructor: 
Department:  
*Assessment components (including word limits) and their weighting: 

*Are the above assessments and weightings the same as those for other students taking this seminar, or have they been modified to fit this Joint Honours plan of study?  

*If so, please explain how.

**Seminar 2**

Seminar title: 
Instructor: 
Department: 
Assessment components (including word limits) and their weighting:  

*Are the above assessments and weightings the same as those for other students taking this seminar, or have they been modified to fit this Joint Honours plan of study?  

*If so, please explain how.
Seminar 3 (if applicable)

Seminar title:
Instructor:
Department:

*Assessment components (including word limits) and their weighting:

*Are the above assessments and weightings the same as those for other students taking this seminar, or have they been modified to fit this Joint Honours plan of study?

*If so, please explain how.

Seminar 4 (applicable only in cases of Joint English-Philosophy Honours)

Seminar title:
Instructor:
Department:

*Assessment components (including word limits) and their weighting:

*Are the above assessments and weightings the same as those for other students taking this seminar, or have they been modified to fit this Joint Honours plan of study?

*If so, please explain how.
THESIS

Thesis topic:

Supervisors:

How often will the student meet each supervisor?

Will the student meet each supervisor separately or together?

What language will the thesis be written in?

*List any additional requirements (conferences, monthly workshops, literature reviews, progress reports, etc) peculiar to either department and their dates.

*When will the thesis be submitted?

*By what date must examiners submit their reports on the thesis?

*Will the marking of this thesis require specialist technical knowledge or expertise in a language other than English?
"If so, what arrangements are in place to ensure that the thesis is marked in a manner consistent with the procedures for other students in the same departments?"

**DECLARATIONS**

**Student**
I am satisfied with the above arrangements

...........................................................................................................

*Sign and date*

**Staff**

We are satisfied that the program of study described in this form is appropriate for a Joint Honours degree, and that the arrangements for supervision and assessment of the student’s thesis are sound and fair.

Honours Coordinator for Department/Program/School 1

...........................................................................................................

*Sign and date*

Honours Coordinator for Department/Program/School 2

...........................................................................................................

*Sign and date*
SCHEDULE 2
CANDIDATE CITATION FOR UNIVERSITY MEDAL

Student’s name and student ID number:
Department/program:
Student’s overall Honours D result:
Student’s thesis mark:
Student’s seminar marks (include names of seminars):

Weighting of seminar and thesis marks in this department or program:
Student’s pass degree WAM:

Please explain, for colleagues in other fields, what makes this student’s accomplishments in this field exceptional:

Please outline the process by which the department or program arrived at its medal recommendations:
RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences to delete the World Religions major; and
2. the amendment to the Resolutions of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences for Coursework Awards arising from the proposal with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences wish to amend the Faculty resolutions to reflect the retirement of the “World Religions” major as a table A major. This major was identified as an outlier in terms of attractiveness to students in the FASS Sustainability project of 2014-15, and is not sufficiently distinct from the “Studies in Religion” major to justify its ongoing status as a major.

Sydney Student data suggests that very few currently enrolled students are considering completing a major in World Religions, but those who elect to do so will be accommodated by the offer of an appropriate suite of elective units through relevant Departments / majors.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Arts and Social Sciences

Contact person: Ainslie Bulmer, Director Academic Planning and International Cooperation

1. Name of award course

   Resolutions of the Faculty

2. Purpose of proposal

   To amend the Faculty resolutions to reflect the retirement of the “World Religions” major as a table A major offered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences. This major was identified as an outlier in terms of attractiveness to students in the FASS Sustainability project of 2014-15, and is not sufficiently distinct from the “Studies in Religion” major to justify its ongoing status as a major.

3. Details of amendment

   Resolutions of the Faculty
   Resolutions of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences for Coursework Awards

   Part 4: Progression, Results and Graduation

   16. Undergraduate majors

   (1) A major from Table A is offered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences and consists of a minimum of 36 senior credit points in a defined subject area at senior-intermediate and senior-advanced level, including at least 6 credit points at senior-advanced level.
   (2) Units of study counted towards one major may not count toward any other major completed.
   (3) A major from Table B is one offered by another faculty at the University of Sydney. Requirements for completion of the major are defined in the resolutions of the faculty offering the major.
   (4) Specific majors required for the completion of a degree are listed in the course resolution for that degree. The majors available within the Faculty are:
   (5) Table A Majors
   (a) Agricultural Economics
   (b) American Studies
   (c) Ancient History
   (d) Anthropology
   (e) Arabic Language and Cultures
   (f) Archaeology
   (g) Art History
   (h) Asian Studies
   (i) Australian Literature
   (j) Biblical Studies
   (l) Celtic Studies
   (m) Chinese Studies
   (n) Cultural Studies
   (o) Digital Cultures
   (p) Econometrics*
   (q) Economics
   (r) English
   (s) Environmental and Resource Economics
   (t) European Studies
   (v) Film Studies
   (w) Financial Economics*
   (x) French Studies
   (y) Gender Studies
   (z) Germanic Studies
   (aa) Government and International Relations
4. Transitional arrangements
Sydney Student data suggests that very few currently enrolled students are considering completing a major in World Religions, but those who elect to do so will be accommodated by the offer of an appropriate suite of elective units through relevant Departments / majors.

5. Other relevant information
NA

6. Signature of Dean
Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Gillian Wu, Coordinator Academic Programs and Internationalisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Professor Barbara Caine, Dean, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences – Merge of the two majors ‘Biblical Studies’ and ‘Hebrew (Classical)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the merge of the two majors ‘Biblical Studies’ and ‘Hebrew (Classical)’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences to merge the Biblical Studies and Hebrew (Classical) majors; and
2. the amendment to the Resolutions of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences for Coursework Awards arising from the proposal with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences requests the amendment of the Faculty Resolutions to reflect the merging of the “Biblical Studies” major and “Hebrew (Classical)” major into the new “Biblical Studies and Classical Hebrew” major, as a Table A major offered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences.

The merging of these majors was recommended in the FASS Sustainability Project of 2014-15, as they are closely related. Students who major or do honours in Biblical Studies commonly have done some Classical Hebrew units as electives, and vice versa.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Arts and Social Sciences

Contact person: Gillian Wu, Coordinator Academic Programs and Internationalisation

1. Name of award course

   Resolutions of the Faculty

2. Purpose of proposal

   To amend the Faculty Resolutions to reflect the merging of the “Biblical Studies” major and “Hebrew (Classical)” major into the new “Biblical Studies and Classical Hebrew” major, as a Table A major offered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences.

   The merging of these majors was recommended in the FASS Sustainability Project of 2014-15, as they are closely related. Students who major or do honours in Biblical Studies commonly have done some Classical Hebrew units as electives, and vice versa.

3. Details of amendment

   Resolutions of the Faculty
   Resolutions of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences for Coursework Awards

   Part 4: Progression, Results and Graduation

   16. Undergraduate majors

   (1) A major from Table A is offered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences and consists of a minimum of 36 senior credit points in a defined subject area at senior-intermediate and senior-advanced level, including at least 6 credit points at senior-advanced level.
   (2) Units of study counted towards one major may not count toward any other major completed.
   (3) A major from Table B is one offered by another faculty at the University of Sydney. Requirements for completion of the major are defined in the resolutions of the faculty offering the major.
   (4) Specific majors required for the completion of a degree are listed in the course resolution for that degree. The majors available within the Faculty are:
   (5) Table A Majors
   (a) Agricultural Economics
   (b) American Studies
   (c) Ancient History
   (d) Anthropology
   (e) Arabic Language and Cultures
   (f) Archaeology
   (g) Art History
   (h) Asian Studies
   (i) Australian Literature
   (j) Biblical Studies
   (j) Biblical Studies and Classical Hebrew
   (k) Celtic Studies
   (m) Chinese Studies
   (n) Cultural Studies
   (o) Digital Cultures
   (p) Econometrics*
   (q) Economics
   (r) English
   (s) Environmental and Resource Economics
   (t) European Studies
   (v) Film Studies
   (w) Financial Economics*
(x) French Studies
(y) Gender Studies
(z) Germanic Studies
(aa) Government and International Relations
(bb) Greek (Ancient)
(cc) Hebrew (Classical)
(dd) Hebrew (Modern)
(ee) History
(ff) Indigenous Studies
(gg) Indonesian Studies
(hh) International and Comparative Literary Studies
(ii) Italian Studies
(jj) Japanese Studies
(kk) Jewish Civilisation, Thought and Culture
(ll) Korean Studies
(mm) Latin
(nn) Linguistics
(oo) Modern Greek Studies
(pp) Music
(qq) Performance Studies
(rr) Philosophy
(ss) Political Economy
(tt) Sanskrit
(uu) Social Policy
(vv) Socio-Legal Studies
(ww) Sociology
(xx) Sociology and Social Policy (double major)
(yy) Spanish and Latin American Studies
.zz) Studies in Religion

4. Transitional arrangements
None required, as all units of study currently present in each major will be retained after the majors have merged.

5. Other relevant information
See attachment for detailed rationale and plan of action.

6. Signature of Dean
Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Emma Doyle, Manager - Curriculum Support and Scholarships, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Professor Barbara Caine, Dean, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences – Economics combined degree – Correction to the resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the amendments to the Bachelor of Economics combined degree resolutions for the Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics, Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws and Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences to amend the following Bachelor of Economics combined degrees: Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics, Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws and Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine; and
2. the amendment to the following course resolutions with effect as soon as possible; Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics, Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws and Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences request amendments to the Bachelor of Economics combined degree course resolutions for the Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics, Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws and Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine to include the five majors offered by the School of Economics.
MINOR COURSE AMENDMENT PROPOSAL
FACULTY/BOARD OF STUDIES: FACULTY OF ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Contact Person: Emma Doyle
Date: 10 May 2016

1. Name of award course
   Bachelor of Economics combined degrees:
   Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics
   Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws
   Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine

2. Purpose of proposal
   To amend the resolutions of the Bachelor of Economics combined degrees to include the five majors offered by the School of Economics

3. Details of amendment

Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Economics

4 Requirements for the award
(1) The units of study that may be taken for the course are set out in Table A from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences and in the table of undergraduate units of study: University of Sydney Business School and in the Faculty of Science Table 1(Psychology).
(2) To qualify for the award of the pass degree, a candidate must complete 192 credit points and three majors, comprising of:
   either
   (a) one major from the School of Economics (Economics, Econometrics, or Financial Economics, Agricultural Economics or Environmental and Resource Economics), and
   (b) two additional majors from Table A where no more than one is chosen from School of Economics majors;
   or
   (c) one major from the School of Economics (Economics, Econometrics, or Financial Economics, Agricultural Economics or Environmental and Resource Economics), one additional major from Table A, and one major from the following:
   (i) Accounting
   (ii) Business Information Systems
   (iii) Commercial Law
   (iv) Finance
   (v) Industrial Relations and Human Resource Management
   (vi) International Business
   (vii) Management
   (viii) Marketing
   (ix) Quantitative Business Analysis
   (x) Psychology
Bachelor of Economics and Bachelor of Laws

5 Requirements for the degrees in the combined course
(1) The units of study that may be taken for the degrees in the combined program are set out in the Bachelor of Economics Table of undergraduate units of study of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences and the Faculty of Law Undergraduate Table.
(2) To qualify for the award of the pass degrees, a candidate must successfully complete 240 credit points, comprising:
(a) a minimum of 60 credit points from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, including a major in Economics, Econometrics or Financial Economics, Agricultural Economics or Environmental and Resource Economics; and
(b) a maximum of 36 credit points of elective units of study from The University of Sydney Business School; and
(c) 144 credit points of Law units of study, of which 48 credit points are Combined Law compulsory units of study for Years 1, 2 and 3 and are credited towards the requirements for both the Bachelor of Economics and the Bachelor of Laws degrees.
(3) Requirements for the Bachelor of Economics
To qualify for the award of the Bachelor of Economics, candidates must complete 144 credit points, including:
(a) 48 credit points of the Combined Law compulsory units of study for Years 1, 2 and 3; and
(b) a minimum of 60 credit points from the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, including a major in Economics, Econometrics, or Financial Economics, Agricultural Economics or Environmental and Resource Economics; and
(c) a maximum of 36 credit points of elective units of study from The University of Sydney Business School
(d) a maximum 48 junior credit points (not including the LAWS units).

Bachelor of Economics/Doctor of Medicine

6 Majors
Completion of a major is a requirement of the Bachelor of Economics degree. Students must complete a major in either Economics, Econometrics, or Financial Economics, Agricultural Economics or Environmental and Resource Economics. A major requires the completion of at least 36 senior credit points. Units of study counted towards one major may not count toward any other major completed.

4. Transitional arrangements
N/A

5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean

Emma Doyle
10 May 2016
Submission To
Undergraduate Studies Committee

Date
15 June 2016

Item No
4.10

Non-Confidential

Author
Sarah Brown, Learning & Teaching Operations Manager

Reviewer/Approver
Professor Donna Waters, Dean

Paper title
Sydney Nursing School – Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies)

Purpose
The purpose of this proposal is to advise the Undergraduate Studies committee of an amendment to the study pattern for the Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies).

RECOMMENDATION
That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:
1. the proposal from Sydney Nursing School to amend the Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies); and
2. the amendment to the table of Units of Study arising from this proposal with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
It is proposed that there be a minor amendment to the order of units of study offered in the Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies).

More specifically, it is proposed that NURS2002 Child and Adolescent Health and Care (2nd year) and NURS3010 Managing Chronic Conditions (3rd year) swap to better prepare students to be clinically prepared and competent in paediatric health care settings. Swapping these units will allow more opportunity for students to learn and rehearse pre-learned clinical skills prior to paediatric placements. The amended unit of study tables are enclosed in the proposal.

This minor course amendment proposal was approved by the Faculty Curriculum Sub-Committee on Tuesday 7 June, 2016.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Faculty of Nursing & Midwifery

Contact person: Dr Jennifer Green, Director of Pre-Registration Programs

1. **Name of award course**
   
   BPNURADS-01 Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies)

2. **Purpose of proposal**
   
   The purpose of this proposal is to advise the Undergraduate Studies Committee of an amendment to the study pattern for the Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies).

3. **Details of amendment**
   
   It is proposed that there be a minor amendment to the order of units of study offered in the Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies).

   More specifically, it is proposed that NURS2002 Child and Adolescent Health and Care (2nd year) and NURS3010 Managing Chronic Conditions (3rd year) swap to better prepare students to be clinically prepared and competent in paediatric health care settings. Swapping these units will allow more opportunity for students to learn and rehearse pre-learned clinical skills prior to paediatric placements.

   The amended unit of study table is enclosed.

4. **Transitional arrangements**
   
   Students who are enrolled in Year 2 in 2016 will complete NURS2002 as per the 2016 pattern of study.

   Students currently enrolled in Year 1 will complete NURS2002 in 2018. Therefore, NURS2002 will not be offered in 2017. In place of the currently programmed NURS2002, these students will undertake NURS3010 in 2017.

5. **Other relevant information**
   
   N/A

6. **Signature of Dean**

   [Signature]

Minor Course Amendment Proposal
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit of study</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>A: Assumed knowledge P: Prerequisites C: Corequisites N: Prohibition</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bachelor of Nursing (Advanced Studies)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1, semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1001 Health and Human Biology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1002 Health Assessment</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1003 Population Health to Personal Healthcare</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1004 Nursing Knowledge, Practice and Policy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1, semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1005 Interruptions to Normal Physiology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P NURS1001</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1006 Understanding Experiences of Illness</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P NURS1002</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1007 Health Research</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS1008 Acute Care Nursing Practice</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P NURS1002</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2, semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2001 Introduction to Pharmacology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS1001 and NURS1005</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2003 Contexts of Health and Disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2004 Understanding Mental Health and Illness</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS1008</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2006 Ageing, Health and Care</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS1008</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2, semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2002 Child and Adolescent Health and Care NURS3010 Managing Chronic Conditions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS1008</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2005 Pharmacology, People and Practice</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS2001</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS2007 Clinical Practice in Mental Health</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 36 Year 1 credit points including NURS2004 C NURS2005</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3001 Health and Indigenous Populations</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P HSBH1006 and HSBH1007 or HSBH2007 and HSBH1008 and HSBH1009 or BACH1161 or NURS1003</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3, semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3007 Nursing the Critically Ill Person</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS1008</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3008 Community Health Nursing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS2006 C NURS3010</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3009 Leadership in Professional Practice</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3010 Managing Chronic Conditions NURS2002 Child and Adolescent Health and Care</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS2006</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3, semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3011 First Line Intervention</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS3007</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3012 Life Limiting Conditions and Palliation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS3010</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3015 Australian Health Care System</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURS3016 Professional Practice</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 Year 1 credit points and 36 Year 2 credit points, including NURS2006, NURS2007, NURS2002, NURS3007, and NURS3010</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minor Course Amendment Proposal
Submission To: Undergraduate Studies Committee
Date: 15 June 2016
Item No: 4.11

Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Associate Professor Sarah Lewis, Associate Professor, Discipline of Medical Radiation Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Associate Professor Sarah Lewis, Associate Professor, Discipline of Medical Radiation Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences – Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the amendment to the Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the proposal from the Faculty of Health Sciences to amend the Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours; and
2. the amendment to the course resolutions UoS table, with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Health Sciences requests an amendment to the course resolutions UoS table to include new UoS for Year 4 of the Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours, available from 2017.

These new units will complete the rollout of the new 4-year course, approved by Academic Board in 2012.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Faculty of Health Sciences

Contact person: A/Prof Sarah Lewis

1. Name of award course

Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours

2. Purpose of proposal

To advise the Undergraduate Studies Committee of new units of study to be included in the Course Tables for Year 4 of the Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours. These new units will complete the rollout of the new 4-year course, approved by Academic Board in 2012.

3. Details of amendment

The tables for Year 3 of the Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass and Honours are provided below:

Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Pass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 4 (first offered 2017)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4038 Radiography Work Integrated Learning 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4039 Radiographic Evaluation 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4040 Radiography Work Integrated Learning 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One elective [6cp) (see note 1)

SEMESTER 1 TOTAL: 24 CREDIT POINTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Semester 2</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4041 Comparative Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4042 Radiography Work Integrated Learning 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4043 Professional Capstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4044 Radiography Work Integrated Learning 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEMESTER 2 TOTAL: 24 CREDIT POINTS
Notes

1. Electives may be chosen from Faculty Electives list, or from other units offered by the Faculty or University, with permission from the Course Director

Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography) Honours

**Year 4 (first offered 2017)**

**Semester 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Intensive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4038</td>
<td>Radiography Work Integrated Learning 5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4039</td>
<td>Radiographic Evaluation 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Semester 1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4040</td>
<td>Radiography Work Integrated Learning 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHSC4012</td>
<td>Honours B: Applied Research Skills</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEMESTER 1 TOTAL: 24 CREDIT POINTS**

**Semester 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Intensive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4041</td>
<td>Comparative Imaging</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Semester 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4042</td>
<td>Radiography Work Integrated Learning 7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHSC4013</td>
<td>Honours C: Research Project</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRTY4044</td>
<td>Radiography Work Integrated Learning 8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEMESTER 2 TOTAL: 24 CREDIT POINTS**

4. **Transitional arrangements**

No transitional arrangements will be required.

5. **Other relevant information**

6. **Signature of Dean**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dr Mark Halaki, Director, Faculty Units, Faculty of Health Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences – Change to undergraduate electives list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the amendment to the Faculty of Health Sciences undergraduate electives list.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the amendment to the Faculty of Health Sciences undergraduate electives list, with effect from 1 January 2017.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Faculty of Health Sciences requests an amendment to the Faculty of Health Sciences undergraduate electives list to include a new elective HSBH3XXX Bodily Senses in Health and Disease.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal  Version 03.09.2012

Faculty: Faculty of Health Sciences  
Contact person: Dr Mark Halaki

1. **Name of award course**

- Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography)
- Bachelor of Applied Science (Exercise Physiology)
- Bachelor of Applied Science (Exercise and Sport Science)
- Bachelor of Applied Science (Physiotherapy)
- Bachelor of Applied Science (Occupational Therapy)
- Bachelor of Health Sciences

2. **Purpose of proposal**

To advise the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the approval of a new undergraduate elective HSBH3XXX Bodily Senses in Health and Disease, and seek approval for an amendment to the Faculty Undergraduate Elective list, from 2017.

3. **Details of amendment**

The amendment to the Faculty of Undergraduate Elective list is detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate electives</th>
<th>Availability of electives may vary from year to year.</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BACH3128 Health and Globalisation</td>
<td>6 N HSBH3009</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACH3146 Cyberpsychology and e-Health</td>
<td>6 P HSBH1010</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACH3147 Health at Work</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1155 Structure, Function and Disease A</td>
<td>6 N BIOS1170</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1158 Structure, Function and Disease B</td>
<td>6 N HSBM1003</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1167 Foundations of Biomedical Science</td>
<td>6 N BIOS1126 or BIOS1130 or BIOS1156 or BIOS1161 or HSBM1001</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1168 Functional Musculoskeletal Anatomy A</td>
<td>6 N BIOS1136 or BIOS1159 or BIOS5090</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1169 Functional Musculoskeletal Anatomy B</td>
<td>6 P BIOS1168</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N BIOS1139 or BIOS1144 or BIOS1160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1170 Body Systems: Structure and Function</td>
<td>6 N BIOS1127 or BIOS1133 or BIOS2098 or BIOS2099 or BIOS1155 or BMED2403 or PHSI2005 or PHSI2006</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1171 Neuroscience</td>
<td>6 N BIOS1137 or BIOS2103</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Semester 1 Unit Coordinator is A/Prof Leslie Nicholson, Semester 2 unit coordinator is Dr Bronwen Ackermann*  
*Semester 1 coordinator is Dr Jin Huang, Semester 2 coordinator is Dr Alan Freeman*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1172</td>
<td>Biological Aspects of Ageing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N BIOS4036</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS1173</td>
<td>Disease in Ageing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N BIOS4038</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS2115</td>
<td>Embryology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A 6 credit points of Junior Biology</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS3063</td>
<td>Project Design and Management</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS3065</td>
<td>Anatomical Analysis of Exercise</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P BIOS1168 and BIOS1169</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOS3066</td>
<td>Current Issues in Healthcare</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A CSCD1034</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCD1032</td>
<td>Human Communication</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P BACH1165 or PSYC1001 or PSYC1002 or HSBH1003</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Pathology students must pass this unit in order to enrol in clinical units in Year 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSS1032</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Exercise Science</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXSS2026</td>
<td>Growth, Development and Ageing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH1005</td>
<td>Human Development</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH1010</td>
<td>Foundations of eHealth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH2008</td>
<td>Physical Activity and Population Health</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P 48 credit points of units</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3008</td>
<td>Interdisciplinary eHealth</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N HSBH1010</td>
<td>Intensive September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3012</td>
<td>FHS Abroad</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P Successful completion of all 1st year units in an undergraduate FHS degree</td>
<td>Semester 1 Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3013</td>
<td>FHS Indigenous Communities</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P Successful completion of all 1st year units in an undergraduate FHS degree</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3014</td>
<td>Workplace Injury Prevention/Management</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P BIOS1168 and EXSS1018</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelor of Health Sciences students must have completed 24 credit points of HSBH junior units for enrolment into this unit. All other students must have completed 48 credit points.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3015</td>
<td>Mental Health Rehabilitation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P (HSBH1006 and (HSBH1007 or HSBH2007) and HSBH1008 and HSBH1009) or (48 credit points of previous study with a minimum of 24 from Intermediate units of study) N OCCP2084</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Students must have completed at least 48 credit points to enrol in this UoS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3016</td>
<td>Individual and Societal Ageing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P BACH1161 or HSBH1003 or HSBH1008</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelor of Health Sciences students must have completed 24 credit points of HSBH junior units for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minor Course Amendment Proposal Version 03.09.2012*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credit Points</th>
<th>Prerequisites</th>
<th>Semester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3017</td>
<td>Disability, Sport and Social Inclusion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P BACH1161 or HSBH1003 or HSBH1008</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3021</td>
<td>Environmental Stress and Physiological Strain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P EXSS1032 or EXSS2027 or BIOS1170</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3XXX</td>
<td>Bodily Senses in Health and Disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>A Introductory neuroscience</td>
<td>Semester 2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHB2026</td>
<td>Fundamentals of Rehabilitation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHB3062</td>
<td>Public Offenders: Criminality and Rehab</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N REHB3051</td>
<td>Semester 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHB3064</td>
<td>Alcohol and Drug Misuse Rehabilitation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P (HSBH1006, (HSBH1007 or HSBH2007), HSBH1008, HSBH1009) or 48 credit points of previous study, N REHB3061</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REHB3065</td>
<td>PTSD and Rehabilitation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N REHB5034, REHB5063, REHB3059</td>
<td>Semester 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Transitional arrangements**

None required.

5. **Other relevant information**

6. **Signature of Dean**
Submitted To: Undergraduate Studies Committee
Date: 15 June 2016
Item No: 4.13

Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Dr Kate O'Loughlin, Director of Faculty Units, Ageing, Work and Health Research Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Faculty of Health Sciences – Change to Bachelor of Health Sciences UoS table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board approve the amendment to the Bachelor of Health Sciences UoS table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board approve:

1. the amendment to the Bachelor of Health Sciences; and
2. the amendment to the UoS table arising from the proposal, with effect from 1 January 2017.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Health Sciences requests an amendment to the Bachelor of Health Sciences UoS table resulting from a revised unit of study, HSBH3XXX Designing a Research Project and deletion of BHSC3014 Writing a Research Proposal which will be last offered in 2016, and replaced by HSBH3XXX Designing a Research Project from 2017.

The Faculty of Health Sciences seeks approval for the resulting amendment to the list of Senior Research Units in the Bachelor of Health Sciences, from 2017.
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Faculty of Health Sciences

Contact person: Dr Kate O'Loughlin

1. Name of award course

Bachelor of Health Sciences

2. Purpose of proposal

To advise the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the approval of a new Senior Research unit of study for the Bachelor of Health Sciences, HSBH3XXX Designing a Research Project. This unit of study was developed following the revision of an existing Senior Research unit, BHSC3014 Writing a Research Proposal. BHSC3014 will be last offered in 2016, and replaced by HSBH3XXX Designing a Research Project from 2017.

The Faculty of Health Sciences seeks approval for the resulting amendment to the list of Senior Research Units in the Bachelor of Health Sciences, from 2017.

3. Details of amendment

The amendment to the list of Senior Research units is outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BHithSci Senior Research units of study:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHSC3014 Writing a Research Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3XXX Designing and Research Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3005 Evidence Based Health Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3018 Quantitative Research Methods in Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBH3019 Qualitative Research Methods in Health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Transitional arrangements

No transitional arrangement will be required. Continuing Bachelor of He

5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean

Minor Course Amendment Proposal Version 03.09.2012
Non-Confidential

Submission To: Undergraduate Studies Committee  
Date: 15 June 2016  
Item No: 5.1

Author: A/Prof Corinne Caillaud & Dr Alison Purcell / A/Prof Kate O'Loughlin & Prof Lindy McAllister  
Reviewer/Approver: A/Prof Corinne Caillaud, Associate Dean, Learning & Teaching

| Paper title | Faculty of Health Sciences – New elective units of study, BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement and Accelerate Communication Excellence. |
| Purpose | To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend that Academic Board note the Faculty of Health Sciences’ intention to pilot two new elective units of study in 2017 - BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement (6cp) and Accelerate Communication Excellence (0cp). |

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee recommend Academic Board note the Faculty of Health Sciences’ intention to pilot two new elective units of study in 2017 - HSBH1XXX Accelerate Communication Excellence (0cp) and HSBH3XXX BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement (6cp).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Faculty of Health Sciences wish to inform the Undergraduate Studies Committee of their intention to pilot two new elective units of study in 2017. These two electives will not be included in any course tables until the results of the pilot have been evaluated. One of these units is proposed to be a 0 credit point (0 fee) unit to support students who may be struggling with professional communication prior to their first clinical placements.

- HSBH1XXX Accelerate Communication Excellence (0cp)
- HSBH3XXX BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement (6cp)
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Faculty of Health Sciences

Contact person: A/Prof Corinne Caillaud / Dr Alison Purcell

1. Name of award course

Bachelor of Applied Science (Diagnostic Radiography)
Bachelor of Applied Science (Exercise Physiology)
Bachelor of Applied Science (Exercise and Sport Science)
Bachelor of Applied Science (Occupational Therapy)
Bachelor of Applied Science (Physiotherapy)
Bachelor of Applied Science (Speech Pathology)
Master of Diagnostic Radiography
Master of Exercise Physiology
Master of Occupational Therapy
Master of Physiotherapy
Master of Speech Language Pathology
Master of Rehabilitation Counselling

2. Purpose of proposal

To notify the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the approval of a new 0cp unit of study HSBH1XXX Accelerate Communication Excellence, to be offered from 2017.

Health students working with clients require high communication competence. Health students need clear oral and written communication to explore and understand their client's concerns, explain their options, and offer realistic solutions to their problems in a positive, ethical and culturally appropriate way.

Students working professionally with individuals from different social, cultural and linguistic backgrounds from their own require even higher levels of communication competence. Poor communication competence is a barrier to clinic or fieldwork success and may result in patient mismanagement, poor patient satisfaction and student failure (Atrill et al., 2011; Higgs et al., 2008).

The Faculty of Health Sciences is currently piloting a program to support students to develop their professional communication skills. As part of this program, students identified as having difficulties with professional communication skills will be offered the opportunity to enrol in a new unit of study HSBH1XXX Accelerate Communication Excellence in July. The unit will be 0 credit points, and will be designed to increase first year FHS students understanding and skills in professional communication competence, including how cultural context shapes the ability to communicate effectively. It will also support students to continue the development of competent professional oral and written English.

3. Details of amendment

During 2017, students enrolled in professionally accredited courses in the Faculty of Health Sciences will be required to complete an online diagnostic module during their first semester of study.

Students identified as having challenges with professional communication competence will be invited to enrol in HSBH1XXX Accelerate Communication Excellence during July, to help support development of competent professional communication skills prior to the first clinical placement experiences.

Enrolment will be facilitated by way of the Special Permission application process in Sydney Student portal.

4. Transitional arrangements

None required.
5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean
Minor Course Amendment Proposal

Faculty: Faculty of Health Sciences

Contact person: A/Prof Kate O’Loughlin / Prof Lindy McAllister

1. Name of award course
   Bachelor of Health Sciences

2. Purpose of proposal
   To notify the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the approval of a new elective HSBH3XXX BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement, to be piloted in Year 3 of the Bachelor of Health Sciences (BHlthSci) in 2017.

   Work integrated learning and placement experience is highly recommended for all students completing degrees (see for example OLT 2014 report, The impact of work integrated learning on student work-readiness, Smith et al.). At the University of Sydney, The Deputy Vice Chancellor (Education) is seeking to provide placement experience for all students. Such placement would enable students from generic degrees to build work-readiness skills and enhance employability, as well as achieve the University's graduate qualities.

   Students in the discipline focused undergraduate and Graduate Entry Masters degrees in the Faculty of Health Sciences all undertake placements. In contrast, there is currently no placement in the BHlthSci for most students, except for students completing a second major in Hearing and Speech, and those undertaking dual degrees (e.g., BHlthSci / Master of Nursing). Any BHlthSci student may elect to do the FHS Abroad or FHS Indigenous Communities electives but such placements do not align well with those students completing second majors in Marketing, Information Systems and so on. The recent BHlthSci review recommended the development of a placement elective option for those students. The piloting of a placement for high achieving students in 2017 is included in the Faculty of Health Sciences Work Integrated Learning Strategic Plan approved by the FHS Executive group in late 2015.

3. Details of amendment
   During 2017, applications will be invited from eligible students in the final year of the Bachelor of Health Sciences to enrol in a new unit HSBH3XXX BHS Work Integrated Learning Placement (UoS proposal is attached for further information). Applications will be assessed by the Course Director, and the top performing students will be offered a place in the elective. During the pilot in 2017, a maximum of 30 places will be available in the unit, due to resource requirements for procurement of appropriate work placement sites and supporting placement supervisors.

4. Transitional arrangements
   None required.

5. Other relevant information

6. Signature of Dean
Non-Confidential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Associate Professor Peter McCallum, Director, Education Strategy, DVC (Education)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer/Approver</td>
<td>Associate Professor Peter McCallum, Director, Education Strategy, DVC (Education)</td>
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<tr>
<td>Paper title</td>
<td>Learning and Teaching Policy 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>To request the Undergraduate Studies Committee note the updated Learning and Teaching Policy 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee note:

1. the updated Learning and Teaching Policy 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The updated Learning and Teaching Policy 2015 is attached for noting by members of the Undergraduate Studies Committee. This paper has been submitted to the 8 June meeting of the Academic Standards and Policy Committee for endorsement prior to Academic Board approval.
LEARNING AND TEACHING POLICY 2015

The Academic Board, as delegate of the Senate of the University of Sydney, adopts the following policy.

Dated: 2 December 2015
Last amended: 20 January 2016 (administrative amendments only)

Signature: [INSERT DATE]
Position: Chair, Academic Board
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PART 1  PRELIMINARY

1  Name of policy

This is the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015.

2  Commencement

This policy commences on 1 January 2016.

3  Policy is binding

Except to the extent that a contrary intention is expressed, this policy binds the University, staff, students and affiliates.

4  Statement of intent

This policy:

(a) describes the nature of education at the University;
(b) sets out the manner in which curricula are structured;
(c) provides for the effective management of learning and teaching; and
(d) establishes quality assurance processes for learning and teaching.

5  Application

Except to the extent that a contrary intention is expressed this policy applies to the learning and teaching of coursework award courses.

6  Definitions

(1) In this policy:

   academic unit  means a faculty, board of studies, school, department, centre or interdisciplinary committee of the University.

   AQF  means Australian Qualifications Framework, which is the national framework for recognition
and endorsement of education qualifications.

**assessment** means the process of measuring the performance of students (as in examinations, assignments and other assessable work) that enables students to monitor their progress and contributes to their academic results in a unit of study.

**Associate Dean - Education** means:

- the Associate Dean of a faculty with responsibility for education at the relevant level; or
- the deputy chairperson of a board of studies; or
- a person appointed by the Dean to have responsibility within the faculty for education at the relevant level. This position may have any of a number of different titles, including Associate Dean - Education, Associate Dean - Teaching or Learning, Associate Dean - Undergraduate Students, Associate Dean - Postgraduate Coursework or equivalent. During 2016, the responsibilities of the Associate Dean - Education specified in this policy may be shared between more than one Associate Dean position.

**award course** means a course approved by the Academic Board and endorsed by the Senate, on the recommendation of the Academic Board that leads to the conferral of a degree or the award of a diploma or certificate.

**award course resolutions** means the resolutions setting out the requirements for the award approved by the Academic Board and tabled at a meeting of the Senate.

**Bachelor of Advanced Studies** has the meaning given in the *Coursework Policy 2014*, which at the date of this policy is:

- the Bachelor degree available as a combined degree with **every** **Liberal Studies** **Bachelor degrees and** specified **Specialist or Professional Bachelor degrees**, as set out in the applicable award course resolutions. The **Bachelor of Advanced Studies** is a **Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree**.

**capstone experience** has the meaning given in the *Coursework Policy 2014*, which at the date of this policy is:

- means a unit of study that provides
students with an opportunity to draw together the learning that has taken place during the course, synthesise it with their own learning and experience, and draw conclusions that form the basis for further investigation and intellectual and professional growth.

Note: Further discussion of capstone experience is contained in See clause 18.

**combined degree course**

means a combination of two degree programs leading to the attainment of two qualifications, structured to enable students to count a specified number of units of study towards the requirements for both award courses, resulting in a lower volume of learning than if the two degrees were taken separately.

Note: Further discussion of combined degree course is contained in See clause 18.

**core**

means a set of units of study that develops required knowledge and skills for an award course.

Note: See clause 18 (5)

**course**

means a planned and structured sequence of learning and teaching primarily aimed at the acquisition of knowledge, skills and understanding.

**course resolutions**

means the requirements for an award course approved by the Academic Board and set out in the faculty resolutions for the course.

Note: See clause 2.3 of the Coursework Rule 2014.

**coursework award course**

means a course approved by the Academic Board and endorsed by the Senate that leads to a degree, diploma or certificate and is undertaken predominantly by coursework. While the program of study in a coursework award course may include a component of original, supervised research, other forms of instruction and learning normally will be dominant. All undergraduate award courses, and graduate certificates, graduate diplomas and those Masters degrees that comprise less than 66% research are coursework award courses.
curriculum means the flexible and coherent presentation of the academic content in a unit or program in a series of learning experiences and assessments.

Note: Further discussion of curriculum is contained in clauses 15 - 17.

Dean means the Dean of the relevant faculty.

department means an academic disciplinary grouping established within a school.

double degrees course means a course in which a student completes two AQF qualifications under one set of award course resolutions with no cross-crediting of units of study between the qualifications. A single testamur or separate testamurs may be issued means programs of study resulting in the award of two qualifications in which course resolutions allow for the automatic transfer from one degree to another upon completion of a specified milestone.

faculty means a faculty or board of studies as established in each case by its constitution, and in this policy refers to the faculty or faculties responsible for the relevant award course.

faculty board means a faculty board or board of studies, or a committee appointed by such a board, to carry out the functions referred to in this policy. In this policy a reference to a faculty board is a reference to the board of the faculty or faculties responsible for the relevant award course.

faculty office means the professional staff led by a faculty manager that support learning and teaching within a faculty

graduate qualities means the outcomes of a University of Sydney education, which are specified in clause 7. It is synonymous with the term graduate attributes, are the qualities to be developed by all undergraduate degrees and demonstrated by all graduates of those degrees as set out in Part 2 Section 7 of this policy.

Group of Eight (Go8) means the coalition of eight research-intensive Universities, comprising The University of Melbourne, The Australian National University, The University of Sydney, The University of Queensland, The University of Western Australia, The University of Adelaide, Monash University and UNSW Australia.
Head of Department

means an academic leader within a department who represents the department in school or disciplinary fora. A Head of Department coordinates the provision of teaching and the development of curriculum within a department.

Head of School

means the head of a school within a faculty with responsibility for approving arrangements for teaching and appointment of casual staff within the school. This role may be fulfilled by a position with another title (e.g. Head of Discipline or the Chair of a board of studies or interdisciplinary committee.)

honours units

means advanced units of study at 4000 level specified as requirements to qualify for an award with honours as set out in Clause 95 of the Coursework Policy.

LMS

means learning management system, which is the online learning system used by the University to host unit of study websites.

learning outcomes

means statements of what students know, understand and are able to do on completion of a unit of study.

Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree

has the meaning given in the Coursework Policy 2014, which at the date of this policy is:

- means a program of study at Bachelor level which develops disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific professional or career specialisation and the Graduate Qualities
- a program of study at Bachelor level of three years duration which develops disciplinary expertise and broader skills.

Major

means a defined sequence of units of study taken by a student, which develops depth of expertise in a field of study.

Note: See clause 18.

minor

means a defined set sequence of units of study taken by a student, which develops coherent knowledge and skill expertise in a field of study.

Note: See clause 18.

mode of delivery

means the manner by which courses and units of study are presented to students, and includes:
• face to face classes
• fully online learning
• blends of face to face and online learning
• on or off campus delivery, including off shore delivery

open learning environment has the meaning given in the Coursework Policy 2014, which at the date of this policy is:

means a common shared pool of units of study which are:

• of zero, two or six credit points value
• approved by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies
• available to all Undergraduate coursework? students according to the course resolutionsaward course resolutions applicable to the award course in which they are enrolled.

Postgraduate award course means an award course leading to the award of a Graduate Certificate, Graduate Diploma, Masters degree or a Doctorate. Normally a postgraduate award course requires the prior completion of a relevant undergraduate degree or diploma.

procedures means the Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016. [INSERT HYPERLINK]

Program means a combination of units of study that develops expertise in a multi-disciplinary domain or professional or specialist field and includes at least one recognised major in a field of study.

Note: Further discussion of program is contained in See clause 18.

program co-ordinator means the designated person responsible, at a program, major or degree level, for managing the curriculum and providing co-ordination and advice to staff and students.

Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degree has the meaning given in the Coursework Policy 2014, which at the date of this policy is:

a degree that develops Graduate Qualities alongside disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific profession or career specialisation program of study at Bachelor level of
three years duration which develops disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific professional or career specialisation, and broader skills.

stream means an area of academic or vocational expertise in which students focus their studies within their degree. A version of a degree that can be conceptualised as a separate degree for admission purposes but that is linked to a set of other streams of the degree through shared nomenclature, shared course components and shared rules. In degree nomenclature, streams may be indicated in parentheses following the name of the main degree.

Note: Further discussion of streams is contained in See clause 18.

student means a person who is currently admitted to candidature in an award course of the University and, where relevant, an exchange student or non-award student.

supervisor means the member of the academic staff who is appointed to supervise a dissertation, treatise or long essay component of a coursework award program or an undergraduate honours program.

teaching session means, as appropriate, a semester or a summer or winter session.

third party learning technologies means web-based and mobile applications which:

- are not managed through a contract between the University and technology suppliers;
- allow individuals and groups to create, engage and share their learning experiences and outcomes in the context of their programs and units of study; and
- are used to trial new educational functions for improving the student experience.

undergraduate award course means a coursework award course leading to the award of an Associate Diploma, Diploma, Advanced Diploma, Bachelor degree or Bachelor (Honours) degree.

undergraduate degree means an undergraduate award course at Bachelor level that achieves at least a minimum the learning outcome specified for AQF Level 7 of the AQF. The University offers two types of
Bachelor degrees.

- Liberal Studies Degrees: degrees that provide students with a broad multi-disciplinary education that develop disciplinary expertise and Graduate Qualities.

- Professional/Specialist Degrees: Degrees that develop Graduate Qualities alongside disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific profession or career specialisation.

unit of study means the smallest stand-alone component of an award course that is recordable on a student’s transcript. Units of study have an integer credit point value, normally 6 credit points (except for units in the open learning environment) except where otherwise approved by the Academic Board.

Note: See clause 18.

unit of study co-ordinator means the academic staff member with overall responsibility for the planning and delivery of a unit of study.

PART 2 THE NATURE OF EDUCATION AT THE UNIVERSITY

7 Graduate qualities and learning outcomes

(1) All award courses must be designed to develop and assess the acquisition of the graduate qualities that the University has agreed are necessary to contribute effectively to contemporary society. These are achieved through a structured program, including learning outcomes of specific relevance to the particular award or discipline.

(2) Graduate qualities consist of:

(a) depth of disciplinary expertise;

(b) broader skills:

(i) critical thinking and problem solving;

(ii) oral and written communication;

(iii) information and digital literacy; and

(iv) inventiveness;

(c) cultural competence;

(d) interdisciplinary effectiveness;
(e) an integrated professional, ethical and personal identity; and
(f) influence.

(3) These qualities should be embedded in the curriculum in a way that enables students to:
(a) excel at applying and continuing to develop disciplinary expertise;
(b) learn and respond effectively and creatively to novel problems;
(c) work productively, collaboratively and openly in diverse groups and across cultural boundaries;
(d) work effectively in interdisciplinary (including inter-professional) settings;
(e) build broader perspectives, innovative vision, and more contextualised and systemic forms of understanding;
(f) build integrity, confidence and personal resilience, and the capacities to manage challenges and uncertainty; and
(g) be effective in exercising professional and social responsibility and making a positive contribution to society.

(4) The graduate qualities adopted by the University, and their purposes, are set out in the following table (Table 1):

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduate qualities</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
<td>To excel at applying and continuing to develop disciplinary expertise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broader skills:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Critical thinking and problem solving</td>
<td>To increase the impact of expertise, and to learn and respond effectively and creatively to novel problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Communication (oral and written)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information/ digital literacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inventiveness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural competence</td>
<td>To work productively, collaboratively and openly in diverse groups and across cultural boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary effectiveness</td>
<td>To work effectively in interdisciplinary (including inter-professional) settings and to build broader perspective, innovative vision, and more contextualised and systemic forms of understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An integrated professional, ethical and personal identity</td>
<td>To build integrity, confidence and personal resilience, and the capacities to manage challenges and uncertainty.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graduate qualities | Purpose
---|---
Influence | To be effective in exercising professional and social responsibility and making a positive contribution to society.

Note: See also Good Practice Guidelines for the Development of Students Academic and Professional Communication Skills and Implementation Guidelines.

8 Educational excellence

(1) All award courses must be designed towards the achievement of excellence in outcomes, experience and environment.

(2) Educational programs and the management of learning and teaching must be designed and managed to ensure excellence in:

(a) educational outcomes: at the conclusion of their educational experience, students will demonstrate the graduate qualities to a high standard;

(b) educational experience, as shown through:

(i) the impact of teachers and their capacity to engage students productively in the teaching and learning process; and

(ii) students’ mastery of the meta-cognitive skills that form the basis for self-directed learning;

and

(c) educational environment, consisting of the physical learning spaces, virtual learning environment, and support, which:

(i) facilitates excellent outcomes and experience;

(ii) fosters innovation; and

(iii) seeks continuous improvement through systematic monitoring.

(3) To ensure excellent outcomes, faculties must design processes in which:

(a) curricula provide continuous and well-co-ordinated sequences of learning experiences leading to well defined learning outcomes, involving expert guidance through well designed learning activities;

(b) students:

(i) are actively engaged in learning;

(ii) are challenged, guided and supported to reach a high standard of learning; and

(iii) become increasingly aware of, and responsible for, their learning; and

(c) students and staff demonstrate a commitment to working together to achieve excellence in educational experience and outcomes.

(4) Learning environments must be accessible to students with disabilities, allow appropriate flexibility and use technology to minimise barriers to learning caused by time constraints, timetables and other artificial rigidities.
9 Engaged enquiry

(1) Learning programs must be designed to:
   (a) enable students to acquire and apply knowledge and skills through engaged
       enquiry;
   (b) challenge students with novel problems; and
   (c) enable students to demonstrate increasing awareness of, and responsibility
       for, their learning.

(2) Engaged enquiry is a design principle which is used to develop curricula, create
    learning experiences, and review courses and units of study.

(3) Engaged enquiry unites learning through the thinking and discovery processes
    used in research with experiential development of skills and knowledge through
    application.

(4) Research-enriched enquiry involves the formulation and critical testing of
    hypotheses on the basis of evidence and prior knowledge.

(5) Engagement arises from the further development of skills and knowledge through
    application in work, community and interdisciplinary settings.

(6) Research-enriched enquiry and engagement together form a core principle against
    which learning programs must be assessed.

10 Academic integrity

(1) Academic honesty by staff and students is an underlying ethos of all education.

(2) Policy and procedures relating to academic honesty in coursework are set out in:
    the Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015.

11 Collegial governance

(1) The purpose of collegial governance is to provide a vehicle for:
   (a) continuous improvement and innovation;
   (b) an effective framework to achieve educational excellence; and
   (c) the achievement of graduate qualities and learning outcomes to a high
       standard by each student.

(2) All award course programs must be overseen by a course committee or standing
    committee reporting to the relevant faculty board or board of studies.

   Note: A standing committee may have oversight of more than one award course, or of a
         category of award courses; for example, all undergraduate awards or all
         postgraduate coursework awards.

(3) All committees with responsibility for oversight of award course programs must
    include:
   (a) representatives of the academic disciplines responsible for teaching;
   (b) representatives of students enrolled in the award course program; and
   (c) the relevant Associate Dean - Education.
(4) Committees responsible for award courses may:

(a) make recommendations to the faculty board, Heads of School and Dean on:

(i) learning outcomes;
(ii) curricula;
(iii) units of study;
(iv) assessment;
(v) educational excellence;
(vi) academic integrity; and
(vii) program review;

and

(b) take such decisions on these and other matters related to learning and teaching within award courses as delegated by the faculty board,

provided that the faculty board retains oversight and responsibility for the outcomes, quality and review of award courses.

(5) Faculty boards, or their relevant standing committees, may also establish such other program committees (including, if appropriate, unit of study committees) as are necessary for ensuring excellence in outcomes, experience and environment. Program committees must include:

(a) representatives of teachers within the program; and
(b) students enrolled in the program.

(6) Faculty boards, or their relevant standing committees, must ensure that award courses receive a comprehensive review including external referencing or other benchmarking at least every seven years and must forward a report of the review to the Academic Board.

(7) Award course review committees must include:

(a) representatives of the academic disciplines responsible for teaching in the award course;
(b) students enrolled in, or recently graduated from the award course; and
(c) relevant stakeholders from professions or industry, as determined by the committee responsible for oversight of the award course.

(8) The faculty board and award course committees are responsible for obtaining approval of units of study, programs and award courses consistently with Part 4 of this policy.

(9) Learning programs must be developed and managed through a collegial process which must:

(a) be evidence based (using academic expertise, research, benchmarking, market appraisal); and
(b) build on consultation with stakeholders listed in subclause 11(7).

Note: See clause 23 for specific authorities, roles and responsibilities for the management of learning and teaching.
PART 3  CURRICULUM STRUCTURE

12 Statement of intent

This part:

(a) outlines prescribes the structure of the curriculum for award courses and units of study; and
(b) articulates the components of award courses and the broad structure of undergraduate, postgraduate and combined coursework awards.

13 Learning outcomes

(1) Learning outcomes articulate the specific achievements in skill, knowledge and application that must be demonstrated in order to demonstrate graduate qualities in a particular discipline. They must be aligned with graduate qualities and must be assessed as part of the curriculum.

(2) Learning outcomes should be specified for award courses and for each of their components, including as relevant units of study, minors, majors, programs and specialisations.

(3) Learning outcomes specified for the components of an award course should be aligned with each other and with the learning outcomes of the award course.

14 Award courses

(1) An award course must enable students to demonstrate graduate qualities through defined learning outcomes.

(2) Titles for awards in the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF) must be consistent with the AQF Issuance Policy.

(3) The title of an award course must include:

(a) the qualification type; and

Note: See section 1.3 of the University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014 and section 1.03 of the University of Sydney (Higher Degree by Research) Rule 2011.

(b) the discipline.

(4) The title of an award course may include one or more optional components, such as a stream.

(5) Award courses must follow an orderly and flexible program of learning experiences in a curriculum designed and approved consistently with this policy.

(6) Award courses must have defined outcomes which:

(a) specify the qualities that graduates will demonstrate;

(b) specify the learning outcomes that must be achieved to demonstrate those graduate qualities for a particular discipline; and
(c) demonstrate achievement, at a minimum, of the learning outcomes specified for the qualifications type and level in the AQF.

(7) Award courses must follow a curriculum which:
(a) takes a student-centred approach to the achievement and assessment of learning outcomes in a coherent fashion;
(b) is regularly reviewed (at least every seven years) by faculties consistently with this policy, in the light of student outcomes and the student experience, the growth of knowledge, changes in the learning environment and stakeholder input; and

Note See clause 11 of this policy.
(c) incorporates the components of the curriculum framework set out in clauses 15 - 20 of this policy.

15 Curricula generally
(1) Curricula must enable students to achieve the graduate qualities and learning outcomes of an award course or component of an award course. A curriculum sets out, in a progressive and cumulative manner:
(a) specified knowledge and skills, expressed as learning outcomes;
(b) the learning experiences and inquiry processes by which they are acquired;
(c) how they are applied; and
(d) an orderly and methodical assessment process through which they are demonstrated to a high standard.

(2) Curricula should be designed to enable a combination of disciplinary depth and breadth of learning appropriate to the aims of the award course.
(a) Disciplinary depth enables students to achieve command and understanding of a discipline area and can be achieved through focussed study in a major, through the completion of core components, or through the completion of a specialisation.
(b) Disciplinary breadth enables students to contextualise their learning in the context of related studies and other disciplines, apply it to new contexts and augment it according to their learning needs and interests. Disciplinary breadth is achieved through electives, minors, additional majors, studies in other disciplines and interdisciplinary projects.

(3) A curriculum framework is a broad structure for the constituent educational experiences offered by each degree. It comprises core components that are essential for every student to reach an agreed standard, and enrichment opportunities that enable students to extend learning according to individual needs and interests, but are not required or relevant for every student.

16 Curriculum framework for undergraduate education
(1) The curriculum framework for new and revised undergraduate awards must include the following core components:
(a) a major or stream in at least one field of study;
(b) a structured approach to the development of knowledge and skills;
(c) collaborative and group-based learning activities and assessments;
(d) interdisciplinary and inter-professional learning experiences;
(e) authentic problems and assessments;
(f) an open learning environment for the extension of knowledge and skills; and
(g) project based learning.

(2) If an undergraduate degree is offered exclusively as part of combined or double degree courses, the core components may be in either award course and need not be in both individually.

(3) The following table (Table 2) sets out the graduate qualities associated with each of these core components.

**Note:** The curricula for award courses developed prior to 1 January 2016 must include these components when reviewed in line with clause 11 (6)

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core component</th>
<th>Graduate qualities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A major or specialisation in at least one field of study</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A structured approach to the development of knowledge and skills</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative and group-based learning activities and assessments</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary and inter-professional learning experiences</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Interdisciplinary effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentic problems and assessments</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Interdisciplinary effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core component

**An open learning environment for extension of knowledge and skills**
- Broader skills
- Interdisciplinary effectiveness
- Integrated identity
- Influence

**Project-based learning**
- Depth of disciplinary expertise
- Broader skills
- Integrated identity
- Influence

### 17 Curriculum framework for postgraduate coursework education

(1) The curriculum framework for postgraduate coursework awards must include:
   - advanced specialisation in a field of knowledge;
   - research skills;
   - a structured approach to the development of knowledge and skills;
   - a capstone experience in research, scholarship or professional project.

(2) The curriculum framework for postgraduate coursework units may include one or more of the following:
   - a major;
   - a minor;
   - interdisciplinary study;
   - exchange and work based projects;
   - professional or industry experience;
   - authentic problems and assessments;
   - elective units; and
   - project-based learning.

(3) The following table (Table 3) sets out the graduate qualities associated with each of the above core components of a postgraduate coursework award.
### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Graduate qualities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specialisation in a discipline area</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A capstone experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary study</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange and work based projects</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary and inter-professional</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning experiences</td>
<td>• Interdisciplinary effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional or industry experience</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentic problems and assessments</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Interdisciplinary effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-based learning</td>
<td>• Depth of disciplinary expertise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Broader skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Influence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** See Part 17 of the [Coursework Policy 2014](#) for the requirements for different postgraduate award qualification types.

### 18 Components of award courses

(1) — *A stream* consists of a program of related units of study which are structured to provide the student with a depth of specialist knowledge of a discipline or field.
(1) **Streams:**

(a) can be conceptualised as separate pathways within an award course.

(b) are versions of a degree that are separated for admission purposes but are linked to other streams of the degree through shared nomenclature, shared course components and shared rules.

(c) consist of a combination of related units of study which are structured to provide the student with a depth of specialist knowledge of a discipline or field.

(a) are identified by the name of the stream of the award;

(b) are recorded on the testamur upon graduation;

(c) apply to both senior and junior levels; and

(d) are not restricted to a specific number of credit points.

(2) Streams can be conceptualised for admission purposes as separate pathways within an award course, of admission purposes but are linked to a set of other streams within the award course through shared nomenclature, course components or rules. Examples of specialist award courses include: Civil Engineering; Physiotherapy; Music Performance; and Oral Health.

(2) **Programs:** in undergraduate degrees:

(a) are a combination of units of study that develops expertise in a multi-disciplinary domain or a professional or specialist field and include a recognised major in a field of study.

(b) must have intellectual and educational coherence and specified learning outcomes as required in Section 13 of this Policy.

(c) in undergraduate degrees, comprise:

(i) a minimum of 12 and a maximum of 42 credit points consisting of:

   (1) up to four 1000-level units of study;

(ii) a minimum of 12 and a maximum of 24 credit points at four 2000-level units of study;

(iii) a minimum of 18 and a maximum of 24 credit points at four 3000-level units of study; and

Note: three year programs (available in degrees of 144 credit points) must not, when combined with the requirements of the degree core, require more than 84 credit points (72+12).

(iv) eight 4000-level units in degrees and combined degrees requiring 192 credit points, up to 48 credit points at 4000 level;

Note: four year programs (available in degrees of 192 credit points) must not, when combined with the requirements of the degree core, require more than 84 credit points (120+12).

(v) an embedded major; and
(vi) at least two units in any core degree block; 12 credit points of the degree core if a degree core is specified for the degree; and

and

(i)(vii) are recorded on the student's transcript. must develop expertise in a multi-disciplinary domain or a professional field and include at least one major in a field of study.

(3) Majors: in undergraduate programs:

(a) comprise a defined number of units in a field of study including, for undergraduate award courses, at a minimum 36 credit points at 2000 and 3000 level; and

(a) comprise a defined sequence of units taken by a student that develop depth of expertise in a field of study;

(b) must have intellectual and educational coherence and specified learning outcomes as required in Section 13 of this Policy

(c) in undergraduate degrees, comprise require exactly 48 credit points and eight units of study, consisting of including:

(i) two exactly 12 credit points at 1000-level units of study;

(ii) three 2000-level units of study; and a minimum of 12 and a maximum of 18 credit points at 2000-level;

(iii) four 3000-level units of study: a minimum of 18 and a maximum of 24 credit points at 3000-level (or, for degrees requiring more than 144 credit points, 3000-level or higher); including:

(iii)

(1) 1x6 credit point unit at 3000-level involving completion of a project requiring the integration and application of disciplinary knowledge and skills; and

(2) 1x6 credit point unit at 3000-level requiring the application of disciplinary skills and knowledge in an interdisciplinary context; and

(iv) are recorded on the student transcript.

Note: where a student takes two major, and a single unit of study exists such that the requirement for 3 (c) (iii) (2) can be met in both majors, that unit may be used in fulfillment of requirement 3 (c) (iii) (2) in both majors, provided that all other requirements in 18 (3) are met for each major.

must include:

one 3000-level unit of study involving completing a project which requires integrating and applying disciplinary knowledge and skills; and

one 3000-level unit of study which requires applying disciplinary skills and knowledge in an interdisciplinary context;

and

(b) are recorded on the student's transcript upon graduation.

(4) Minors in undergraduate programs:
(a) comprise a defined sequence of units of study taken by a student that develop expertise in a field of study

(b) in undergraduate degrees, comprise units to the value of exactly 36 credit points and six units of study, consisting of including:

(i) two exactly 12 credit points at 1000-level units of study;
(ii) a minimum of 12 and a maximum of 18 credit points at three 2000-level units of study; and
(iii) two a minimum of 6 and a maximum of 12 credit points at 3000-level units of study;

and

(c) are recorded on the student's transcript.

(4) —

(a) comprise a defined number of units of study in a field of study; and

(b) are recorded on the student transcript at the completion of the award course.

(5) — A Degree Core:

(a) is a set of units of study that develops required knowledge and skills for the degree and which is required to be completed by all students

(b) in Liberal Studies Degrees, comprises no more than 24 credit points at 1000- or 2000- or 3000-level

(5)(6) A capstone experience should be integrative, foster student autonomy and, where appropriate, include a cross-disciplinary perspective.

Note: See Coursework Policy 2014

(7) — Combined degrees and double degrees must meet the learning outcomes of both component award courses.

(a) All Liberal Studies and Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degrees may be combined with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies as specified in the applicable course resolutions award course resolutions.

(6)(8) Award courses may achieve depth and breadth of learning by the specification of core units and elective units.

(a) Units of study may be specified as core units if the faculty determines them to be essential to achieve the learning outcomes of the award course, major or specialisation. Core units must be completed by all students enrolled in the award course, relevant major or specialisation.

(b) Elective units are units chosen by students in order to extend their degree requirements according to their need or interests and contribute to graduate qualities. Electives are chosen from a list defined by the faculty and approved by the Academic Board.

(7)(9) Units of study

(a) Units of study:

(i) — follow a programmed set of coherent learning experiences that lead progressively to the achievement of the learning outcomes for the unit; and
(i)(ii) Units of study must be completed over one or two teaching sessions.

(b) Units of study must be completed over one or two teaching sessions.

(ε)(b) Faculties must define learning outcomes for each unit of study which are aligned with those of the award courses in which the unit of study is offered and those of other components of award courses of which it is a part.

(d)(c) Except in the case of ‘shell’ units, used for students undertaking study at another institution and other purposes, the learning outcomes, requirements and assessment of a unit of study must be the same for all students taking that unit of study, regardless of the award course in which they are enrolled.

(d) Student transcripts and student record files must record a single result and a single credit point value for each unit of study attempted by a student.

(e) Units of study must be identified by an eight character alpha-numeric code, of which the first four are letters identifying the relevant school, department or discipline and the final four are integers identifying the unit of study and the level at which it is offered.

(f) The integers in the unit of study alpha-numeric code must commence with a number which indicates the level, in the generic form ****1xxx, ****2xxx and so on.

(g) 1000-level units of study have learning outcomes of a foundational or introductory nature and are designed for students in the first year of a bachelor degree.

(h) 2000-level units of study have learning outcomes which build on or assume prior foundational or introductory study and are designed for students who have completed the first year of a bachelor degree.

(i) 3000-level units of study have learning outcomes designed for students in the third year of a bachelor degree. In degrees of 144 credit point bachelor degrees, such units should enable students to demonstrate learning outcomes at a level expected for those completing a bachelor n initial degree and are designed for students in the third or final year of a bachelor degree at AQF level 7.

(j) 4000-level units of study have learning outcomes at the advanced or honours level and are designed for students who have already achieved learning outcomes for a 144 credit point pass-level bachelor degree or who are completing the final year of a 192 credit point bachelor degree.

(ε)(k) 5000- and 6000- level units of study have learning outcomes designed respectively for the first and second year of a postgraduate coursework award course.

(8)(10) Credit points and student workload

(a) Credit points measure the relative quantitative contribution of a unit of study to an award course.

(b) The full time credit point load for undergraduate and postgraduate coursework award courses is 24 credit points per semester, or 12 credit points for summer session and six credit points for the winter session. A full time credit point load for a year is 48 credit points equating to a student workload of 1500 -1800 hours per year including class time, private study and assessment preparation.
The normal credit point load for a unit of study is six credit points, except for units of study in the open learning environment where otherwise approved by the Academic Board.

The credit point load for a unit of study in the open learning environment may just be zero, one or two credit points.

Units of study shared across different award courses and between different faculties must have the same credit point value in every course.

Where units of study are core units in more than one award course, faculties must design units of study to meet the learning needs of students in all award courses for which the unit is a core unit.

The relationship between the level of student effort in a unit of study and the credit point value of that unit must take account of all courses sharing that unit of study.

Faculties must consider overall student workload in assigning credit point value as follows:

(i) 24 credit points equates to the effort expected of a full-time student, studying 36 – 48 hours per week or pro-rata for part-time students.

(ii) A single credit point should therefore equate notionally to a minimum expectation of 1.5 – 2 hours of student effort per week for units of study offered over a semester.

(iii) Flexibility between different units may be exercised in the allocation of credit point value to accommodate any tensions between the duration of core learning experiences and their perceived importance in achieving learning outcomes for the award course.

Faculties introducing new units of study with a credit point value other than six must inform the Academic Board, explaining the rationale for deviating from the standard and addressing issues of compatibility.

On academic grounds, a faculty may propose to the Undergraduate or Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board units of study with 0, 1 or 2 credit points.

Teaching sessions

(a) Teaching and learning in award courses must take place in standard teaching sessions or in special teaching sessions determined by faculties in a faculty calendar and approved by the Academic Board.

(b) The standard teaching sessions are first semester, second semester summer session and winter session.

(c) A semester comprises 13 weeks of programmed learning, one study week and 1 - 2 weeks for examination and assignment preparation.

University semester dates, and dates for summer and winter sessions and teaching blocks must be approved by the Academic Board.

(a) A faculty may offer teaching in sessions that vary from those specified in the University Calendar, subject to the approval of the Academic Board.
19 Assessment framework

(1) Assessment is the means by which students demonstrate graduate qualities and learning outcomes in a unit of study and in an award course.

(2) Learning outcomes for units of study must be assessed either within the unit of study or within an assessment framework for the award course or a component of an award course.

(3) The assessment framework of award courses and units of study must promote student learning and engaged enquiry, and be designed to ensure that key milestones in the achievement of learning outcomes are met to a standard sufficient to allow progression.

(4) Faculties must design the assessment framework of an award course to ensure that all students who successfully complete the award course demonstrate the graduate qualities and specified learning outcomes for the award.

(5) Unit of study co-ordinators must design the assessment framework of a unit of study to ensure that all students who successfully complete the unit of study demonstrate the qualities and learning outcomes of the unit of study and are assessed to the same standard.

(6) The University’s policy and procedures on assessment are set out in Part 14 of the Coursework Policy 2014 and in the Assessment Procedures 2011.

20 Academic integrity in the design of curricula

(1) Learning experiences, programs and curricula must be designed to educate students early in the first year about academic integrity, appropriate acknowledgement, academic honesty and avoiding plagiarism.

(a) This education must include an online module endorsed by the Office of Educational Integrity and should also include tutorials work and scaffolding writing tasks as appropriate.

(2) The assessment framework of award courses and the assessment matrix within each unit of study must be designed and reviewed each time the unit is offered to ensure academic integrity.

20A Third party learning technologies

(1) All use of third party learning technologies must be consistent with relevant University policies, including in particular:

(a) Policy on the Use of University Information Communications Technology Resources;

(b) Privacy Policy 2013; and

(c) University Recordkeeping Policy.

(2) Staff members and academic units:

(a) are responsible for identifying and managing any risks associated with third party learning technologies which they introduce and use in association with their teaching; and
(b) must register the use of such technologies with the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education).

(1) Third party learning technologies must not be used of assessment purposes.

(2) Where a third party learning technology is introduced by the University, the University must:

(a) develop and communicate an appropriate strategy for support of the technology; and

(b) establish and implement appropriate mechanisms for:

(i) retrieving and storing records of student activity generated by the technology; and

(ii) trialling and evaluating the use of the technology.

(3) Where a third party learning technology is introduced by a staff member or academic unit, the person or unit introducing it must:

(a) develop and communicate an appropriate strategy for support of the technology; and

(b) establish and implement appropriate mechanisms for:

(i) retrieving and storing records of student activity generated by the technology; and

(ii) trialling and evaluating the use of the technology.

PART 4 MANAGEMENT OF LEARNING AND TEACHING

21 Statement of intent

The purpose of this part of the policy is to set out the framework, and specific responsibilities, for the management and evaluation of learning and teaching at unit of study, degree and University level. This includes governance authorities, roles and responsibilities, and quality assurance processes.

22 Governance Rescinded

(2) This clause sets out the governance for learning and teaching at the University.

Note: Authorities set out in this policy are also defined in the Delegations of Authority—Academic Functions and the Supplementary Delegations of Authority—Academic Functions

(3) The Academic Board

(a) Subject to endorsement by the Senate, the Academic Board approves the award course level curriculum and approves requirements and other elements of award courses as determined in the Coursework Policy 2014 and set out in award course resolutions and tables of units of study.
(b) The Academic Board determines the procedures for the consideration, and
deadline for submission of, proposals for new and amended award programs
and courses.

(c) The Academic Board also determines periods of instruction and
commencement and conclusion dates of the academic year.

(4) Faculty boards

(a) Faculty boards or their standing committees develop, and recommend to the
Academic Board, the curriculum of award courses, and implement and
monitor those curricula.

(b) Faculty Boards or their standing committees approve the curricula of units of
study recommended by unit of study coordinators.

23 Roles and responsibilities

(1) Delegations of authority for the management of learning and teaching are set out
in:

(a) Delegations of Authority – Academic Functions;

(b) Supplementary Delegations of Authority – Academic Functions; and

(c) University of Sydney (Delegations of Authority – Administrative Functions)
Rule 2010 (as amended).

(2) The Academic Board

(a) subject to endorsement by Senate, approves the award course level
curriculum which is developed, implemented and monitored by the faculty
board;

(b) approves requirements and other elements of award courses as set out in
the Coursework Policy 2014, award course resolutions and tables of units of
study, including determining the type of degree:

(i) for bachelor degrees - liberal studies or specialist or professional;

(ii) for masters degrees - advanced learning by coursework, professional
by coursework, or research.

(b) approves the curriculum of streams within an award course;

(d) approves the addition and deletion of majors and minors, on the
recommendation of the relevant faculty or the Board of Interdisciplinary
Studies;

(e) approves the list of majors and minors available in the Bachelor of Advanced
Studies, on the recommendation of the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies;

(f) approves changes to the mode of delivery of a course or unit of study;

(d)(g) determines procedures for considering, and deadlines for submitting,
proposals for new and amended award programs and courses;

(e)(h) determines teaching periods and commencement and conclusion dates of
the academic year and, if appropriate, variations from standard teaching
sessions requested by faculties;

(f)(i) is responsible for:
(i) aligning the range of the University’s academic programs so that all graduates demonstrate graduate qualities set out in Part 2 to a high standard;

(ii) reviewing education programs within faculties in a five year cycle;

(iii) monitoring program outcomes and reports of review committees and accrediting bodies to promote educational excellence as set out in Part 2 of this policy;

(iv) monitoring processes within faculties to support the academic integrity of the University’s programs and assessment;

(v) monitoring and acting on any breaches of academic integrity and reviewing processes accordingly;

(vi) considering and, if appropriate, approving the name and abbreviation used for each award course; and

(vii) developing and maintaining quality and educational excellence as set out in Part 5 of this policy.

(3) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) is responsible for strategic leadership of educational excellence and innovation throughout the University. The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) must:

(a) develops and maintains institutional systems and strategy to achieve excellence in outcomes, experience and environment. This includes curriculum frameworks, online learning, and the student experience; and

(b) develops and maintains quality and educational excellence as set out in Part 5 of this policy.

(4) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) is responsible for the institutional systems and processes that support educational excellence. The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) must:

(a) develops and maintains institutional systems and strategy in order to achieve excellence in admission, student recruitment, and administration processes.

(b) reviews the business case for new course proposals from faculties; and

(c) provides advices to the Senior Executive Group and its relevant committees to assist in their deliberations over whether to endorse a proposed course or change for consideration by the Academic Board.

(5) The Senior Executive Group Curriculum and Course Planning Committee approves:

(a) units of study under a faculty’s direction which are included in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees;

(b) units of study that are not under a faculty’s direction;

(c) the inclusion of units of study that are not under a faculty’s direction in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees;

(d) units of study in the open learning environment, Sydney Research Seminars, and interdisciplinary units of study offered to students in all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees, or to all students in any degree.

(5)(7) Faculty boards
(a) Faculty boards, and their committees, are responsible for standards, assessment and quality throughout the faculty. Faculty boards must:

(i) establish a standing committee or committees with responsibility for excellence in outcomes and experience in award courses;

(ii) consider and, if appropriate, approve curriculum and assessment for all units of study, minors and majors in an award course;

(iii) determine integration between units of study to meet award course learning outcomes;

(iv) develop and maintain alignment of curricula and the quality of learning and teaching to achieve award course outcomes to a high standard;

(v) where appropriate, monitor alignment with standards set by professional and accrediting bodies;

(vi) advise the Academic Board of any changes to degree level curricula. This will include creation, variation and deletion of courses and changes to tables of units of study;

**Note:** Course proposal and amendment requirements can be found on the Academic Board website at:

(vii) ratify assessment results; and

(viii) monitor and maintain standards, including academic honesty and the quality of assessment practices;

**Note:** See part 14 of the Coursework Policy 2014 and the Assessment Procedures 2011.

(ix) review and act on educational quality data each semester as set out in Part 5 of this policy;

(x) develop and maintain academic integrity within the assessment framework of each award course at each stage of the program;

(xi) monitor breaches of academic integrity within the faculty;

(xii) review the assessment framework to eliminate or minimise the possibility of such breaches;

(xiii) report breaches of academic integrity to the Academic Board as required by the Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015; and

(xiv) monitor the framework for the management of learning and teaching within the faculty and the processes for ensuring educational excellence in all programs as set out in Part 5 of this policy.

**Note:** See clause 11. Responsibilities for standards and operational matters in connection with programs may be undertaken by relevant committees.

(6)(8) Deans

(a) Deans have overarching responsibility for standards, quality, strategic leadership and resource allocation to achieve educational excellence within faculties. Deans must:
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(i) exercise strategic oversight of faculty boards and their committees, the Associate Dean - Education and Heads of School to develop and maintain alignment with faculty strategy and operations;

(ii) consistently with the Coursework Policy 2014, set operational parameters for teaching and curricula, including teaching workloads, staff profile, fees and student numbers;

(iii) make appropriate arrangements for quality assurance of teaching and learning within the faculty as set out in Part 5 of this policy;

(iv) direct the appropriate allocation of resources for educational excellence;

(v) direct that student representatives be elected or appointed as members of education, undergraduate, postgraduate studies committees and program committees;

(vi) direct faculty or school offices to keep current and available relevant documentation relating to the faculty’s academic programs, including documentation for units of study; and

(vii) appoint an Academic Integrity Coordinator and, if appropriate, additional nominated academics to act as decision makers in relation to alleged breaches of academic integrity in line with the Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015.

(7)(9) Associate Deans - Education

(a) Associate Deans - Education lead and co-ordinate strategies for educational excellence, improvement and innovation across the faculty and, on behalf of the Dean, monitor the effectiveness of processes for achieving graduate outcomes through engaged enquiry. Associate Deans – Education must:

(i) co-ordinate teaching across the faculty to ensure deliver excellence in educational outcomes and experience;

(ii) review and act on data on educational quality;

(iii) monitor and direct alignment of educational standards and quality in the faculty with University policy and strategy;

(iv) implement collegial governance in the creation and review of educational programs within the faculty; and

Note: See clause 11.

(v) Support quality of teaching and learning across the faculty as set out in Part 5 of this policy.

(8)(10) Supervisors

(a) Supervisors provide leadership, guidance and mentorship to students undertaking research projects, and provide academic advice to students on reporting of research findings. Supervisors must:

(i) support the student in the research project, including providing timely feedback and advice;

(ii) monitor progress within the context of the overall research project;

(iii) develop in the student the necessary skills to complete the project; and
(iv) educate students about the University's policies on research integrity, data management, ethical research practice, intellectual property, relevant health and safety procedures and other relevant matters.

(9)(11) Heads of School

(a) Heads of School lead strategies and allocate resources for educational excellence within the school. Heads of School must:
   (i) appoint a unit of study co-ordinator for each unit of study within the school;
   (ii) assign teaching duties, unit of study co-ordinator tasks, and program committee membership to staff in the school; and
   (iii) review reports and data on educational quality in consultation with unit of study co-ordinators and program committees; and
   (iv) act in relation to staff performance and effective allocation of quality resources.

Note: In faculties without a school structure, the roles and responsibilities of a Head of School may be taken by the Associate Dean - Education.

(12) Heads of department

(a) appoint one unit of study co-ordinator for each unit of study for which the faculty is responsible;

(b) make appropriate alternative arrangements if a unit of study co-ordinator proposes to be absent for more than four weeks; and

(c) appoint a new unit of study co-ordinator when a current unit of study co-ordinator leaves.

(10)(13) Unit of study co-ordinators

(a) Each unit of study must have a named unit of study co-ordinator, appointed by the relevant head of department.

(b) The unit of study co-ordinators must:
   (i) must be available for the whole of a teaching period during which a unit of study is being provided;
   (ii) must inform the relevant head of department of any intended or foreseeable absence, at least four weeks in advance;
   (iii) develop, implement and monitor unit of study curricula, learning activities and assessment, subject to approval by the faculty board;
   (iv) align learning outcomes between a unit of study and an award course, and implement, at the unit study level, strategies and policies for educational excellence;
   (v) review unit of study curriculum design, including learning outcomes, teaching and learning activities and assessment, and, where appropriate, align with program learning goals and graduate qualities;
   (vi) document and communicate the unit of study curriculum as a unit of study outline in the LMS, and make a unit description, including pre-requisites, co-requisites and assessment, available for inclusion in the faculty handbook;
(vii) review assessment tasks and standards in relation to policy and report to the faculty board and the program committee;

(viii) review the academic integrity of each assessment task and the assessment matrix of the unit of study each time it is offered to eliminate or minimise the possibility of breaches of academic integrity;

(ix) design the assessment framework for the unit of study to ensure the academic integrity of each assessment in the unit as set out in the Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015;

(x) report incidents of potential academic dishonesty or plagiarism in line with university policy;

(xi) gather, review and act on data on educational quality, in consultation with the unit of study team and the Head of School;

(xii) administer surveys of educational experience and provide reports to students and the faculty on the quality of the student experience as set out in Part 5 of this policy; and

(xiii) make recommendations to the faculty board, or a relevant committee of the faculty board, about changes to learning outcomes, curriculum, or assessment for a unit of study.

Individual teachers

(a) Educational excellence exists when teachers engage students in their learning. To this end, individual teachers should:

(i) support and lead student learning of the curriculum, as specified and to the agreed standards;

(ii) prepare the educational content of units of study;

(iii) design and prepare assessment tasks as specified in the curriculum, and consistently with relevant policy;

(iv) monitor and act to support academic standards and academic integrity; and

(v) where there is more than one teacher in a unit, participate as part of the unit of study team to support the unit of study co-ordinator in his or her role and responsibilities.

Students

(a) An essential component of educational excellence is that students gain increasing understanding of, and take responsibility for, their learning. To this end, students must:

(i) be familiar with the degree resolutions, relevant policies and other requirements for the course as set out in the faculty handbook, unit of study outline and other published guidelines; and

(ii) satisfy attendance and assessment requirements.

(b) In addition, students should participate in any evaluations of their experience, to ensure that educational excellence is monitored and improved.
24 Documentation and communication

(1) This part of the policy states appropriate standards for:
   (a) communicating with students and staff;
   (b) managing the development of units of study, curricula and award courses; and
   (c) the standards for institutional record keeping.

(2) Unit of study co-ordinators, together with the faculty, must provide a unit of study website on the LMS which contains, at a minimum:
   (a) the unit of study outline; and
   (b) relevant curriculum resources; and
   (c) any other material specified in the procedures.

(3) Unit of study outlines and the LMS website must be available to students enrolled in the unit no later than one week prior to the commencement of the teaching session in which the unit is offered.

(4) After publication of the unit of study outline, changes may only be made to the nature, weighting or due date of assessment tasks in exceptional circumstances.

   (a) The LMS website must contain:

      (i) an introduction and rationale for the unit;
      (ii) the aims and learning outcomes;
      (iii) the contribution that the aims and learning outcomes of the unit make to learning outcomes and graduate qualities for the award course;
      (iv) an outline of the curriculum for the unit and a schedule of learning activities (lectures, seminars, tutorials, workshops, practicals, laboratories, online learning, field trips, work placement, independent study, other);
      (v) minimum learning commitments and attendance requirements for learning activities, and guidelines on time to be allowed for private study and assessment preparation;
      (vi) the assessment process, standards and criteria, including a detailed breakdown of each assessment task, its contribution to the final mark, deadlines and closing dates for submission of work;
      (vii) any relevant expectations relating to group work, professionalism in work-integrated learning situations and other matters;
      (viii) any penalties that apply for poor attendance, late submission;
      (ix) mandatory or recommended prior learning;
      (x) reference to relevant University policies, including, as a minimum procedures for Special Consideration in the Coursework Policy 2014, Assessment Procedures 2011, and Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015.
(xi) a notification to students indicating that participation in the unit of study permits their learning analytics to be used for the purpose of improving their experience of learning;

(xii) information, where relevant, about the recording of lectures delivered and automatically captured in University-owned lecture theatres;

(xiii) the use of the text-matching tool on the University's LMS for student text-based assignments;

(xiv) changes made to the unit as a result of student feedback and student experience from the previous time the unit was offered.

(b) Unit of study outlines may also contain, where appropriate, assignment questions and assessment tasks.

(c) Changes may only be made to the nature, weighting or due date of assessment tasks after the publication of the unit of study outline in exceptional circumstances.

(d) Read-only access to LMS sites for units of study must be given to:

(i) students;

(ii) unit of study co-ordinators;

(iii) all teachers and tutors in the course; and

(iv) any others specified by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education).

(v) Editing access to LMS sites for units of study must be provided to those specified by the unit of study co-ordinator.

(14) The faculty office must:

(a) communicate the unit of study curriculum as documented in the unit of study outline to relevant colleagues;

(b) communicate the unit of study curriculum to prospective students through a handbook statement that contains:

(i) a brief description,

(ii) assessment,

(iii) pre- and co-requisites.

Note: See also clause 63 of the Coursework Policy 2014 and 6(1) of the Assessment Procedures 2011 on communicating with students.

(5) Each faculty must publish an annual handbook, containing the minimum information specified in the procedures.

(45)(6) The Academic Board may make course resolutions, award course resolutions, which must containing at least the minimum information specified in the procedures, specify, as a minimum:

(a) the course code;

(b) attendance patterns;

(c) requirements for admission to candidature;

(d) requirements for the award course including credit point values, units of study that may be taken for credit, mandatory units of study;

(e) streams available in the award course;
(f) majors available in the award course;
(g) minors available in the award course;
(h) requirements for streams, majors and minors;
(i) progression rules;
(j) restrictions on enrolment;
(k) time limits, if different from those specified in the The University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014 or the Faculty resolutions;
(l) cross institutional study and exchange, if not as specified in the Faculty resolutions;
(m) requirements for admission to, and for the award of honours, if available;
(n) award of the degree including grades of the degree or grades of honours that may be awarded; and
(o) any transitional arrangements relating to the resolutions.

46(7) Subject to Academic Board approval, faculties may make resolutions applying to all degrees within a certain category awarded by the faculty. These may include resolutions on:
(a) course enrolment, including enrolment restrictions, time limits, suspension, discontinuation and lapse of candidature and recognition of prior learning;
(b) unit of study enrolment, including cross-institutional study, international exchange;
(c) study and assessment, including attendance and participation, late submission, and arrangements, if any, for re-assessment;
(d) progression and award including satisfactory progress, awards, award and grades of honours, medals, weighted average marks used in addition to the provisions of the Coursework Policy 2014; and
(e) transitional arrangements.

47(8) The graduation statement (Australian Higher Education Graduation Statement) must contain, at a minimum a description of the award, any industry or professional accreditation and other relevant outcomes.

18 The faculty must provide a degree outline for inclusion in the faculty handbook which contains at a minimum:
(a) the degree’s intended learning outcomes;
(b) the approved minimum learning commitments;
(c) the approved learning experiences;
(d) the assessment process and standards for the degree; and
(e) expected prior learning.

19 The University will publish at least annually:
(a) a University Calendar, which will include Rules made by Senate and Resolutions of Senate relating to faculties and award courses;
(b) faculty handbooks which must contain, at a minimum;
   (i) the faculty teaching calendar for the year;
(ii) a description, learning outcomes, curriculum, requirements for each award course offered by the faculty;

(iii) award course resolutions approved by the Academic Board for each award course offered by the faculty;

(iv) faculty resolutions approved by the Academic Board for each award course offered by the faculty;

(v) any local provisions in the faculty relating to University policy and procedures;

(vi) a brief description, assessment and pre-and co-requisites for each unit of study offered by the faculty; and

(vii) a description of the faculty structure, including schools, disciplines and departments.

(c) The University Calendar must publish at a minimum:

(i) Rules of Senate; and

(ii) Resolutions of Senate relating to faculties and award courses.

(20) The University will maintain and publish a register of all current university policies and procedures.

Note: See the Policy Register, and the University of Sydney (Policies Development and Review) Rule 2011.

(21)(9) Upon each student’s graduation the University will provide each of the following documents, which will provide the information required by the procedures associated with this policy:

(a) an Australian Higher Education Graduation Statement;

(b) a student transcript;

(b)(c) a certificate of graduate status; and

(c)(d) a testamur.

PART 5 QUALITY ASSURANCE

25 Quality assurance processes

(1) Quality assurance ensures that learning outcomes at the required standards are demonstrated by students in appropriate tasks and assures that, for each learning activity, a quality learning environment exists. Quality assurance processes must be:

(a) standards driven;

(b) evidence based; and

(c) institutionally aligned.

(2) Quality is measured in terms of excellence in:

(a) educational outcomes;

(b) educational experience;
(c) educational environment.

Note: See Part 2 of this policy.

(3) Excellence in educational outcomes is measured through systematic assessment which ensures that students are achieving course learning outcomes at a high standard, and though the assessment of graduate qualities.

(a) Associate Deans - Education and faculty boards must ensure that assessments are subject to peer feedback and periodic benchmarking.

(4) Excellence in educational experience is measured through students' reports of their experience. Feedback should be formal and informal and captured at unit of study, major, program or degree level. University, national and international surveys should be used to collect formal feedback.

(a) Unit of study co-ordinators and Associate Deans - Education must administer surveys of educational experience each time a unit of study is offered.

(b) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) must implement surveys of students' experience of their learning at a University-wide level at least annually.

(5) Excellence in educational environment is measured through students' responses to University, national and international surveys, and targeted ad hoc assessments of learning spaces.

(a) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) must implement surveys of educational environment at a University-wide level at least annually.

(6) At unit of study level

(a) Standards for educational outcomes must be determined by the faculty with reference to the discipline.

(i) These standards must be easily visible at faculty level, generated by results data, and align with awards.

(ii) The unit of study co-ordinator must assess whether educational outcomes are meeting agreed standards, including those for academic integrity.

(b) Standards for educational experience include the student experience of learning and teaching, information about which is obtained through relevant student surveys, and peer observation of teaching where appropriate.

(i) The unit of study co-ordinator must provide annual reports on students' experience in a unit of study and feedback from surveys to students and the faculty.

(c) Educational environment is measured in the provision of formal, informal and virtual learning spaces. Physical learning spaces are measured against:

(i) accepted learning space standards; and

(ii) student and teacher evaluations, including the effective use of existing resources for teaching units of study.

(7) At the curriculum level.

(a) Educational outcomes must:
(i) contribute to student qualifications;
(ii) meet accreditation requirements; and
(iii) be aligned with institutional, industry, professional and community expectations.

(b) Standards and outcomes must be determined by the faculty and managed by the faculty board or its relevant committee.
   (i) Student survey results must be used to set standards and targets.
   (ii) Benchmarking and aligning with standards across the faculty, and other comparable institutions, and with professional disciplinary and industry expectations, must be used to measure excellence.

(c) Educational experience is provided through a thematically coherent program. Evaluation methods include student surveys, benchmarking reports, reports from accrediting bodies, and Go8 Standards Verification reports.
   (i) The Associate Dean - Education must provide annual reports on students' educational experience to the faculty board.
   (ii) Faculty boards must provide copies of formal benchmarking reports to the Academic Board.
   (iii) Deans must provide copies of accreditation reports from external organisations to the Academic Board on receipt.
   (iv) The Deputy Vice Chancellor Education must provide Go8 Standards Verification reports to the Academic Board on receipt.

(d) The quality of the educational environment is measured by the provision of formal and informal learning spaces, where students belong to a community of scholars within discipline and degree programs. Physical learning spaces are measured against:
   (i) accepted learning space standards; and
   (ii) student and teacher evaluations, including the effective use of existing resources.

(8) **At the University level**

(a) Educational outcomes prepare the student for learning, life and work experiences, including success in accessing further study opportunities, rewarding career paths, and contribution to the community.

(b) Educational experience is acquired through engagement and enquiry which challenges students with novel problems and issues at every stage of the educational process.

(c) Educational environment is measured in terms of the provision of physical spaces and equipment, and virtual learning environments. The environment **should** support working together to achieve excellence.

(d) The University must evaluate the quality of outcomes, experience and environment using methods which include:
   (i) using study survey results to set targets and benchmarks at faculty and University level;
   (ii) accreditation reports;
(iii) meeting Group of Eight (Go8), AQF, Higher Education Standards, and professional regulatory body requirements; and

(iv) Academic Board and SEG faculty reviews.

(e) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) must monitor evaluations of the standards of educational experience and education environments and provide reports to the Senior Executive Group and the Academic Board.

(f) The Academic Board must monitor educational excellence and, where appropriate, provide advice to the Deputy Vice Chancellor Education, the Vice Chancellor and the Senate.

(g) The Academic Board and the SEG must provide reports of faculty reviews to the Senate.

(9) Quality assurance processes at all levels are summarised in the table set out in Schedule Two.

26 Rescissions and replacements

This document replaces the following, which are rescinded as from the date of commencement of this document:

(1) Academic Board Resolutions: Creation, variation and deletion of award courses and units of study which commenced on 1 January 2001

(2) Academic Board Resolutions: The Management and Evaluation of Coursework Teaching which commenced on 1 June 2001

(3) Academic Board Policy on Consultation with Students which commenced in 2008

(4) Academic Board Resolutions: Generic Attributes of Graduates of the University of Sydney which commenced in 1997

(5) Distance, Alternative and Flexible Modes of Delivery in Postgraduate Courses Policy

(6) Flexible Student-Centred Learning in the University of Sydney Policy which commenced in 1999

(7) Improved Learning and Teaching Through Collaboration, Benchmarking and Alliances Policy which commenced in 2005

(8) Principles for First Year Orientation and Transition Policy which commenced in 2001

(9) Quality Assurance and Learning Management Systems Policy which commenced in 2005

(10) Research-Enhanced Learning and Teaching Policy which commenced in 2007

(11) Written and Oral Communication Skills of Students Policy which commenced in 2002

(12) Parallel Teaching of Postgraduate and Undergraduate Students Policy which commenced in 2004
# SCHEDULE ONE

## Roles and responsibilities for curriculum (standards) and operational aspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</th>
<th>Responsibility: Operational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>- Be familiar with legislative and other requirements of the course as set out in the faculty handbook, unit of study outline, and other published guidelines.</td>
<td>- Participate in evaluations of their experience, to ensure that educational excellence is achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Satisfy attendance and assessment requirements.</td>
<td>- Encouraged to participate in the development and review of courses and units of study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual teachers</td>
<td>- Support and lead student learning of the curriculum as specified, and to the agreed standard.</td>
<td>- Participate as part of the unit of study team (if appropriate) to support the roles and responsibilities of the unit of study coordinator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Design and prepare assessment tasks as specified in the curriculum and in accordance with the standards in the relevant policy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Monitor and act to ensure academic standards are met.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Educate students on academic integrity and report any breaches of academic integrity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</td>
<td>Responsibility: Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit of study co-ordinators</td>
<td>Review the design of the curriculum of the unit of study, including learning outcomes, learning and teaching activities, and assessment, to ensure ongoing alignment against program learning goals and graduate qualities. Document and communicate the unit of study curriculum as a unit of study outline in the LMS, and ensure its availability in the faculty handbook. Review assessment tasks and standards in relation to policy and report to the faculty board and program committee. Review the academic integrity of each assessment task and the assessment matrix of the unit to eliminate or minimise the possibility of breaches of academic integrity. Unit of study co-ordinators must ensure that assessment framework in the unit of study is designed to ensure the academic integrity of each assessment in the unit as set out in the <em>Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015</em>. Act on breaches of academic integrity within a unit of study, and review the assessment framework each time the unit of study is offered to eliminate or minimise the possibility of such breaches. Recommend student assessment tasks to the faculty board and program committee. In consultation with the unit of study team and the Head of School, gather, review and act on data on educational quality.</td>
<td>Lead and co-ordinate the unit of study team to ensure deliver quality of teaching and assessment, including reviewing, communicating and acting on data on educational quality in the unit of study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</td>
<td>Responsibility: Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>Provide leadership, guidance and mentorship to students undertaking research projects. Provide academic advice to students on the reporting of research findings in a dissertation, treatise or long essay. Ensure that students are educated on, and monitor the project is compliant with the University’s policies on research integrity, data management, ethical research practice, intellectual property, relevant health and safety procedures and other relevant matters.</td>
<td>Support the student in the research project, including providing timely feedback and advice. Monitor progress within the context of the overall research plan. Ensure the student has the necessary skills to complete the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads of department</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appoint a unit of study co-ordinator for each unit of study within the school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heads of school</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appoint a unit of study co-ordinator for each unit of study within the school. Assign teaching duties, unit of study co-ordinator tasks, and program committee membership to staff in the school. In consultation with the heads of departments, unit of study co-ordinators and program committees, review reports and data on educational quality, and act in relation to staff performance and effective allocation of quality resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</td>
<td>Responsibility: Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Dean - Education</td>
<td>Lead and co-ordinate strategies for educational excellence, improvement and innovation across the faculty.</td>
<td>Co-ordinate teaching across the faculty to ensure deliver excellence in educational outcomes and experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On behalf of the Dean, ensure that establish effective processes for achieving graduate outcomes through engaged enquiry are effective.</td>
<td>Review and act on data on educational quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure alignment of educational standards and quality within the faculty with the University policy and strategy.</td>
<td>Ensure collegial establish and implement collegial governance, as set out in Clause 11 of this policy, in the creation and review of educational programs within the faculty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure Support quality of learning and teaching across the faculty as set out in Part 5 of this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</td>
<td>Responsibility: Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean</td>
<td></td>
<td>Have strategic oversight of faculty boards, the Associate Dean - Education and heads of school <a href="#">and heads of departments</a> to ensure alignment with faculty strategy and operations (resources).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Review and act on data relating to educational quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consistently with the <a href="#">Coursework Policy 2014</a>, set operational parameters for teaching and curriculum (e.g. teaching workloads, staff profile, fees, student numbers.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="#">Ensure that</a> arrangements <a href="#">exist</a> for quality assurance of teaching and learning within the faculty as set out in Part 5 of this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Include, where appropriate, student representatives on standard governance committees and provide them with same information as other committee members to enable effective participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="#">Ensure that</a> faculty offices maintain and update all documentation for policy and procedures relating to the faculty’s academic programs, including documentation for units of study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Coursework Policy 2014](#): https://www.example.com/coursework-policy

[Ensure that](#): https://www.example.com/ensure-that
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</th>
<th>Responsibility: Operational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty boards</td>
<td>Plan and implement reviews of degree curriculum design, including degree learning outcomes, degree learning experiences, and degree level assessment. This will establish ongoing internal alignment and mapping coverage in relation to program goals, coherence, relevance and strategic fit.</td>
<td>Monitor the framework for the management of learning and teaching within the faculty and the processes for ensuring educational excellence in all programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advise the Academic Board of any changes to degree level curricula. This may include creation, variation or deletion of courses and changes to tables of units of study.</td>
<td>May devolve their responsibilities for standards and operational matters to degree, major and program committees and to degree co-ordinators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratify assessment results with degrees and monitor and act to ensure quality of standards and quality of assessment practices. (See the Coursework Policy 2014 and the Assessment Procedures 2011).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review and act on data on educational quality and ensure educational excellence. Ensure Entrench academic integrity within the assessment framework of each award course at each stage of the program.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor breaches of academic integrity within the faculty, review the assessment framework to eliminate or minimise the possibility of such breaches, and report breaches of academic integrity each year to the Academic Board as set out in the Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Responsibility: Curriculum (standards)</td>
<td>Responsibility: Operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Vice Chancellor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensures Establish and support institutional systems and strategy to support effectively deliver the educational mission in order to achieve excellence in outcomes, experience and environment (e.g. infrastructure, IT, curriculum frameworks, student experience). Ensure Deliver quality assurance measures as set out in Part 5 of this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrar</td>
<td>Ensures Establish and support institutional systems and strategy to support effectively deliver the educational mission in relation to admission, recruitment, and administration processes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>Through faculty boards, the Academic Board and the Senior Executive Group (SEG) Education Committee, reviews and acts on:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reports of program committees, including curriculum review and assessment standards;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>data on educational quality; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>academic integrity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Standards and methods for evaluating educational excellence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Evaluation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit of study</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Simple data</td>
<td>• Unit of study co-ordinator assesses that outcomes are meeting requirements,</td>
<td>• Student surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy visibility at faculty level</td>
<td>• including academic integrity</td>
<td>• University rubric to measure against graduate qualities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Generated by results data</td>
<td>• Faculty determines standards with reference to the discipline level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Determined by faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Alignment with award/standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Graduate qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Student experience of learning and teaching</td>
<td>• University sets agreed standards and targets</td>
<td>• Student surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Peer observation of teaching</td>
<td>• Unit of study co-ordinators are responsible for providing students with</td>
<td>• Results are included in report to students/faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>feedback through the closing the loop process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Learning space</td>
<td>• Standards for physical learning space</td>
<td>• Standards for physical learning space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Virtual environment</td>
<td>• Evaluation of learning spaces</td>
<td>• Evaluation of learning spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Formal and informal</td>
<td>• Effective use of existing resources (to teach units of study)</td>
<td>• Effective use of existing resources (to teach units of study)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Evaluation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum/qualification</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualifications</td>
<td>• Qualifications</td>
<td>• Standards and outcomes are determined by the faculty and managed by the Academic Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet accreditation requirements</td>
<td>• Meet accreditation requirements</td>
<td>• Student survey results are averaged over faculty-administered units of study and used to set agreed standards and targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alignment with institutional, industry, professional and community expectations</td>
<td>• Alignment with institutional, industry, professional and community expectations</td>
<td>• Benchmarking and alignment with standards across the faculty, and other comparable institutions, and with professional, disciplinary and industry expectations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td>• Thematically coherent program</td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td>• Formal and informal</td>
<td>• Student surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Community of scholars within discipline/ degree program</td>
<td>• Reported to faculty board; reports made public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Standards for physical learning space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Evaluation of learning spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Effective use of existing resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Evaluation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational outcomes, experience and environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Graduate qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student survey results used to set targets and benchmark at faculty and University level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prepared for learning, life and work</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for accreditation at discipline/professional level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>experiences</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for Australian Qualifications Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Success in accessing further study</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for Higher Education Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for professional regulatory bodies e.g., Australian Health Practitioners Regulatory Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rewarding career paths</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cycle of Academic Board/SEG faculty reviews, including learning and teaching processes and practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Contributing to the community</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets standards set by Group of Eight (Go8) universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Engagement and enquiry to challenge students with novel problems and issues at every stage of the educational process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical spaces and equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Virtual learning environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Supports working together</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee note:

1. the updated Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The updated Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016 are attached for noting by members of the Undergraduate Studies Committee. This paper has been submitted to the 8 June meeting of the Academic Standards and Policy Committee for endorsement prior to Academic Board approval.
LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCEDURES 2016

Issued by: Deputy-Vice Chancellor (Education)
Dated: [insert date the final document is approved/signed]
Last amended:
Signature: DRAFT ONLY – NOT FOR SIGNATURE
Name: Professor Philippa Pattison, Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education)

1 Purpose and application
(1) These procedures are to give effect to the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015 (“the policy”).
(2) These procedures apply to the learning and teaching in coursework award courses.

2 Commencement
These procedures commence on [date].

3 Interpretation
(1) Words and phrases used in these procedures and not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings they have in the policy.
   Note: See clause 6 of the policy.
(2) In these procedures:

   CCPC means the Senior Executive Group Curriculum and Course Planning Committee.
   change in relation to an award course or unit of study, includes an amendment to, or deletion of, the award course or unit of study.
   faculty in relation to the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, a reference to a faculty includes a reference to the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.
   Sydney Student means the University’s online student administration system.
   unit of study master file means the central computerised repository of details of all units of study offered by the University in a given year, which is compiled and maintained by the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar).
USS means Unit of Study Survey.

4 Process for approving new or changed courses

(1) No new or changed course may be advertised or offered until approval or preliminary approval has been obtained, as specified in this clause.

(a) It is the responsibility of the relevant Deans and faculty managers to ensure that necessary approvals are obtained in good time to meet any applicable external or internal deadlines. Meeting schedules are available on the relevant committee websites.

   Note: Academic Board meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/ab/about/dates.shtml
       CPCC meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/senior_executive_group/committees/curriculum_course_planning/meeting_dates.shtml
       SEG meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/senior_executive_group/about/meeting_dates.shtml

(b) Key dates include:

   (i) cut off dates for notifying Year 10 students of changes that may affect HSC subject selection;
   (ii) cut-off date for the Universities Admissions Centre Guide for admissions in the subsequent calendar year;
   (iii) deadline for publication of the faculty handbook for the subsequent year; and
   (iv) finalisation date for the units of study master file for the subsequent year.

(2) The Academic Board may provide a preliminary approval for new or changed courses before the required endorsements are obtained if the new or changed course may affect students' subject choices for Year 11 and Year 12 (for example, the establishment of a pre-requisite).

(3) Faculties proposing new or changed courses must provide notice of the proposed change to any other faculty or school which might be affected by it before submitting an expression of interest or proposal (as appropriate).

(4) Faculties wishing to make a minor change to an existing course are not required to comply with subclauses 4(3) to 4(7) inclusive, but may instead:

   (a) develop a full proposal as required by subclause 4(6);
   (b) submit it to the relevant Academic Board committee; and then
   (c) follow the remainder of the process set out in this clause.

(5) Faculties wishing to introduce a new course or to make a major change to an existing course must submit an expression of interest to the CPCC before work commences on developing the new or changed course.

(6) Expressions of interest must:
(a) explain the strategic rationale for the course or changed course;
(b) briefly outline the business case;
(c) identify potential issues which may arise in the development process; and
(d) be submitted in the form prescribed by the CPCC.

Note: [INSERT REFERENCE AND LINK TO PLACE WHERE FORM CAN BE OBTAINED]

(7) The CPCC will consider the expression of interest and determine whether to recommend it to the Senior Executive Group for endorsement.

(a) The CPCC may request a faculty representative to attend at a meeting of the CPCC explain the case for the new or changed course.

(8) If the Senior Executive Group endorses the expression of interest, the faculty may then develop a full course proposal, using the template determined by the Academic Board for that purpose.

Note: [INSERT REFERENCE AND LINK TO PLACE WHERE FORM CAN BE OBTAINED]

(9) Proposals for changed courses must include details of transitional arrangements to ensure that students already enrolled in the course are not disadvantaged.

(10) The full proposal must then be submitted for review and endorsement to:

(a) the CCPC, and if endorsed
(b) the Undergraduate or Graduate Studies Committee (as appropriate) of the Academic Board.

(11) Once the endorsement of the Undergraduate or Graduate Studies Committee has been obtained, the full proposal may be submitted to the Academic Board for approval.

(12) In considering proposals for new or amended courses, the chairs of committees whose endorsement is sought may form small working parties to consider proposals and report on them.

5 Matters to be considered in relation to proposals for new or changed courses

(1) Decision makers must take the following matters into consideration before endorsing or approving a new award course or changes to an existing award course:

(a) the academic need for, and merit of, the proposed course or change;
(b) the aims of the course, including how it will meet faculty and University goals;
(c) whether, and how, the proposed course or change will maximise internal collaborations;
(d) the learning outcomes, and the effectiveness of plans for their development and assessment;
(e) alignment of the learning outcomes with the graduate qualities, and the effectiveness of plans for developing and assessing achievement of the graduate qualities;

(f) the extent and effectiveness of consultation undertaken with relevant faculties and schools, and where appropriate, external accreditation bodies;

(g) consistency with University policies and procedures, and any applicable external requirements;

(h) potential resource impacts, including:
   (i) workload implications;
   (ii) financial sustainability;
   (iii) impact on University libraries;
   (iv) impact on information and communications technology;
   (v) impact on physical spaces and learning environments; and
   (vi) impact on resources of other faculties, schools and departments;

(i) the availability and appropriateness of mechanisms for evaluating and, if necessary improving:
   (i) quality;
   (ii) delivery; and
   (iii) academic outcomes.

5 Process for approving new or changed units of study

(2) No new or changed unit of study may be advertised or offered until approval has been obtained, as specified in this clause.

(a) It is the responsibility of the relevant Deans and faculty managers to ensure that necessary approvals are obtained in good time to meet any applicable external or internal deadlines. Meeting schedules are available on the relevant committee websites.

   Note: Academic Board meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/ab/about/dates.shtml;
   CPCC meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/senior_executive_group/committees/curriculum_course_planning/meeting_dates.shtml
   SEG meeting dates - http://sydney.edu.au/senior_executive_group/about/meeting_dates.shtml

(b) Key dates include:
   (i) cut off dates for notifying Year 10 students of changes that may affect HSC subject selection;
   (ii) cut-off date for the Universities Admissions Centre Guide for admissions in the subsequent calendar year;
(iii) deadline for the publication of the faculty handbook for the subsequent year; and
(iv) finalisation date for the units of study master file for the subsequent year.

(3) Faculties, or where relevant the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education), proposing new or changed units of study must:
(a) provide advance notice of the proposed change to any faculty or school which might be affected, particularly those offering award courses in which the unit of study is listed in the unit of study table, before seeking approval; and
(b) submit proposals for approval in the required template approved by the Faculty.

Note: The template is available from [INSERT LINK].

(4) Proposals for new or changed units of study which are, or are proposed to be, under the faculty’s academic direction in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees must be:
(a) endorsed by the unit of study co-ordinator, or in the case of new units of study, the relevant [head of school?]; and
(b) approved by the faculty.

(5) Proposals for new or changed units of study which are not, or are proposed not to be, under the faculty’s academic direction but will be included in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees must be:
(a) endorsed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education); and
(b) approved by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.

(6) Units of study which are, or are proposed to be included in the open learning environment, Sydney Research Seminars, or interdisciplinary units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees, or offered to all students in any degrees specified in the degree resolutions, must be:
(a) endorsed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) or the faculty; and
(b) approved by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.

(7) Faculties and the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies (as appropriate) must report approved new or changed units of study to the Undergraduate or Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board (as appropriate) at least annually.

6 Course resolutions

(1) Course resolutions must specify, as a minimum;
(a) the course code;
(b) attendance patterns;
(c) requirements for admission to candidature;
(d) requirements for the award course including credit point values, units of study that may be taken for credit, mandatory units of study;
(e) streams available in the award course;
(f) majors available in the award course;
(g) minors available in the award course;
(h) requirements for streams, majors and minors;
(i) progression rules;
(j) restrictions on enrolment;
(k) time limits, if different from those specified in the faculty resolutions;
(l) Note: Course resolutions may not extend the maximum time for completion of a coursework degree, which is provided in the University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014
(m) cross institutional study and exchange, if not as specified in the faculty resolutions;
(n) requirements for admission to, and for the award of honours, if available;
(o) award of the degree including grades of the degree or grades of honours that may be awarded; and
(p) any transitional arrangements relating to the resolutions.

7 Faculty resolutions

(1) Faculty resolutions may include resolutions about:
(a) course enrolment, including enrolment restrictions, time limits, suspension, discontinuation and lapse of candidature and recognition of prior learning;
(b) unit of study enrolment, including cross-institutional study, international exchange;
(c) study and assessment, including attendance and participation, late submission, and arrangements, if any, for re-assessment;
(d) progression and award including satisfactory progress, awards, award and grades of honours, medals, weighted average marks used in addition to the provisions of the Coursework Policy 2014; and
(e) transitional arrangements.

8 Faculty handbooks

(1) Faculty handbooks must specify:
(a) a description of the faculty structure, including schools, disciplines and departments;
(b) the faculty teaching calendar for the year;
(c) any local provisions in the faculty relating to University policy and procedures;
(d) a brief description, assessment and pre-and co-requisites for each unit of study offered by the faculty;
(e) in relation to each award course offered by the faculty:
   (i) the award course resolutions;
(ii) any applicable faculty resolutions;
(iii) the intended learning outcomes;
(iv) the approved minimum learning commitments;
(v) the approved learning experiences;
(vi) the assessment process and standards; and
(vii) expected prior learning;

(f) in relation to each unit of study offered by the faculty:
(i) a brief description;
(ii) assessment summary;
(iii) pre- and co-requisites; and
(iv) the relationship of the unit of study to the overall learning outcomes and experience for the award course.

9 Unit of study outlines

(1) Unit of study outlines must contain:
   (a) a concise statement of the learning outcomes;
   (b) a list of objectives expressed in terms of how that knowledge will be assessed;
   (c) a concise statement of the links between the learning outcomes and the graduate qualities;
   (d) a brief description of the contribution of the unit to the different award course programs in which the students may be enrolled;
   (e) information about academic integrity and the checking of written assignments through similarity detection software;
   (f) links to compulsory modules relating to academic honesty;
   (g) advice on:
      (i) attendance and class requirements;
      (ii) the methods of assessment to be used;
      (iii) the weighting of each assessment;
   (h) names and contact details of relevant teaching and administrative staff.

(2) Unit of study outlines may also contain, where appropriate, assignment questions and assessment tasks.

(3) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) may prescribe a template for unit of study outlines, in which case the template must be used for all unit of study outlines.

10 Learning management systems

(1) An LMS website must contain:
   (a) an introduction and rationale for the unit of study;
(b) the aims and learning outcomes;
(c) the contribution that the aims and learning outcomes of the unit make to learning outcomes and graduate qualities for the award course;
(d) an outline of the curriculum for the unit and a schedule of learning activities (lectures, seminars, tutorials, workshops, practicals, laboratories, online learning, field trips, work placement, independent study, other);
(e) minimum learning commitments and attendance requirements for learning activities, and guidelines on time to be allowed for private study and assessment preparation;
(f) the assessment process, standards and criteria, including a detailed breakdown of each assessment task, its contribution to the final mark, deadlines and closing dates for submission of work;
(g) any relevant expectations relating to group work, professionalism in work-integrated learning situations and other matters;
(h) any penalties that apply for poor attendance or late submission;
(i) mandatory or recommended prior learning;
   Note: This information should also be provided to prospective students as early as possible, through the University’s “Find a Course” website.
(j) reference and links to relevant University policies, including, as a minimum procedures for Special Consideration in the Coursework Policy 2014, Assessment Procedures 2011, and Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015;
(k) a notification to students indicating that participation in the unit of study permits de-identified information about their learning experience and interaction with learning resources their learning analytics to be used for the purpose of improving their experience of learning;
(l) information, where relevant, about the recording of lectures delivered and automatically captured in University-owned lecture theatres;
(m) the use of the text-matching tool on the University’s LMS for student text-based assignments;
(n) details of changes made to the unit as a result of student feedback and student experience from the previous time the unit was offered.

(2) Each LMS must be designed to include the capacity for:
   (a) submitting written assignments online; and
   (b) for text-based assignments, checking submitted work with similarity detection software.

(3) Read-only access to the LMS site for a unit of study must be provided to:
   (a) students;
   (b) unit of study co-ordinators;
   (c) all teachers and tutors in the award course;
   (d) relevant library staff, for the purpose of facilitating availability of relevant library resources;
(e) relevant educational integrity co-ordinators, for the purposes of conducting an investigation into suspected academic dishonesty;

(f) relevant staff of the Disability Support Office, for the purposes of recommending adjustments for students registered with that office; and

(g) any other member of staff to whom the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) directs that such access should be provided.

(4) Editing access to the LMS site for a unit of study must be provided to the unit of study co-ordinator and any other person nominated by the unit of study co-ordinator.

11 Third party learning technologies

[Material from draft was policy not procedural, and I have therefore added it to the policy in new 20A. We still need provisions here about how to register such technologies with the DVC(Ed) and what the criteria are for trialling and evaluating them before and after adoption]

12 Academic records on graduation

(1) An Australian Higher Education Graduation Statement, must contain at least:

(a) a description of the award;

(b) any industry or professional accreditation; and

(c) any other relevant outcomes.

(2) An academic transcript is a complete record of the student's studies at the University and must state:

(a) the award course;

(b) any specialisation, stream, major or minor achieved;

(c) each unit of study attempted with:

(i) the semester and year of the attempt;

(ii) the credit point value;

(iii) the mark; and

(iv) the grade.

(3) A certificate of graduate status must list the degree name and the graduation date but not the units of study.

(4) A degree statement (testamur), is the legal statement of the student's attainment of the degree, and must state:

(a) the degree awarded;

(b) the authority under which it is awarded;

(c) the title of the award;

(d) the name of the student to whom it is awarded;

(e) the date of conferral;
(f) any specialisation or major; and
(g) where relevant, the grade of the degree or honours awarded.

13 Quality assurance and evaluation

Evaluation of the student experience

(1) Excellence of the student experience is evaluated through surveys of the student experience at three levels:

(a) the degree or program level; and

(b) the unit of study level.

(2) Degree or program level feedback is captured from both current students and recent graduates through external three national surveys, the Student Experience Survey (SES); the Graduate Outcomes Survey (GOS); and the Student Barometer (SB). Educational data analytics from these surveys are reported by the Education Portfolio Quality and Analytics Team to the wider university community, including, but not limited to:

(a) Senior Executive Group Education and Research Training Committees;

(b) Academic Board;

(c) Graduate Studies Committee of Academic Board;

(d) Undergraduate Studies Committee of Academic Board;

(e) Faculty Deans, Associate Deans and appropriate boards and committees.

(3) Unit of study level feedback is captured through the Unit of Study Survey (USS).

(a) The USS is administered online, using Sydney Student data to generate the list of units of study to be surveyed each teaching session, and to access the contact details of students enrolled in them.

(b) The USS includes 6 common quantitative items, and 2 common qualitative items and up to four faculty specific quantitative item and one qualitative item.

(c) For each unit of study, a faculty administrator is responsible for

(i) checking that the unit of study coordinator details are correct;

(ii) setting appropriate open and close dates for the survey; and

(iii) indicating which faculty specific variant of the USS is to be used.

(d) Unit of study coordinators must check the details of the survey (sent as a pre-notification email two weeks prior to the survey open date). Changes should be requested through the faculty administrator.
(e) Students are emailed an invitation to participate in the USS on the survey open date. A reminder email will be emailed to all students who have not already completed the survey one week after the survey opens.

(f) Teachers may allow time in class for students to complete the survey on their smartphone, tablet or laptop.

(g) Unit of study coordinators will receive an email notification on the survey open date, and then an update one week later.

(h) Results are made available to the unit of study coordinators, the Dean, the Associate Dean, Education and other nominees of the Dean via the USS results portal.

(i) Results are made available to students (quantitative results only). Coordinators can write a comment in response to the ratings and comments given by their students before results are released to students.

(j) Changes made to the unit as a result of student feedback and student experience from the previous time the unit was offered must be included in the LMS website for the unit of study.

(1) Surveys to evaluate excellence of the student experience will be undertaken at:

(2) the award course or program level;

(3) the unit of study level; and

(4) the individual teacher level.

(5) Award course or program level data will be gathered annually through two national surveys of current students and recent graduates:

(6) the Student Experience Survey (SES); and

(7) the Australian Graduate Survey.

(8) The Quality and Analytics unit in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) portfolio will report on the educational data analytics from these surveys to the wider University community, including:

(9) the Senior Executive Group Education and Research Training Committees;

(10) the Academic Board;

(11) the Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board;

(12) the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board; and

(13) Deans, Associate Deans and appropriate faculty boards and committees.

(14) Unit of study level data will be gathered each teaching session through the Unit of Study Survey administered by the Quality and Analytics unit in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) portfolio.

(15) The USS will be administered online, using Sydney Student data to generate the list of units of study to be surveyed and to access contact details of students enrolled in them.

(16) Units of study with fewer than five students will not be surveyed, in order to retain participant anonymity.
(17) The USS will include:
(18) six common quantitative questions;
(19) two common qualitative questions;
(20) up to four faculty specific quantitative questions; and
(21) one faculty specific qualitative question.
(22) The Quality and Analytics unit will provide survey details to the faculty two weeks
prior to the survey opening date.
(23) The faculty must nominate an appropriate administrator who will be responsible for:
(24) checking that the unit of study co-ordinator details are correct;
(25) setting appropriate open and close dates for the survey; and
(26) specifying which faculty specific variant of the USS is to be used.
(27) Unit of study co-ordinators must then check the details of the survey.
(28) Changes must be requested from the Quality and Analytics unit through the
nominated faculty administrator.
(29) Students will receive an email invitation to participate on the survey open date, and
a reminder one week later if they have not completed the survey.
(30) Unit of study co-ordinators will receive an email notification on the survey open
date and an update one week later.
(31) Teachers may allow time in class for students to complete the survey.
(32) The Quality and Analytics unit will provide results to faculties through the USS
results portal [INSERT HYPERLINK] to the relevant:
(33) unit of study co-ordinators;
(34) Deans;
(35) Associated Deans - Education; and
(36) other staff members nominated by the relevant Dean.
(37) Unit of study co-ordinators will be given an opportunity to write a comment in
response to rating and comments provided by student, before results are released
to students.
(38) The Quality and Analytics unit will provide results to students [HOW?].
(39)(5) Quality assurance processes at all levels are summarised in Schedule 1.
(40)(6) Reviews of faculties and academic units will be jointly overseen by the
Academic Board and Senior Executive Group, and will include a focus on teaching
and learning, including curriculum development and research training.
(41)(7) The review process will consist of the following stages:
   (a) initiation of the review
   (b) appointment of a review panel;
   (c) review visit preparation;
   (d) submission of faculty self-evaluation report;
   (e) review panel meetings:
(i) preliminary;
(ii) consensus;
(iii) review;
(f) preparation of review panel report by the office of the Provost, in consultation with the review panel;
(g) development of implementation plan.

Note: Further information about faculty review visits is available from the Academic Board website [INSERT LINK]

(42)(8) The terms of reference for review panels are set out in Schedule 2.

14 Educational environments

(1) The Director, Campus Infrastructure will, in consultation with the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) determine standards for physical learning spaces at the University.

(2) The quality of educational environments will be measured through student and teacher evaluations of learning spaces.

15 Rescissions and replacements

This document replaces the following, which are rescinded as from the date of commencement of this document:

(1) [Name of document], which commenced on [date]
(2) [Name of document], which commenced on [date]
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## Standards and methods for evaluating educational excellence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Evaluation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit of study</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational outcomes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational outcomes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Simple data</td>
<td>• Unit of study co-ordinator assesses that outcomes are meeting requirements, including academic integrity</td>
<td>• Student surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Easy visibility at faculty level</td>
<td>• Faculty determines standards with reference to the discipline level</td>
<td>• University rubric to measure against graduate qualities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Generated by results data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Determined by faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Alignment with award/ standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Graduate qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational experience</strong></td>
<td><strong>Student experience of learning and teaching</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational experience</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational experience</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Peer observation of teaching</td>
<td>• University sets agreed standards and targets</td>
<td>• Student surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational environment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Learning space</strong></td>
<td><strong>Educational experience</strong></td>
<td>• Results are included in report to students/ faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Virtual environment</td>
<td>• Unit of study co-ordinators are responsible for providing students with feedback through the closing the loop process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Formal and informal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Evaluation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum/qualification</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Qualifications • Meet accreditation requirements • Alignment with institutional, industry, professional and community expectations</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Standards and outcomes are determined by the faculty and managed by the Academic Board</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Student survey results are averaged over faculty-administered units of study and used to set agreed standards and targets • Benchmarking and alignment with standards across the faculty, and other comparable institutions, and with professional, disciplinary and industry expectations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Thematically coherent program</td>
<td>Educational experience • Student surveys • Reported to faculty board; reports made public</td>
<td>Educational experience • Standards for physical learning space • Evaluation of learning spaces • Effective use of existing resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Formal and informal • Community of scholars within discipline/ degree program</td>
<td>Educational outcomes •</td>
<td>Educational outcomes • Standards for physical learning space • Evaluation of learning spaces • Effective use of existing resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Evaluation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>Educational outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Educational outcomes, experience and environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Graduate qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student survey results used to set targets and benchmark at faculty and University level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prepared for learning, life and work experiences</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for accreditation at discipline/ professional level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Success in accessing further study opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for Australian Qualifications Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rewarding career paths</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for Higher Education Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Contributing to the community</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets requirements for professional regulatory bodies e.g. Australian Health Practitioners Regulatory Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cycle of Academic Board/ SEG faculty reviews, including learning and teaching processes and practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational experience</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Meets standards set by Group of Eight (Go8) universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Engagement and enquiry to challenge students with novel problems and issues at every stage of the educational process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical spaces and equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Virtual learning environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Supports working together</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE TWO

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR ACADEMIC UNIT REVIEW PANELS

OBJECTIVES

Reviews of academic units aim to ensure their capacity to deliver teaching and learning, research and the best outcomes for society at the highest possible standard, and in a manner that is academically and financially sustainable and aligned with the University’s strategic goals.

ROLE OF PANEL

To achieve the objectives, the panel will

(a) review and report on the academic unit’s goals, strategy and achievements in relation to:
   (i) teaching and learning, including curriculum development and research training;
   (ii) research and development;
   (iii) external relations;
   (iv) equity issues; and
   (v) internationalisation;

(b) assess and report on the alignment of the unit’s goals with the University’s strategic plan;

(c) assess and report on the allocation resources within the unit, and its strategies for managing and improving its financial performance in relation to:
   (i) teaching;
   (ii) research;
   (iii) other sources of income; and
   (iv) controls on expenditure;

(d) assess and report on the effectiveness of the unit’s organisational structure in delivery its strategy and achieving its goals;

(e) make recommendations for optimising teaching, research and benefit to society, in relation to the unit’s goals, strategy, resource allocation and sustainability;

(f) assess and make recommendations for the unit's course profile, in terms of academic excellence, demand, quality and sustainability.

MEMBERSHIP OF PANEL

(1) Panel members are appointed jointly by the Provost and the Chair of the Academic Board, each of whom may choose to sit on a review committee or nominate a representative to do so.

(2) Review panels will consist of five members, plus a chair. If appropriate, an additional two members may be appointed.

(3) Review panels will be comprised of at least:
(a) three senior academics with disciplinary or management knowledge relevant to the unit under review, and at least two of whom should be external to the University;

(b) a senior academic from within the unit under review, who is neither a dean or associate dean; and

(c) a member of the Academic Board nominated by the Chair of the Academic Board.
RECOMMENDATION

That the Undergraduate Studies Committee note the proposal to amend to the Coursework Policy and the Learning and Teaching Policy (Agenda Item 5.2) embedding the curriculum framework of the Strategic Plan 2016-2020, as discussed at the 8 June meeting of the Academic Standards and Policy Committee.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The ASPC first saw this proposal for consultation on 20 April. As flagged at that meeting the discussion paper “Towards a distinctive undergraduate education” (June 2015) canvassed 5 proposals on undergraduate education which, following consultation were further refined in the follow-up paper A Distinctive Undergraduate Education: Next Steps. These proposals were:

- The adoption of a common set of Graduate Qualities
- The development of a common set of definitions for course components and course rules for liberal studies degrees
- A proposed curriculum framework for bachelor degrees
- A four-year combined-degree model for liberal studied education
- Development of vertical double degree pathways

Proposals 1 and parts of proposal 3 were adopted by the Academic Board in December 2015, in the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015. This paper recommends the adoption of common definitions of course components and course rules (proposal 2) and of a four-year combined degree model (proposal 4). Proposal 5 on vertical double degrees is contingent of wider consultation with government and will be the subject of a later paper.

The proposals have since been considered and amended by SEG Education (three times), the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board, and the Generalist Undergraduate Degree working party of SEG. Changes have included improvements in wording, modification of definitions of the two undergraduate degree types (Liberal Studies and Professional/Specialist), clarification that stated learning outcomes are not required for minors but are required for programs and (as previously in the Learning and Teaching Policy) for majors, clarification on the maximum volume of work for programs, and clarification that, in the case of Professional / Specialist degrees, the capacity to undertake a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies (BAS) will be as stated in the award course resolutions (all Liberal Studies Bachelor degrees can be taken as a combined degree with the BAS).

BACKGROUND / CONTEXT

In December 2015, the Academic Board approved the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015, incorporating the graduate qualities and some elements of the curriculum framework enunciated in the discussion paper “Towards a distinctive undergraduate education” (June 2015) and in the follow-up paper A Distinctive Undergraduate Education: Next Steps. The curriculum framework was discussed and it was noted that further
details of the curriculum framework were the subject of ongoing development and would be brought back for further discussion and approval in the first half of 2016. Those elements have been developed further in the Generalist Undergraduate Degree Working Party and the Specialist and Professional Undergraduate Degree Working Party established by the SEG in 2015 after the release of the first discussion paper. As a result of those consultation amendments to the Coursework Policy and the Learning and Teaching Policy were proposed to meetings of the SEG Education Committee (11 April and 9 May), ASPC and Undergraduate Studies in order to adopt a common set of definitions (proposal 2) and a four-year combined degree model (proposal 4). Those elements are contained in the attached amendments to the Coursework Policy and the Learning and Teaching Policy as set out below and have now been modified in the light of feedback from the SEG Education Committee, the Academic Standards and Policy Committee and the Undergraduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board.

| The categorisation of bachelor degrees into two broad categories: Liberal Studies, and Specialist/Professional | Towards a Distinctive Undergraduate Education proposed a degree architecture based on Liberal Studies and Specialist/Professional degrees. While both degree would achieve the Graduate Qualities, the different educational demands necessitated a different curriculum framework. The Coursework Policy currently contains requirements for different types of Masters by Coursework (Advanced Learning and Professional). This proposal adopts a comparable framework for Bachelor degrees. |
| Combined degrees with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies | Towards a Distinctive Undergraduate Education proposed a combined degree model. Incorporating a common framework for the four-year combined degree model flexibility to students and allows efficiency and responsiveness in the creation of new programs, tailored to student needs |
| Curriculum components (Stream, Program, Major, Minor, Degree Core) | Towards a Distinctive Undergraduate Education proposed the adoption of common definitions for curriculum components which were developed further in A Distinctive Undergraduate Education: next steps and in consultation with the Generalist Undergraduate Degree working group of SEG. |
| Coursework Policy. Definitions now include Bachelor of Advanced Studies |
| Specify two types of Bachelor Degree |
| Part 17. Clause 84 (p. 62) gives requirements for both types |
| Coursework Policy |
| Clause 84 specifies that Liberal Studies and Professional/Specialist degrees may be combined with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies |
| Learning and Teaching Policy |
| Definitions of both types of undergraduate degree in Coursework Policy included in Learning and Teaching Policy |
| Part 18 of the Policy gives detailed definitions of the components. |

CONSULTATION

This proposal incorporates changes made on the basis of previous consultation with the SEG Education Committee (April and May) and subsequently by the Academic Board and Undergraduate Studies Committee (April).

Previously, there was university-wide and widespread stakeholder consultation and market research following release of the discussion paper, Towards a Distinctive Undergraduate Education. The Academic Board gave in-principle support to the curriculum framework including the development of common components and four-year combined degree model in December 2015, noting that further proposals would come back for approval in the first half of 2016. These elements have now been developed further by the Generalist Undergraduate
Non-Confidential

Degree and Specialist/Professional Undergraduate Degree working parties established by SEG in 2015 and the results of this consultation are presented for discussion and approval.

IMPLEMENTATION

Following discussion at SEG Education, the SEG, and ASPC, this proposal will be forwarded to the Undergraduate Studies Committee for noting and Academic Board for approval. The Education Portfolio is consulting with faculties, to provide resources for the development of revised and new degree resolutions reflecting the graduate qualities, common curriculum components and four-year combined degree model. These will be brought to CCPC, SEG Education, SEG, the Undergraduate Studies Committee and the Academic Board in August 2016 for approval by the end of the year, with the intention to introduce the new degrees in 2018. This recommendation provides the policy framework for the development of those programs.

COMMUNICATION

The new curriculum framework will be discussed at the Academic Board and in ongoing consultation between the Education Portfolio and faculties in revision of existing programs, creation of the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, writing of revised and new Degree Resolutions, and the embedding and assessment of the Graduate Qualities.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – Updated amendments to the Coursework Policy
The Academic Board, as delegate of the Senate of the University of Sydney, adopts the following policy.

Dated: 3 December 2014

Last amended: 3 May 2016 (administrative amendment)

[INSERT DATE – CURRICULUM AMENDMENTS]

Signature:

Position: Chair, Academic Board
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PART 1 PRELIMINARY

1 Name of policy
This is the Coursework Policy 2014.

2 Commencement
This Policy commences on the day after the day on which it is registered.

3 Statement of intent
This Policy governs all coursework award courses leading to the following qualifications:

(a) Diplomas;
(b) Advanced Diplomas;
(c) Bachelor Degrees;
(d) Bachelor Honours Degrees;
(e) Graduate Certificates;
(f) Graduate Diplomas; and
(g) Masters Degrees.

Note 1: These are the only coursework qualifications awarded or conferred by the University. See clause 1.3(2) of the Coursework Rule.

Note 2: ‘Masters Degrees’ includes the following exceptions endorsed under the Issuance Policy of the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF) relating to AQF level 9 qualifications that contain the word ‘doctor’ rather than ‘master’ in their title: Juris Doctor, Doctor of Dental Medicine, Doctor of Medicine and Doctor of Veterinary Medicine.

4 Application

(1) Except to the extent that a contrary intention is expressed:

(a) this policy applies to:
   (i) staff, affiliates, students and applicants for coursework award courses; and
   (ii) non-award students, exchange students and study abroad students enrolled in a unit of study at the University;

(b) it is a condition of each student’s admission to candidature that the student complies with his or her obligations under this policy.

(2) Authorities and responsibilities set out in this policy are also defined in the Delegations of Authority – Academic Functions.

5 Definitions

(1) In this policy:

academic adviser means an academic employee nominated by the Dean of a faculty to provide advice on student progression matters.

academic progression register means the record of all students whose academic progression is being monitored by a faculty in accordance with this policy.

academic progression requirements means the requirements for academic progression in an award course, set out in faculty resolutions, course resolutions or this policy.

academic transcript means a written statement setting out a student’s academic record at the University.

admission means admission to candidature in a coursework award course at the University.

advanced standing means acknowledgement by the University of relevant prior academic achievement or relevant experience, usually in the form of a reduced volume of learning, or credit points that count towards the requirements of an award course.

appended honours course means a course that leads to an award of a degree with honours where the honours component is undertaken after the student has met the course requirements for the degree (without...
**applicant** means an applicant for admission to a coursework award course at the University.

**assessment** means the process of measuring the performance of students (as in examinations, assignments and other assessable work) that enables students to monitor their progress and contributes to their academic results in a unit of study.

**Associate Dean** means the Associate Dean of a faculty with responsibility for coursework award courses at the relevant level, or the deputy chairperson of a board of studies or a person appointed by the Dean to have responsibility for coursework award courses at the relevant level.

**ATAR or Australian Tertiary Admissions Rank** for an applicant, means:
- the applicant's rank in relation to the applicant's secondary cohort, as provided to the University by UAC; or
- the applicant's results in a school leaving examination in another State, Territory or country, converted to an ATAR equivalent, as provided to the University by UAC.

**ATAR cut off** for a course, means the ATAR fixed by the relevant faculty as the minimum ATAR that an applicant must achieve to be eligible for admission to the course, unless the applicant is eligible for admission to the course through an educational access scheme.

**Australian citizen** has the meaning it has under the *Australian Citizenship Act 2007* (Cth).

**AQF or Australian Qualifications Framework** means the national framework for recognition and endorsement of education qualifications.

**authentic assessment** means assessment tasks that relate the application of knowledge to problems, skills and performances that are found in general or disciplinary practices or professional contexts. It includes but is not limited to projects, investigations and report writing.

**award course** means a course approved by the Academic Board and endorsed by the Senate, on the recommendation of the Academic Board, that leads to the conferral of a degree or the award of a diploma or certificate.

**award course resolutions** means the resolutions setting out the requirements for the award approved by the Academic Board and tabled at a meeting of the Senate.

**Bachelor of Advanced Studies** means the Bachelor degree available as a combined degree with every Liberal Studies and specified Specialist or Professional Bachelor degree, as set out in the applicable award course resolutions. The Bachelor of Advanced Studies is
a Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree.

**Note:** See clause 83D.

**Bachelor degree** means an undergraduate award course that:

- achieves at least the outcome specified for level **sevenshe** of the AQF;
- is a program of liberal, specialist or professional learning and education; and
- builds on prior secondary or tertiary study.

**Note:** See clause 83A.

**Barrier unit of study** means a unit of study that the faculty has determined must be passed before a student is permitted to progress.

**By-law** means the *University of Sydney By-Law 1999 (NSW)* (as amended)

**Note 3:** A copy of the By-law can be found in the University Policy Register.

**Candidature** means the period during which a student is eligible to enrol in units of study in a coursework award course at the University

**Capstone experience** means a unit of study that provides students with an opportunity to draw together the learning that has taken place during the course, synthesise it with their own learning and experience, and draw conclusions that form the basis for further investigation, and intellectual and professional growth. A capstone experience should be integrative, foster student autonomy and, where appropriate, a trans-disciplinary perspective.

**Census date** means the date on which a student’s enrolment in a unit of study becomes final.

**Combined degree course** means a combination of two degree programs leading to the attainment of two qualifications, structured to enable students to count a specified number of credit points towards the requirements for both award courses, resulting in a lower volume of learning than if the two degrees were taken separately. See also double degree course.

**Commonwealth supported place** means a place in an award course in respect of which the student and the Commonwealth government both contribute towards the cost of the student’s education.

**Compulsory unit of study** means a unit of study that must be completed before the award of a degree, but which does not constitute a barrier unit of study.

**Course** means a planned and structured sequence of learning and teaching primarily aimed at the acquisition of knowledge, skills
and understanding.

course requirements means the requirements for an award course set out in any relevant faculty resolutions and the award course resolutions.

course resolutions means the requirements for an award course approved by the Academic Board and set out in the faculty resolutions for the course.

Note 4: See clause 2.3 of the Coursework Rule.

Coursework award course means a course approved by the Academic Board and endorsed by the Senate, that leads to a degree, diploma or certificate and is undertaken predominantly by coursework. While the program of study in a coursework award course may include a component of original, supervised research, other forms of instruction and learning normally will be dominant. All undergraduate award courses, and graduate certificates, graduate diplomas and those Masters degrees that comprise less than 66% research are coursework award courses.

Coursework Rule means the University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule 2014.

credit means advanced standing based on previous attainment in another award course at the University or at another institution, or in a non-award course approved by the Academic Board. The advanced standing is expressed as credit points granted towards the award course. Credit may be granted as specific credit or non-specific credit.

Credit point means a measure of value indicating the contribution that each unit of study provides towards meeting award course completion requirements, stated as a total credit point value.

Criteria means statements that identify the key characteristics or attributes of student performance in an assessment task.

Cross-credited unit of study means a unit of study that, on completion, is credited towards the requirements of two awards, or two component parts of a combined award.

Cross-institutional study means enrolment by a student in a unit or units of study at another university or institution.

Dean means the Dean of the relevant faculty

delegate means an officer, employee or committee of the University to whom Senate has made a delegation of power.

Department means a disciplinary grouping established by a faculty or, if the faculty does not have an internal departmental structure, a faculty itself.

Disability has the meaning prescribed in Section 4 of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 (Cth).
Note 5: At the date of this policy the definition is:

disability, in relation to a person, means:

(a) total or partial loss of the person’s bodily or mental functions; or
(b) total or partial loss of a part of the body; or
(c) the presence in the body of organisms causing disease or illness; or
(d) the presence in the body of organisms capable of causing disease or illness; or
(e) the malfunction, malformation or disfigurement of a part of the person’s body; or
(f) a disorder or malfunction that results in the person learning differently from a person without the disorder or malfunction; or
(g) a disorder, illness or disease that affects a person’s thought processes, perception of reality, emotions or judgment or that results in disturbed behaviour;

and includes a disability that:

(h) presently exists; or
(i) previously existed but no longer exists; or
(j) may exist in the future (including because of a genetic predisposition to that disability); or
(k) is imputed to a person.

To avoid doubt, a disability that is otherwise covered by this definition includes behaviour that is a symptom or manifestation of the disability.

**Domestic applicant** means an applicant who is:

- an Australian citizen;
- a permanent resident of Australia; or
- a New Zealand citizen.

**Domestic student** means a student who is:

- an Australian citizen;
- a permanent resident of Australia; or
- a New Zealand citizen.

**Double degree course** means a course in which a student completes two AQF qualifications under one set of award course resolutions with no cross-crediting of units of study between the qualifications. A single testamur or separate testamurs may be issued.

**Educational access scheme** means an entry scheme approved by the Academic Board in accordance Part 7 of this policy.

**Embedded program** means a sequence of linked courses in closely related academic or professional areas that:

- allows for consequential and incrementally higher levels of academic achievement;
- specifies in the award course resolutions conditions for
transfer from one linked award to a higher linked award; and

- allows credit points for a unit of study to count towards more than one of the linked awards.

**eEnrolment** means enrolment in a coursework award course at the University. A person is not enrolled until the person has completed, to the satisfaction of the University, all requirements for enrolment or re-enrolment in the award course concerned.

**eExchange student** means a person who is:

- not an Australian citizen;
- not admitted to an award course at the University;
- admitted to a formally approved program of study at an overseas institution with which the University has an exchange agreement; and
- enrolled in one or more units of study at the University.

**eExemption** means an exemption given by a faculty to a student from the requirement to complete parts of the prescribed work for a particular unit of study within a course, including attendance at prescribed lectures, seminars, tutorials and practical work.

**fFaculty** means a faculty or a board of studies as established in each case by its constitution and in this policy refers to the faculty or faculties responsible for the relevant award course.

**fFeedback** means information provided to students on the quality of their learning in relation to an assessment activity, which forms the basis of improved student learning.

**fFlexible entry scheme** means an entry scheme for Australian recent school leavers, approved by the Academic Board in accordance with clause 26 of this Policy.

**gGraduate attributes** means the outcomes of a University of Sydney education.

**Note 6:** See *Learning and Teaching Policy 2015*

**gGraduate Certificate** means an advanced program of study that builds on prior undergraduate study or, where approved by the faculty, prior experience that is considered by the faculty to demonstrate the required knowledge and aptitude.

**gGraduate Diploma** means an advanced program of study that builds on either or both of prior undergraduate or postgraduate study.

**gGroup work** means a formally established project to be carried out by a number of students working together that results in a single piece of assessment or a number of associated pieces of assessment.

**HSC or Higher School Certificate** means a Higher School Certificate granted by the NSW Board of Studies under the *Education Act 1990*.

**IELTS or** means the test jointly administered by British Council, IDP
| **International English Language Testing System** | Education Pty Ltd and the University of Cambridge. |
| **Integrated honours course** | means a course that leads to the award of a degree with honours that is not an appended honours course. The honours component of the course is integrated within the overall course without extending the time for completion of the course. |
| **International applicant** | means an applicant who is not: 
  - an Australian citizen; 
  - a permanent resident of Australia; or 
  - a New Zealand citizen. |
| **International Baccalaureate** | means the diploma awarded to a person who successfully completes the International Baccalaureate program, developed and administered by the International Baccalaureate Organisation. |
| **International student** | means a student who is not: 
  - an Australian citizen; 
  - a permanent resident of Australia; or 
  - a New Zealand citizen. |
| **Learning outcomes** | means statements of what students know, understand and are able to do on completion of a unit of study. |
| **Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree** | means a degree program of study at Bachelor level of three years duration (or part-time equivalent) that provides students with a broad multi-disciplinary education that develops disciplinary expertise and the Graduate Qualitiesbroader skills. 

  **Note:** See clause 83B. |
| **major** | means a defined sequence of units program of study taken by a student which develops depth of expertise in a field of study and, generally comprising specified units of study from the later stages of an award course which meets the relevant requirements specified in the Learning and Teaching Policy2015 [INSERT HYPERLINK]. 

  **Note:** See Part 3 of the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015 [INSERT HYPERLINK]. |
Masters degree by coursework means a program of advanced learning and professional training that builds on undergraduate study, achieves at least the learning outcomes specified for level 9 of the AQF and normally leads to a capstone experience. The University offers three types of Masters degree by coursework:

- **Advanced Learning Masters**: a minimum one year full-time advanced study course that builds on prior undergraduate study in the same discipline or in a relevant discipline;
- **Professional Masters degree**: a Masters degree that prepares the student for accreditation or recognition in a specific profession, building either on prior undergraduate study in the discipline or on undergraduate study in another appropriate discipline.
- **Masters Degree (Extended)**: a Professional Masters Degree of extended duration, typically three or four years full-time. The degree of Doctor of Dental Medicine, Juris Doctor, Doctor of Medicine and Doctor of Veterinary Medicine are referred to in the AQF as ‘Masters Degree (Extended)’.

**Minor** means a defined set sequence of units of study taken by a student which develops coherent knowledge and skill expertise in a field of study and which meets the relevant requirements specified in the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015.

**Note:** See Part 3 of the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015.

**Misadventure** means an unforeseen accident, mishap or personal misfortune.

**Moderation** means the process by which the validity and reliability of assessment marks are verified.

**Non-award student** means a person who is not admitted to an award course at the University, and who is not an exchange student or study abroad student, but is enrolled in a unit of study at the University.

**Non-specific credit** means a ‘block credit’ for a specified number of credit points at a particular level. These credit points may be in a particular subject area but are not linked to a specific unit of study.

**NZQF or New Zealand Qualifications framework** means the New Zealand national framework for recognition and endorsement of education qualifications.

**Open learning environment** means a common shared pool of units of study which are:

- of zero, two or six credit points value;
- approved by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies; and
- available to all [coursework? Undergraduate coursework?] students according to the degree award course resolutions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>applicable to the award course in which they are enrolled.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postgraduate award course</td>
<td>means an award course leading to the award of a Graduate Certificate, Graduate Diploma, Masters degree or a Doctorate. Normally, a postgraduate award course requires the prior completion of a relevant undergraduate degree or diploma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principal examiner</td>
<td>means the designated person responsible for the assignment of final marks and grades in a unit of study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional/Specialist Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>Means a degree that develops Graduate Qualities alongside disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific profession or career specialisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program</td>
<td>each award course is composed of various units of study. The way the units are put together for an award course is referred to as a student’s ‘program’. means a combination of units of study that develops expertise in a multi-disciplinary domain or a specialist or professional field and includes at least one recognised major.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note:</td>
<td>See Part 3 of the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program co-ordinator</td>
<td>means the designated person responsible for assessment at the program, major or degree level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>progression profile</td>
<td>means the record of all relevant documentation relating to a student’s academic progression, including correspondence and interview records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>progression rate</td>
<td>means the rate at which a student accrues credit in their award course over a defined period, measured as a credit point progression rate and a unit of study progression rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>progression requirements</td>
<td>means the requirements for academic progression set out in the faculty resolutions, award course resolutions and this Policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recent school leaver</td>
<td>means a person who completed the HSC or equivalent in the year immediately prior to admission or proposed admission to an award course, or who completed their HSC in the year immediately prior to that if the person has not undertaken any tertiary study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semester average mark</td>
<td>means the average mark obtained by a student for all units of study attempted in a semester, weighted according to credit point value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simple extension</td>
<td>means an informal arrangement between a student and a unit of study co-ordinator to permit late submission of work, as provided in clause 66A of this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>special admission</td>
<td>means a flexible entry scheme or an educational access scheme approved by the Academic Board and listed in Part 7 of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
program this policy.

Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degree means a program of study at Bachelor level which develops disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific professional or career specialisation and broader skills the Graduate Qualities.

Note: See clause 83C.

specific credit means the recognition of previously completed studies as directly equivalent to specific units of study.

Staying on Track information session means an information session held intensively, for a full week in each semester, to assist students who are failing to meet academic progression requirements.

Staying on Track survey means a self-reflective survey designed to assist students to identify why they are having difficulties meeting academic progression requirements.

stream a version of a degree that can be conceptualised as a separate degree for admission purposes but that is linked to a set of other streams of the degree through shared nomenclature, shared course components and shared rules. In degree nomenclature, streams may be indicated in parentheses following the name of the main degree.

means a defined program of study within an award course, which requires the completion of a program of study specified by the course resolutions for the particular stream, in addition to the core program specified by the course resolutions.

student means a person who is currently admitted to candidature in an award course of the University and, where relevant, an exchange student or non-award student.

study abroad student means a person who is:
- not an Australian citizen;
- not admitted to an award course at the University;
- admitted to a formally approved program of study at an overseas institution with which the University does not have an exchange agreement; and
- enrolled in units of study at the University.

Summer School means the intensive teaching period (split into three separate sessions) between December and January of each year, in which students may elect to undertake one or more units of study.

TAFE or Technical and Further Education college means a college operated by TAFE NSW.
testamur means a certificate or award provided to a graduate, usually at a graduation ceremony.

TOEFL or Test of English as a Foreign Language means the test administered by Educational Testing Service or its licensees.

UAC or Universities Admission Centre means the Universities Admissions Centre (NSW & ACT) Pty Limited, which processes applications for admission to most undergraduate courses at the University of Sydney and other participating institutions.

university means a body that is established as a university or recognised as a university, by or under a law of the Commonwealth or a State or Territory, and meets nationally agreed criteria for a university.

University means the University of Sydney, established under the University of Sydney Act 1989.

undergraduate award course means a coursework award course leading to the award of an Associate Diploma, Diploma, Advanced Diploma or Bachelor degree.

undergraduate degree means an undergraduate award course at Bachelor level that achieves at a minimum the learning outcomes specified for level 7 of the AQF. The University offers two types of Bachelor degrees:

- **Liberal Studies Degrees**: degrees that provide students with a broad multi-disciplinary education that develop disciplinary expertise and Graduate Qualities.
- **Professional/Specialist Degrees**: Degrees that develop Graduate Qualities alongside disciplinary or professional expertise for a specific profession or career specialisation.

unit of study means the smallest stand-alone component of an award course that is recordable on a student's transcript. Units of study have an integer credit point value, normally 6 credit points (except for some in the open learning environment) where otherwise approved by the Academic Board.

waiver means an exemption given by a faculty to a student from the requirement to complete a prescribed unit of study.

Note: See also Part 3 of the Learning and Teaching Policy 2015.
Winter School means the intensive teaching period in July of each year, in which students may elect to undertake one or more units of study.

working day means a day on which the University is usually open for business. This does not include any Saturday, Sunday, public holiday or any day designated as part of the University’s Christmas shutdown period.

(2) A heading to a Part or Schedule is a provision of this policy. Other headings are not provisions of this policy, but the number of a section or subsection is a provision of this policy even if it is in a heading.

(3) A note, marginal note, footnote or endnote is not a provision of this policy.

PART 2 ADMISSION TO AWARD COURSES

6 Quotas

On the recommendation of the Dean, the Registrar may determine:

(a) the maximum number of applicants who may be admitted to a specified award course in a specified academic year;

(b) the maximum number of applicants who may be admitted to a specified award course under a special admission program in a specified academic year;

(c) the maximum number of students who may enrol in a specified unit of study in a specified academic year; and

(d) the maximum number of continuing students who may enrol in a specified unit of study in a specified academic year.

7 Admission by Dean

Note 7: The Dean is responsible for the admission of candidates to courses within their faculty. See clause 2.5 of the Coursework Rule and Part 8 of this Policy.

(1) Subject to and in accordance with the Coursework Rule and this Policy, the Dean of a faculty may permit any person to enrol as a non-award student in a specified unit of study within that Faculty.

(2) Subject to anti-discrimination legislation, the Dean may decline to admit an applicant, or to offer to admit an applicant, to an award course if, in the opinion of the Dean, appropriate and satisfactory provision cannot be made for the applicant.

8 General requirements

A person is eligible for admission to an award course only if:
(a) the person meets the requirements in the Coursework Rule, this Policy and the relevant award course resolutions for admission to the award course;
(b) the person has not made a material misrepresentation in applying for admission to the award course; and
(c) if the person is an international applicant who will study in Australia, the person holds a visa enabling them to undertake courses of study in Australia.

9 Admission and candidature

(1) The admissions process commences when an applicant accepts the University’s offer of admission to an award course.

(2) A person is admitted to candidature on the date on which he or she completes the admissions process.
   (a) Domestic students are admitted to candidature on the date of their first enrolment.
   (b) International students are admitted to candidature on the date on which they:
       (i) complete their acceptance online, or complete their acceptance of offer form;
       (ii) pay the applicable fees to the University; and
       (iii) enrol for the first time.

(3) Enrolment may be deferred in accordance with clause 38 of this Policy.

(4) Candidature ceases when an award is conferred or candidature is otherwise terminated by the University.

PART 3 ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION TO UNDERGRADUATE COURSES

10 Domestic applicants – secondary studies

(1) To be eligible for admission to candidature in an undergraduate award course on the basis of secondary studies, a domestic applicant must have successfully completed:
   (a) a NSW Higher School Certificate examination, leading to the calculation of an ATAR, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the NSW Vice-Chancellor’s Conference from time to time;
   (b) a State or Territory leaving examination considered by the Academic Board to be equivalent to the HSC; or
   (c) any other school leaving examination, provided that the program of study and the standard of the examination are considered by the Academic Board to be equivalent to the program and the standard required of candidates for the HSC.
(2) The University will convert interstate or overseas school-leaving results in the manner determined by the Academic Board from time to time.

Note 8: The University will convert interstate and New Zealand results in accordance with the Interstate Transfer Index endorsed by the New South Wales Vice-Chancellors’ Conference.

(3) The University will use the better of either the most recent ATAR or any other accepted secondary qualification.

(4) If, following any offer of admission, the results of an applicant are found to be incorrect, the University:

(a) if the applicant achieved a higher ATAR or equivalent than originally awarded, will endeavour to admit the applicant to the award course to which the correct ATAR or equivalent would have earned admission;

(b) if the applicant achieved a lower ATAR or equivalent than originally awarded:

(i) reserves its right to withdraw its offer of admission at any time within the first four weeks of the student’s first semester; and

(ii) will endeavour to admit the applicant to a course for which the applicant would have qualified with the lower ATAR.

(5) No offer of admission to an award course will be made or withdrawn pursuant to subclause (4) without the approval of the Dean of the relevant faculty, who will report the offer or withdrawal to the Registrar as soon as possible thereafter.

Note 9: In giving his or her approval for subclause (5), the Dean will take into account whether it is too late in the year for the student to commence studies in a new course effectively.

11 Domestic applicants – tertiary studies

(1) To be eligible for admission to candidature in an undergraduate award course on the basis of tertiary studies, a domestic applicant must have successfully completed the equivalent of at least one full-time year of tertiary study at Bachelor level or higher.

Note 10: For subclause (1), one full-time year of tertiary study means 48 credit points of study at the University, or the part-time equivalent.

(2) Subject to the award course resolutions, consideration will be given to the applicant’s record of both tertiary and secondary studies, with the better of either record being used to determine their eligibility for admission.

(3) Where the applicant does not have recognised secondary qualifications, only the tertiary record will be considered.

(4) Where the applicant has made more than one attempt at tertiary study, only the best attempt at tertiary study will be considered.

(5) Subject to clause 81, an applicant who:

(a) has been excluded from a diploma or degree program for failure to meet progression requirements; and
(b) following the exclusion, passes at least one semester of study at degree level; or
(c) provides to the Dean a satisfactory explanation of the circumstances for the exclusion;

is eligible for admission on the basis of tertiary studies.

(6) Subject to clause 81, an applicant who:

(a) has a record of failure and exclusion from tertiary study; and
(b) believes that his or her studies have been affected by circumstances beyond his or her control;

may apply for special consideration for admission by the relevant faculty.

Note 11: For information on the Special Consideration for Admission Scheme see clause 34.

Note 12: Clause 81 deals with applications for readmission to a course following exclusion from the same course due to failure to meet progression requirements.

12 Domestic applicants – other qualifications

To be eligible for admission to candidature in an undergraduate award course on the basis of other qualifications, a domestic applicant must have successfully completed:

(a) a preparation program approved by the Academic Board in accordance with clause 15 that was commenced by the applicant as an international student;
(b) the Diploma of Tertiary Preparation offered through the University’s Centre for Continuing Education provided that applicants under the age of 21 at the date of commencement of the course to which they seek admission also have an HSC or equivalent; or
(c) an AQF diploma that provides appropriate academic preparation approved by the faculty provided that applicants under the age of 21 at the date of commencement of the course to which they seek admission also have an HSC or equivalent;
(d) another preparation program determined by the Academic Board to have a program of study and standard required of applicants equivalent to the HSC; or
(e) some other form of prior learning approved by the Academic Board.

12A Domestic applicants – transitional provisions

(1) A domestic applicant who commenced an AQF diploma between 1 January and 25 March 2015 is eligible for admission to candidature in an award course on the basis of that diploma.

(2) Other applicants with an AQF diploma completed during 2015 are eligible for admission to candidature in an award course on the basis of that diploma only with approval of the Chair of the Admissions Committee, the Chair of the Academic Board and the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar).
13 **International applicants**

(1) To be eligible for admission to candidature in an undergraduate award course, an international applicant must have:

   (a) met one or more of the requirements for admission to an undergraduate award course in clauses 10-12 above; or

   (b) successfully completed a preparation program approved by the Academic Board in accordance with clause 15.

(2) Subject to approval by the Academic Board, international applicants may be admitted on the basis of forecast scores.

(3) International applicants, other than exchange students, will be considered for admission on a fee-paying basis only. Local student quotas will not apply.

14 **Domestic and international applicants – special entry requirements**

(1) Faculties may, with the approval of the Academic Board, impose special entry requirements.

(2) Domestic and international applicants for admission to an undergraduate award course must meet any special entry requirements approved by the Academic Board and prescribed in the award course resolutions.

15 **Approved preparation programs**

(1) The preparation programs approved by the Academic Board for international students are:

   (a) foundation programs offered by public higher education providers in Australia who are authorised to self-accredit their courses under the AQF;

   (b) foundation programs offered by public higher education providers in New Zealand who are authorised to self-accredit their courses under the New Zealand Qualifications Framework; and

   (c) the Advanced International Certificate of Education, University of Cambridge.

(2) The Academic Board may approve foundation and preparation programs offered by private higher education providers in Australia and New Zealand for international students, provided that:

   (a) the courses offered in Australia are accredited under the AQF at Certificate IV level or above; and

   (b) the courses offered in New Zealand are accredited under the NZQF at Certificate IV level or above.

(3) The Academic Board may approve foundation and preparation programs offered by overseas higher education providers for international students on a case-by-case basis.
The preparation program approved by the Academic Board for domestic students is the Diploma of Tertiary Preparation offered through the University’s Centre for Continuing Education (see also clause 12(b) above).

Unless otherwise specified in a particular course resolution, admission standards for foundation and preparation programs that are recognised for admission by the Academic Board will be set in accordance with the relevant UAC schedule.
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16 Graduate Certificate

To be eligible for admission to a Graduate Certificate, an applicant must:

(a) have a Bachelor degree or higher award from the University in a relevant discipline, as determined by the Dean; or

(b) have a Bachelor degree or higher award from another university or institution that the Dean determines to be equivalent to a degree or award mentioned in paragraph (a); and

(c) meet other requirements specified in the faculty resolutions and relevant award course resolutions.

17 Graduate Diploma

To be eligible for admission to a Graduate Diploma, an applicant must:

(a) have a Bachelor degree or higher award from the University in a relevant discipline, as determined by the Dean;

(b) have a Graduate Certificate from the University in a relevant discipline, as determined by the Dean;

(c) have a Bachelor degree or higher award from another university or institution that the Dean determines to be equivalent to a degree, award or certificate mentioned paragraph (a) or (b); or

(d) if the applicant does not satisfy paragraphs (a)-(c), have completed the requirements for an award course leading to:

(i) an embedded graduate certificate in the appropriate discipline at the University, as determined by the Dean; or

(ii) a program at another tertiary institution that the Dean determines to be the equivalent of such a course;

without graduating from the course; and

(e) meet other requirements specified in the faculty resolutions and relevant award course resolutions.

18 Masters Degree (Advanced Learning Masters degree)
To be eligible for admission to an Advanced Learning Masters degree, an applicant must:

(a) have a Masters degree, a Graduate Diploma, a Bachelor degree (Honours), or a Bachelor degree (Pass) with a credit average, from the University in a relevant discipline, as determined by the Dean;

(b) have a degree, diploma or award from another university or institution that the Dean determines to be equivalent to a degree or diploma mentioned in paragraph (a); or

(c) if the applicant does not satisfy paragraphs (a) or (b), have completed:
   (i) the requirements for an award course leading to an embedded Graduate Diploma or Graduate Certificate in the appropriate discipline at the University of Sydney, as determined by the Dean; or
   (ii) a program at another tertiary education institution, being a program that the Dean determines to be the equivalent of an embedded course mentioned in subparagraph (i);

without graduating from the course; and

(d) meet other requirements specified in the faculty resolutions and relevant award course resolutions.

19 Master’s degree (Professional Masters degree)

To be eligible for admission to a Professional Masters degree, an applicant must:

(a) have a Masters degree, a Bachelor degree, or a Graduate Diploma from the University in a relevant discipline, as determined by the Dean;

(b) have a degree from another university or institution that the Dean determines to be equivalent to a degree or award mentioned in paragraph (a); or

(c) if the applicant does not satisfy paragraph (a) or (b), have completed:
   (i) the requirements for an award course leading to an embedded Graduate Diploma or Graduate Certificate in the appropriate discipline at the University of Sydney, as determined by the Dean; or
   (ii) a program at another tertiary education institution that the Dean determines to be the equivalent of an embedded course mentioned in subparagraph (i);

without graduating from the course; and

(d) meet other requirements specified in the faculty resolutions and relevant award course resolutions.

Note 13: The Masters Degree (Extended) is a form of Professional Masters degree – see the definition of Masters degree by coursework.
20 Exemption from eligibility requirements in exceptional circumstances

(1) Subject to subclause (2) below, a Dean may, in writing, grant an exemption from the eligibility requirements in clauses 16, 17 and 19 for an applicant for admission to:

(a) a Graduate Certificate;
(b) a Graduate Diploma; or
(c) a Professional Masters degree.

(2) A Dean may only grant an exemption from the eligibility requirements in clauses 16, 17 and 19 if satisfied that the applicant:

(a) has qualifications and experience equivalent to those eligibility requirements; and

(b) has provided evidence of experience and achievement sufficient to demonstrate mastery of the subject matter and generic graduate attributes equivalent to those gained by applicants who meet the eligibility requirements.

Note 14: For paragraph (2)(b), evidence of experience and achievement could be provided through publications or authorship of official documents.

PART 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE REQUIREMENTS – UNDERGRADUATE COURSES

21 All applicants whose first language is not English

(1) All applicants whose first language is not English must meet the University’s English language requirements to be eligible for admission to an undergraduate award course.

(2) Subject to this Part, any applicant for admission to an undergraduate award course whose first language is not English, must have:

(a) an Australian educational qualification; or
(b) a record of satisfactory achievement in secondary or tertiary studies:
   (i) in an English speaking country; or
   (ii) in which the language of instruction was English; or
(c) satisfactorily completed an appropriate course at the University’s Centre for English Teaching; or
(d) within two years of the date on which the applicant will commence the course, achieved:
   (i) an IELTS overall band score of 6.5, with at least 6.0 in each band;
   (ii) a paper based TOEFL score of 550 plus a Test of Written English (TWE) score of 4.5;
(iii) an internet based TOEFL score of 79 plus a score of 23 for Writing and 22 for Reading, Speaking and Listening;
(iv) a Pearson Test of English (Academic) (PTE) score of 58;
(v) a Cambridge English: Advanced (CAE) score of 58; or
(e) within the past two years, achieved an International Baccalaureate diploma having, as part of the studies for that diploma, successfully completed:
   (i) English A1 at Higher or Standard Level, or A: Literature;
   (ii) English A2 at Higher or Standard Level, or A: Language and Literature;
   (iii) English B at Higher Level with Grade 4 or more; or
   (iv) English B at Standard Level with Grade 5 or more.

(3) An applicant for admission to an undergraduate award course in a faculty that has set English language requirements above the minimum requirements set out in paragraph (2) must meet the faculty’s requirements as approved by the Academic Board.

Note 15: These faculty requirements must be approved by the Academic Board in accordance with the Delegations of Authority – Academic Functions.

(4) Applicants seeking admission to an undergraduate award course on the basis of satisfactory achievement in secondary or tertiary studies in accordance with paragraph (2)(b) must have completed either:
   (a) senior secondary study; or
   (b) at least one year of full-time university study;
in which the language of instruction was English.

(5) Where an applicant has provided insufficient evidence of current English competency relevant to a particular award course, a faculty may require the applicant to undergo further assessment of either or both of written or spoken English.

(6) A faculty that requires an applicant to undergo a further assessment for the purposes of subclause (5) above will report the circumstances and the form of the assessment to the Registrar as soon as possible thereafter.

(7) The Dean of the Sydney College of the Arts may, on application and at his or her discretion, admit to the Bachelor of Visual Arts an applicant who has achieved an IELTS overall band score of 6.0.

(8) The Dean of the Sydney Conservatorium of Music may, on application and at his or her discretion, admit to the Diploma of Music an applicant who has achieved an IELTS overall band score of 6.0.

(9) If the Academic Board has prescribed qualifications accepted as proof of English language proficiency for applicants who have undertaken study in specified countries, an applicant who holds the prescribed qualifications will be considered by the Faculty to have met the minimum English language requirements for all undergraduate courses.

Note 16: A conversion table for English Language Skills Tests is available on the Academic Board standards website.
22 International applicants whose first language is not English

Where an international applicant:

(a) is required by the Commonwealth government to provide IELTS or TOEFL results in order to obtain a student visa; and

(b) does not have a record of satisfactory achievement in secondary or tertiary studies in an English speaking country;

the University will use the IELTS or TOEFL results as the primary tool for assessing whether the applicant has satisfied English language requirements.
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23 All applicants whose first language is not English

(1) All applicants whose first language is not English must meet the University’s English language requirements to be eligible for admission to a postgraduate award course.

(2) Subject to this Part, any applicant for admission to a postgraduate award course whose first language is not English, must have:

(a) in the five years prior to their application, successfully completed tertiary studies in which the language of the institution, instruction, examination and assessment was English; or

(b) in the two years prior to their application, successfully completed an appropriate course at the University’s Centre for English Teaching, with results at a standard required for the award course that the applicant is applying for; or

(c) in the two years prior to their application, achieved:

(i) an IELTS overall band score of 6.5 with a minimum of 6.0 in each band; or
(ii) a paper based TOEFL score of 577 plus a Test of Written English (TWE) score of 4.5; or
(iii) an internet based TOEFL (IBT) score of 90 plus a minimum score of 23 for Writing and 22 for Reading, Speaking and Listening; or
(iv) a Pearson Test of English (Academic) (PTE) score of 61; or
(v) a Cambridge English: Advanced (CAE) score of 58.

(3) An applicant for admission to a postgraduate award course in a faculty that has set English language requirements above the minimum requirements set out in paragraph (2) must meet the faculty’s requirements as approved by the Academic Board.
24 Exemption from English language requirements in certain circumstances

(1) The Dean may, in writing, grant an exemption from the English language requirements for admission to a postgraduate course if:

(a) the applicant has an IELTS score and:
   (i) the overall or average band score is no more than 0.5 below the overall or average band score otherwise required by this Policy; and
   (ii) any individual band score is no more than 1.0 below the individual band score otherwise required by this Policy; or

(b) the applicant has a score on another test permitted by this Policy and the applicant’s score was no more than a corresponding amount below the score otherwise required by this Policy; and

(c) the Dean is satisfied that the applicant has enough competence in written and spoken English to complete the course successfully.

(2) The Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board may, in exceptional circumstances, modify the limits prescribed in subparagraph (1)(a) or (b), as they apply in a particular case.

(3) In considering whether an applicant has enough competence in written and spoken English to complete the course successfully, the Dean:

(a) must take into account any advice of the Head of Department; and

(b) may consider any other relevant matter, including:
   (i) the applicant's ability to communicate in an academic environment;
   (ii) whether the applicant has been known to the faculty for at least two years;
   (iii) any appropriate work experience that the applicant has had in an English language environment; and
   (iv) any oral discussions between faculty members and the applicant.

(4) The Dean must record in writing on the student file any approval to waive English language requirements, including:

(a) the proof of proficiency in English provided by the applicant; and

(b) the reasons, in accordance with this Policy, that the Dean approved the waiver.
PART 7   SPECIAL ADMISSION PROGRAMS

25 Application for a special admission program

(1) While eligibility for admission to the University is based on academic merit, the University recognises that, for many reasons, some domestic applicants are unable to demonstrate their full potential for success at tertiary level study through the normal qualifying processes. The University has established flexible entry schemes and educational access schemes to assist these domestic applicants to gain admission to undergraduate courses.

(2) Special admission programs may be faculty or course specific and must be approved by the Academic Board. All approved special admission programs must be set out in the relevant faculty resolutions or award course resolutions.

(3) Domestic applicants may apply for admission under more than one flexible entry scheme and educational access scheme, provided that their ATAR or equivalent lies within the approved eligibility band for each scheme. Except for Conditional Early Offers Schemes, the Cadigal Program and the Dux Entry Scheme, no such applicant will be admitted to a course if his or her ATAR or equivalent is more than five rank points below the relevant cut-off for the course. For the Dux Entry Scheme, no applicant will be admitted to a course if his or her ATAR is below the Minimum Eligibility Score for that course.

(4) Despite anything in this Part, flexible entry schemes and educational access schemes are subject to any quota set in accordance with clause 6 of this Policy.

26 Flexible entry schemes

(1) The Academic Board may by resolution, on the recommendation of a faculty, establish or amend a flexible entry scheme for an undergraduate award course.

(2) A flexible entry scheme must set a flexible entry band for ATARs, and otherwise be consistent with this clause.

(3) Flexible entry schemes for specified courses are available to domestic applicants who:

(a) are eligible Australian recent school leavers, including applicants who hold an International Baccalaureate;

(b) have an ATAR or ATAR equivalent that lies below the ATAR cut-off for that course; and

(c) do not have a tertiary record.

(4) Flexible entry schemes comprise two components:

(a) a flexible entry ATAR band, lying between the ATAR cut-off and a lower limit that is not more than 5 rank points below the ATAR cut-off; and

(b) a flexible entry criterion or criteria, selected from the list approved by the Academic Board in clause 26(5), that allows admission of eligible applicants whose ATAR lies within the flexible entry band.

(5) The Academic Board approved flexible entry criteria are:
(a) satisfactory performance in the HSC, or HSC equivalent, in subjects relevant to the course;
(b) satisfactory performance in extra-curricular academic activities relevant to the course;

Note 17: For paragraph (5)(b), extra-curricular activities might, for example, include Science Olympiads

(c) aptitude for the award course, demonstrated by:
   (i) relevant work or other experience;
   (ii) submission of a portfolio; or
   (iii) submission of a statement of interest in the course.

Note 18: For paragraph (5)(c), faculties may use written submissions, interviews or other methods to obtain information about aptitude

(6) A flexible entry scheme in force at the commencement of this Policy continues in force. It may be terminated by resolution of the Academic Board, but must not be amended if the scheme, as amended, would be inconsistent with this clause.

26A Dux Entry Scheme

(1) The University recognises that being named dux of a school shows leadership and is a profound academic achievement in itself. In recognition of this, a school dux may be admitted to a course with an ATAR below that required for normal admission to that course.

(2) Dux entry for specified courses is available to domestic and international applicants who:
   (a) are eligible current school leavers attending a school listed in S4.9, including applicants who hold an International Baccalaureate;
   (b) are nominated by their school principal or nominee as dux of the school; and
   (c) have an ATAR or ATAR equivalent that lies below the previous year’s ATAR cut-off for that course.

(3) The Dux Entry Scheme is comprised of two components:
   (a) nomination of the applicant as dux by the school principal or nominee; and
   (b) a guaranteed entry ATAR or equivalent, lying between the previous year’s ATAR cut-off and a lower limit that is not less than the Minimum Entry Score of that course.

27 Broadway Scheme

(1) The purpose of the Broadway Scheme is to help domestic applicants who have experienced educational disadvantage to gain admission to undergraduate award courses.
(2) Domestic applicants who are eligible for admission under the Broadway Scheme are permitted to compete for admission with an ATAR or equivalent of up to five rank points below the ATAR cut-off for the award course, or equivalent.

(3) The Broadway Scheme is available to domestic applicants who:

(a) have successfully completed Year 12 or equivalent interstate or overseas secondary education (including at a high school or a technical and further education college, or an equivalent education institution); and

(b) have suffered:

(i) long-term educational disadvantage; or

(ii) severe disadvantage during the final two years of their secondary education.

(4) The Broadway Scheme is not available to applicants who have a record of tertiary study.

(5) For the purposes of determining whether an applicant has suffered educational disadvantage, the Dean of the relevant faculty may consider:

(a) whether the home environment or conditions for study for the applicant were adverse;

(b) any chronic illness, disability or personal trauma, the applicant suffered;

(c) whether the applicant’s schooling or family life has been disrupted;

(d) whether the applicant has English language difficulties;

(e) whether the applicant’s family responsibilities are or were excessive;

(f) any financial hardship affecting the applicant;

(g) whether the applicant was in a remote or isolated location;

(h) whether the applicant has suffered physical or psychological abuse.

28 Cadigal Program

(1) The purpose of the Cadigal Program is to address the educational disadvantage experienced by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, by facilitating and supporting their participation in University courses. It comprises:

(a) provision of preparatory studies for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islanders who want to undertake degree courses at the University;

(b) provision for reduced academic eligibility requirements for admission to courses for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander applicants;

(c) provision for a reduced first year load for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander students; and

(d) a continuing support program for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students.

(2) The Cadigal Program involves a commitment by the University that up to 5% of student places will be available to Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander applicants.

(3) The Cadigal Program is available to applicants of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent.
(4) An applicant will be taken to be of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent only if he or she complies with the Confirmation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Identity Policy 2015.

(5) Selection of applicants for admission under the Cadigal Program will be on the basis of application and academic assessment.

(6) The Dean of a faculty may admit an applicant to an undergraduate award course under the Cadigal Program only if:

(a) where the applicant will be under 21 years old on 31 March in the academic year after the year in which the application is made:
   (i) the applicant has an ATAR of equal to or higher than the rank determined jointly for the award course by the faculty and the administrator of the Cadigal Program; or
   (ii) the applicant has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Dean his or her capacity to succeed in coursework at a university level;

(b) where the applicant will be over 21 years old on 31 March in the academic year after the year in which the application is made:
   (i) the applicant has successfully completed a tertiary education preparation course that the Dean determines to be relevant to the course;
   (ii) the applicant has successfully completed, or has partly completed, an accredited course at diploma level or above; or
   (iii) the applicant has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Dean his or her capacity to succeed in coursework at a university level.

(7) A Dean may, for a maximum period of one year, place requirements and restrictions on the enrolment of a student who is offered admission to an undergraduate award course under the Cadigal Program, including:

(a) requiring a student to complete a unit or units of study within a specified time;

(b) prohibiting enrolment by the student in a unit or units of study;

(c) restricting the maximum unit of study load in which a student can enrol.

Note 19: For subparagraph 6(a)(i): the minimum ATAR will be lower than that required for mainstream entry.

29 Conditional Early Offers Schemes

(1) The purpose of a Conditional Early Offers Scheme is to enable the University to identify, prior to completion of the HSC or equivalent, domestic students with academic promise who have suffered educational disadvantage and would benefit from additional support and early engagement with the University.

(2) The Dean of a faculty may, under a Conditional Early Offers Scheme, make a prospective domestic student a conditional offer of admission to an undergraduate award course at the end of Year 10, or during year 11 or 12.

(3) The Dean of a faculty may admit a domestic applicant to candidature in an undergraduate award course under the Conditional Early Offers Scheme only if:
(a) the applicant has an ATAR of equal to or higher than the rank determined jointly for the award course by the faculty and the administrator of the Conditional Early Offers Scheme; and
(b) the student maintains the level of academic performance demonstrated in accordance with subclause (5) below.

(4) For the purposes of determining whether an applicant has suffered educational disadvantage, the Dean of the relevant faculty may consider:
(a) whether the home environment or conditions for study for the applicant were adverse;
(b) any chronic illness, disability or personal trauma, the applicant suffered;
(c) whether the applicant’s schooling or family life has been disrupted;
(d) whether the applicant has English language difficulties;
(e) whether the applicant’s family responsibilities are or were excessive;
(f) any financial hardship affecting the applicant;
(g) whether the applicant was in a remote or isolated location;
(h) whether the applicant has suffered physical or psychological abuse.

(5) For the purposes of determining whether an applicant has demonstrated early academic promise, the Dean may, with the approval of the Academic Board, consider:
(a) evidence provided by the Principal of the applicant’s school;
(b) performance in the Record of School Achievement;
(c) performance in a test approved by the Academic Board;
(d) other measures of promise approved by the Academic Board, including an interview or portfolio.

Note 20: For subclause 3(a): the minimum ATAR will be lower than that required for mainstream entry.

30 Principal’s Recommendation Conditional Offer Scheme (E12)

(1) The purpose of the Principal’s Recommendation Conditional Offer Scheme is to enable the University to identify, prior to completion of the HSC or equivalent, domestic students from low socio-economic backgrounds who are motivated and demonstrate potential to successfully undertake studies at the University.

(2) The Dean of a faculty may, under the Principal’s Recommendation Conditional Offer Scheme, make a prospective domestic student a conditional offer of admission to an undergraduate award course before the end of Year 12.

(3) Domestic applicants are eligible for the Principal’s Recommendation Conditional Offer Scheme if:
(a) they have a written recommendation from their Principal; and
(b) they are completing their HSC in the year immediately prior to the year in which admission to an undergraduate award course at the University is sought; and
(c) they attend a school that is identified by the State or Commonwealth
government as disadvantaged: or
(d) they are from a low socio-economic status background, as determined by
the Academic Board from time to time.

(4) For their application for admission under the Principal’s Recommendation
Conditional Offer Scheme to be considered, applicants must complete the
application form, including a statement of motivation demonstrating:
(a) their interest in and motivation for applying for one of the undergraduate
award courses offered by the University; and
(b) their reasons for applying to the University.

(5) Applicants may be required to attend an interview.

(6) Applicants will be assessed against the following criteria:
(a) demonstrated interest in and motivation for the course of study;
(b) ability to set and meet long term goals;
(c) undertaking any prerequisite subjects;
(d) likelihood of meeting the required ATAR cut off score and succeeding in their
studies at the University; and
(e) demonstrated leadership or citizenship skills.

(7) An assessment panel with at least two representatives from each participating
faculty will evaluate all applications against the eligibility and selection criteria, and
make a recommendation to the relevant Dean.

(8) On receipt of a recommendation from the assessment panel, the Dean may
authorise a conditional offer of admission to an award course to be made to an
applicant.

(9) The Dean may admit an applicant to an undergraduate award course under the
Principal’s Recommendation Conditional Offer Scheme only if the applicant has an
ATAR of equal to or higher than the rank specified by the faculty for admission to
the award course under the Scheme.

(10) Applicants who are admitted under the Principal’s Recommendation Conditional
Offer Scheme will receive academic and other support.

Note 21: For subclause (9): the minimum ATAR will be lower than that required for mainstream
entry.

31 Mature Age Applicants Scheme

(1) The purpose of the Mature Age Applicants Scheme is to help domestic applicants
who are over 21 years of age, and who would not normally meet the academic
eligibility requirements, to gain admission to undergraduate courses.

(2) A faculty may determine that the Mature Age Applicants Scheme does not apply to
a specified undergraduate course.

(3) Domestic applicants who are eligible for admission under the Mature Age
Applicants Scheme are permitted to compete for admission, provided that they
meet the minimum level of academic merit set by the faculty for the relevant
undergraduate award course.
(4) Applicants are eligible for admission under the Mature Age Applicants Scheme if:

(a) they are at least 21 years old on 1 March of the year of admission to the University;

(b) they do not have an ATAR or equivalent that would enable them to compete for mainstream admission;

(c) they have not completed at least one year of study (or part-time equivalent) in a Bachelor degree or higher level qualification at a recognised tertiary institution; and

(d) they have, within the previous two years, completed one of the following approved preparation courses:
   (i) a preparation course offered by the University's Centre for Continuing Education;
   (ii) the Tertiary Preparation Certificate Course offered at a NSW TAFE college;
   (iii) an HSC that does not lead to an ATAR;
   (iv) the Open Foundation Course or NEWSTEP Program offered by the University of Newcastle;
   (v) the University Preparation Program offered by the University of New South Wales; or

(e) for admission to the Bachelor of Nursing, they have completed enrolled nursing qualifications; or

(f) they have completed an AQF Diploma or Advanced Diploma at a recognised tertiary institution that satisfied the University’s requirements for admission, or at least one year of study (or part-time equivalent) in an approved Associate Diploma or Diploma at a recognised tertiary institution that satisfied the University’s requirements for admission.

(5) Faculties will determine the minimum level of academic merit required for admission to an undergraduate course under the Mature Age Applicants Scheme.

(6) Applicants may be required to:

(a) attend an interview;

(b) provide a work portfolio; or

(c) successfully complete a practical examination.

(7) When deciding whether to make an offer of admission under the Mature Age Applicants Scheme, the Dean may take into account:

(a) the applicant’s personal qualities;

(b) whether the applicant is likely to complete the course successfully;

(c) the applicant’s work experience;

(d) any relevant TAFE or AQF courses successfully completed by the applicant.

(8) Levels of assumed knowledge specified for each award course or equivalent are normally considered as prerequisites for applicants for admission through the Mature Age Applicants Scheme.
32 Elite Athletes or Performers Scheme

(1) The training that elite athletes and performers have to undertake, and their competitive and performance commitments, can detrimentally affect their secondary school studies. The purpose of the Elite Athletes and Performers Scheme is to address that detriment.

(2) Domestic applicants who are eligible for admission under the Elite Athletes and Performers Scheme are permitted to compete for admission with an ATAR or equivalent of up to five rank points below the ATAR cut-off for the award course, or equivalent.

(3) The Elite Athletes and Performers Scheme is available to domestic applicants who:

(a) have been assessed by the Elite Athletes Assessment Panel or the Elite Performers Assessment Panel, as relevant, as being elite; and

(b) can demonstrate that their sporting or performance commitments have impeded their HSC performance.

(4) For their application for admission under the Elite Athletes and Performers Scheme to be considered, applicants must complete and submit an application form prior to the last day of business in October of each year.

(5) The Elite Athletes Assessment Panel or the Elite Performers Assessment Panel, as relevant, will assess whether an applicant is an elite athlete or performer, normally prior to the publication of HSC results.

(6) The Elite Athletes Assessment Panel will set and follow standard criteria for determining whether an applicant is an elite athlete, and will seek advice about each applicant from referees and appropriate experts.

(7) The Elite Performers Assessment Panel will set and follow standard criteria for determining whether an applicant is an elite performer, and will seek advice about each applicant from referees and appropriate experts.

(8) The Elite Athletes and Performers Selection Committee will review assessments made by the Elite Athletes Assessment Panel and the Elite Performers Assessment Panel.

(9) Where the Elite Athletes and Performers Selection Committee endorses an assessment of an applicant, the Committee will forward the application to the relevant faculty for consideration.

33 Rural Students Entry Scheme into Pharmacy

(1) The purpose of the Rural Student Entry Scheme into Pharmacy is to redress the imbalance that exists in the proportion of pharmacy professionals within urban and rural settings.

(2) Domestic applicants who are eligible for admission under the Rural Student Entry Scheme into Pharmacy are permitted to compete for admission with an ATAR or equivalent of up to five rank points below the ATAR cut-off for the Bachelor of Pharmacy.

(3) The Rural Student Entry Scheme into Pharmacy is available to domestic applicants who:
(a) in the preceding two years, have successfully completed the HSC or equivalent interstate qualification (including at a high school or a technical and further education college, or an equivalent education institution);

(b) have completed at least the last four years of their secondary education at a rural school;

(c) have lived in a rural area for a significant period of time; and

(d) can demonstrate a commitment to a career in a rural health setting.

Note 22: For paragraph (3)(d): a commitment to a career in a rural health setting might be demonstrated by an exceptional record of involvement and achievement in community affairs at school or local community level in a rural area.

(4) For the purposes of subclause (3), ‘rural’ means the area encompassing the rural NSW Area Health Service regions, or the equivalent definition of ‘rural’ applicable to other States and Territories.

Note 23: For subclause (4): at the commencement date of this Policy, the NSW Rural Area Health Services were the Greater Southern, Greater Western, Hunter/New England and North Coast Area Health Services.

(5) The Rural Student Entry Scheme into Pharmacy is not available to applicants who have completed one year or more (or part-time equivalent) of an AQF Diploma, Advanced Diploma, Bachelor degree or higher qualification.

(6) For their application for admission under the Rural Student Entry Scheme into Pharmacy to be considered, applicants must:

(a) complete and submit an application form to the Student Centre by the due date (usually the last date for change of preference for main round offers); and

(b) attach supporting documentation, including:

   (i) a reference from their school Principal; and

   (ii) a reference from at least one other rural community leader.

34 Special Consideration for Admission Scheme

(1) The purpose of the Special Consideration for Admission Scheme is to help applicants who have experienced serious disadvantage during secondary study, or a previous attempt at tertiary study, to gain admission to undergraduate courses.

(2) Applicants who are eligible for admission under the Special Consideration for Admission Scheme are permitted to compete for admission with an ATAR or equivalent of up to five rank points below the relevant cut-off for the award course.

(3) The Special Consideration for Admission Scheme is available to applicants who:

(a) have successfully completed Year 12 or equivalent secondary education (including at a high school or a technical and further education college, or an equivalent educational institution); or

(b) have a record of previous tertiary study; and

(c) have suffered serious disadvantage during the course of those studies.
PART 8  ADMISSION DECISIONS AND OFFERS

35  Basis for admission decisions

(1)  Admission decisions must be made in accordance with the Coursework Rule and this policy.

(2)  Subject to this policy, when deciding whether to make an offer of admission to an award course to an applicant, the Dean must adopt the principle that offers are to be made on the basis of the applicants' academic merit.

(3)  For admission to undergraduate award courses, applicants' academic merit is to be measured principally by their secondary or tertiary results.

(4)  The University may make more than one round of offers to applicants for an award course. The ATAR cut off points may be different for different rounds of offers.

Note 24: See also clause 2.5 of the Coursework Rule and clause 7 of this Policy.

36  Conditional offers

(1)  An offer of admission to an award course may be made subject to specified conditions.

(2)  Examples of conditions that might be imposed include conditions relating to:
   (a)  health screening of the applicant;
   (b)  criminal record checks;
   (c)  child protection checks;
   (d)  verification of the applicant's academic record;
   (e)  visa requirements;
   (f)  English language requirements; and
   (g)  completion of prior study.

37  Accepting an offer

(1)  An offer of admission to an award course can be accepted only in the manner described in the offer.

(2)  An applicant is not considered to be admitted to an award course at the University until he or she has completed, to the satisfaction of the University, all requirements for enrolment in the course.

(3)  An applicant who has accepted an offer of admission to an undergraduate award course and, prior to commencing that course, wishes to transfer to a different award course, may apply for and be admitted to the new course, provided that:
   (a)  the applicant has met the minimum admission requirements for the new course at a standard equal to or higher than applicants who were offered admission to the course in the main round of offers made by the UAC;
(b) a place is available in the course;
(c) all available places are offered on the basis of merit; and
(d) the offer is made and accepted before the commencement of teaching in the new course.

PART 9 DEFERRAL

38 Deferred admission by commencing undergraduate applicants

(1) An applicant offered a place in an undergraduate award course may defer admission to that course, but only as provided in this Part.

(2) The University may permit an applicant to defer admission provided that the offer of admission has not lapsed or been withdrawn by the University due to the applicant's actions prior or subsequent to the offer being made.

(3) Subject to subclause (4) below, the maximum period of deferral is one year.

(4) The Dean of the relevant faculty may extend the period of deferral for an individual applicant to a maximum of two years.

(5) Applicants who are offered a place in an undergraduate award course at the Sydney Conservatorium of Music must undertake a further satisfactory audition prior to admission.

(6) The Dean of the relevant faculty may decline to allow deferral for a particular course.

PART 10 CHANGE OF RESIDENCY

39 International applicants and students changing from international to domestic status

(1) If an international undergraduate applicant changes from international to domestic status prior to enrolling in his or her course and:

   (a) his or her ATAR or equivalent is equal to or higher than the ATAR required for domestic applicants to be admitted to the same course; and

   (b) there are places available in the course;

the applicant will be transferred to a Commonwealth supported place.

(2) If an international undergraduate applicant changes from international to domestic status prior to enrolling in his or her course and either or both of the requirements in paragraphs 39(1)(a) and (b) are not met, the applicant's offer of admission will be cancelled and withdrawn.

(3) If an international undergraduate student changes from international to domestic status prior to the census date for his or her course and:

   (a) his or her ATAR or equivalent is equal to or higher than the ATAR required for domestic applicants to be admitted to the same course; and
(b) there are places available in the course;
the applicant will be transferred to a Commonwealth supported place.

(4) If an international undergraduate student changes from international to domestic status prior to the census date for his or her course and either or both of the requirements in paragraphs 39(3)(a) and (b) are not met, the applicant will be transferred to a domestic fee-paying place.

(5) If an international undergraduate student changes from international to domestic status after the census date for his or her course and:
(a) his or her ATAR or equivalent is equal to or higher than the ATAR required for domestic applicants to be admitted to the same course; and
(b) there are places available in the course;
the applicant will continue as an international fee paying student for that semester and transfer to a Commonwealth supported place in the subsequent semester.

(6) If an international undergraduate student changes from international to domestic status after the census date for his or her course and:
(a) his or her ATAR or equivalent is equal to or higher than the ATAR required for domestic applicants to be admitted to the same course; and
(b) there are places available in the course;
the applicant will continue as an international fee paying student for that semester and transfer to a domestic fee-paying place in the subsequent semester.

(7) Subject to this clause, if an international postgraduate applicant changes from international to domestic status prior to enrolling in his or her course, the applicant will be transferred to a domestic fee-paying place.

(8) If an international postgraduate student changes from international to domestic status before the census date for his or her course, the student will be transferred to a domestic fee-paying place.

(9) If an international postgraduate student changes from international to domestic status after the census date for his or her course, the student will continue as an international fee paying student for that semester and transfer to a domestic fee-paying place in the subsequent semester.

(10) International students who are transferred to a domestic fee-paying place are permitted to compete for any available Commonwealth supported places in subsequent semesters.

(11) International applicants for the Doctor of Medicine will not be transferred to a domestic fee-paying place in accordance with sub-clause (7).

**PART 11 Recognition of Prior Learning**

40 **Forms of recognition of prior learning**

(1) The University recognises that students commence courses with different levels, areas and forms or prior learning.

(2) The University may recognise prior learning by granting:

(a) credit, which may take the form of:

(i) specific credit;
(ii) non-specific credit;
(iii) reduced volume of learning for an award course; or

(b) a waiver of a requirement to undertake a compulsory or a pre-requisite unit of study.

41 Specific credit, non-specific credit and reduced volume of learning

(1) Specific credit is the recognition of previously completed studies as directly equivalent to specific units of study offered by the University.

(2) Subject to this Policy and the award course resolutions, specific credit may be granted for a unit of study where there is a substantial overlap of skills, knowledge and experience at a level deemed by the Associate Dean to be equivalent to a specific University of Sydney unit of study.

(3) Non-specific credit is ‘block credit’ given for a specified number of credit points at a particular level, in accordance with the award course resolutions. These credit points may be in a particular subject area but are not linked to a specific unit of study.

(4) Reduced volume of learning is a reduction in the number of credit points required for a student to complete his or her award course, in recognition of the student’s:
(a) level and subject area of qualifications completed prior to admission; or
(b) equivalent professional experience.

Note 25: An example of specific credit is credit given for Physics 1 [PHYS1001] at the University of Sydney for Physics 1 undertaken at the University of Adelaide.

Note 26: Examples of non-specific credit are: the University of Sydney does not teach Russian but a student may be granted credit for a full first year of study in Russian undertaken at the University of New South Wales, as 12 junior credit points; a student may be granted 48 junior credit points for the first year of an Arts degree completed at another Australian university.

Note 27: Where possible, the University will assess credit before making an offer of admission. Where possible, the University will make an offer of credit to an applicant concurrently with his or her offer of admission. If accepted, credit offered to an applicant prior to enrolment will be granted at the time he or she is admitted to the award course. See the Coursework Credit Procedures 2015.

42 Awarding specific credit and non-specific credit for previous studies

(1) An Associate Dean may, in accordance with this Policy, the faculty resolutions and the award course resolutions, grant specific credit or non-specific credit to an undergraduate or postgraduate student for study undertaken:
(a) in another award course at the University;
(b) in an award course at another Australian tertiary institution;
(c) at a recognised overseas tertiary institution;
(d) in an accredited higher education course offered by a registered private provider;
(e) in a course offered by the Vocational Education and Training Sector;
(f) in another award program approved by the Dean following an evaluation process; or
(g) in a non-award program approved by the Academic Board.

(2) Factors to be taken into account by an Associate Dean when evaluating a program for the purposes of subclause (1) include:
(a) the general educational practices and standards of the institution or system;
(b) the objectives of the particular course and the methods adopted to achieve those objectives;
(c) the duration of the course;
(d) the breadth, depth and balance of the course material;
(e) the methods of assessment in the course;
(f) the teaching staff conducting the course, including the numbers of teachers, and their professional qualifications, experience and educational expertise; and
(g) the accommodation and facilities offered to students undertaking the course, including equipment, library, laboratories, workshops and other instructional or research resources.

(3) Entry to the University’s courses is competitive and eligibility for credit does not guarantee an applicant a place in a course.

(4) Credit will not be granted:
(a) for units of study completed more than:
   (i) 10 years; or
   (ii) if the faculty resolutions prescribe a shorter period, the prescribed period;

   prior to admission to candidature in the course that the credit is applied to;
(b) for units of study in an uncompleted course, unless the student provides evidence that he or she has abandoned credit in respect of that course;
(c) except with the permission of the Associate Dean, for units of study undertaken at another tertiary institution from which the student has been excluded;
(d) except with the permission of the Associate Dean, for units of study or on-specific credit listed in an offer of credit made by the University prior to enrolment or during candidature, and declined by the applicant or student in accordance with sub-clause 43A(2); or
(e) except with the permission of the Associate Dean, to reinstate specific credit or non-specific credit that has previously been rescinded, on request by the student in accordance with clause 43B.

(5) When granting credit, an Associate Dean may impose requirements on a student with respect to:
(a) progression to more advanced units of study within a particular course; and
(b) time limits for completion of the course.

(6) Regardless of any credit granted, a student must meet any pre-requisite or co-
co-requisite requirements for an award course, unless the unit of study coordinator
gives the student a waiver for those requirements.

(7) Regardless of any credit granted, a student must achieve and demonstrate the
learning outcomes for the award course.

Note 28: See clause 46 regarding waivers.

43 Awarding reduced volume of learning

(1) An Associate Dean may, in accordance with this Policy and the award course
resolutions, and on request by a student, approve a reduction in the volume of
learning required for the student to complete his or her award course, in
recognition of:

(a) a prior qualification in the same discipline as the award course;
(b) a prior qualification in a cognate discipline deemed by the Associate Dean to
provide comparable preparation to paragraph (a);
(c) relevant professional experience deemed by the Associate Dean to provide
comparable preparation to paragraph (a); or
(d) a prior qualification in an appropriate discipline at AQF level 8 or above.

(2) Factors to be taken into account by an Associate Dean for the purposes of
subclause (1) include:

(a) the factors set out in subclause 42(2) above;
(b) whether the student’s experience is documented;
(c) whether any documentation provided by the student demonstrates skills,
knowledge or understanding that are equivalent to those that would be
gained in relevant University studies.

(3) The onus will be on the student to provide appropriate documentation or other
evidence.

(4) Reduced volume of learning will not be granted, except with the permission of the
Associate Dean:

(a) where the reduced volume of learning was previously listed in an offer of
credit made by the University prior to enrolment or during candidature, and
declared by the applicant or student in accordance with sub-clause 43A(2); or

(b) to reinstate reduced volume of learning that has previously been rescinded,
on request by the student in accordance with clause 43B.
43A Accepting and declining offers of specific credit, non-specific credit and reduced volume of learning

(1) The University may make offers to grant specific credit, non-specific credit and reduced volume of learning prior to enrolment or during candidature.

(2) An applicant or student must accept or decline (in whole or in part) any offer of credit made by the University:
   (a) prior to enrolment, on or before the date of his or her first enrolment in the award course for which credit is being offered;
   (b) during candidature, within twelve months of the date of the offer of credit.

(3) If an applicant or student does not accept or decline the offer of credit within the timeframe specified in subclause (2), the credit will not be processed and the University will regard the offer as having lapsed.

(4) The University may vary any offer to grant credit made to an applicant prior to enrolment, if the Dean has authorised a period of deferral of greater than one year.

Note 28A: See clause 38 regarding deferral.

43B Rescinding specific credit, non-specific credit and reduced volume of learning

(1) An Associate Dean may, in accordance with this policy and the award course resolutions, an on request by a student, rescind any specific credit, non-specific credit or reduced volume of learning previously granted to the student in accordance with this policy.

(2) Except with the permission of the Associate Dean, once any specific credit, non-specific credit or reduced volume of learning has been rescinded in accordance with this clause, a student may not seek to have it reinstated.

44 Limits on credit and reduced volume of learning

(1) Subject to this clause, and notwithstanding any credit or reduced volume of learning granted in accordance with clauses 42 and 43, in order to qualify for an award:
   (a) an undergraduate student must complete a minimum of:
       (i) one year (or part-time equivalent) of the award course at the University; and
       (ii) 48 credit points of the award course at the University;
   (b) a postgraduate student must complete at least 50 per cent of the course requirements at the University; and
   (c) a student enrolled in a Master’s degree must complete a minimum of 48 credit points of postgraduate study (including any postgraduate study at another university) in order to qualify for the award.

(2) The Associate Dean may vary the requirements in paragraph (1) where the work was completed:
(a) as part of an embedded program at the University;
(b) as part of another award course undertaken at the University; or
(c) as part of an award course approved by the University as part of an approved conjoint venture with another institution.

(3) Except with the approval of the Academic Board at course level, credit granted on the basis of work completed at an institution other than a university will not exceed one third of the course requirements.

(4) Except as provided for in sub-clause (6), credit towards postgraduate awards will not be granted for undergraduate units of study.

(5) Except as provided for in sub-clause (6), credit towards postgraduate awards will not be granted for previously completed postgraduate awards, except in the case of awards:
(a) in an embedded program at the University; or
(b) in a program completed at another university or institution deemed by the relevant Associate Dean to be the equivalent of a University of Sydney embedded program.

(6) Despite sub-clauses (4) and (5), an Associate Dean may grant credit in the form of a reduced volume of learning in recognition of completed undergraduate and postgraduate award courses in accordance with clause 43 and the award course resolutions.

(7) An Associate Dean may grant a graduate a limited amount of credit for a completed undergraduate course. Subject to this policy and the award course resolutions, a graduate who is admitted to candidature for the degree of Bachelor with credit for units of study in the completed course must complete a minimum of two years (or part-time equivalent) in the award course, unless additional credit from an uncompleted course or courses has also been granted.

Note 29: The provisions for granting credit in an award course offered as part of an approved conjoint venture are prescribed in the award course resolutions Senate resolutions and the relevant faculty resolutions for the course.

45 Credit in embedded programs, including embedded honours

Note 30: Faculties have authority to establish embedded programs in closely related academic or professional areas, to establish incrementally higher levels of attainment at Graduate Certificate, Graduate Diploma and Masters level. Faculties may specify in the award course resolutions or faculty resolutions conditions for transfer from one award in the embedded program to another.

(1) Students enrolled in an embedded program who have met the conditions for, and elect to, transfer to a longer award course in that embedded program:
(a) may transfer their enrolment to the longer award course; and
(b) will receive credit for all of the units of study completed in the shorter award course, provided that the units of study are approved as a requirement for the longer award course; and
(c) will not be permitted to graduate from the shorter award course.
(2) Subject to the relevant course requirements, graduates of a course in an embedded program who subsequently become candidates for a longer award course in the same embedded program may be granted credit for units of study completed in the shorter award course.

(3) Students enrolled in an embedded program who have completed the requirements for any award course in that embedded program may elect to discontinue their enrolment and graduate from that award course.

(4) A student who has met the requirements for a Bachelor degree at pass level may, subject to the award course resolutions:
   (a) receive credit for completed units of study; and
   (b) enrol in the same Bachelor degree award course at honours level.

Note 31: For information on admission to a Bachelor degree award course at honours level, see clause 93.

46 Awarding waivers

(1) An Associate Dean may, having regard to a student’s previous learning or experience, waive the requirement that the student undertake a compulsory unit of study.

(2) A unit of study co-ordinator may waive the requirement that a student meet a prerequisite requirement or a co-requisite requirement for a unit of study.

(3) A waiver given under subclause (1) or (2) may be subject to conditions set out in the waiver.

Note 32: For subclause (1): as the student will not have passed the compulsory unit of study, the student will have to make up the credit points by undertaking other units of study.

PART 12 ENROLMENT IN AND UNDERTAKING UNITS OF STUDY

47 Units of study

(1) In this Part, ‘table of units’ means a table of the units of study, as set out in the award course resolutions.

(2) Each unit of study is assigned a specified number of credit points by the faculty responsible for the unit of study.

(3) A student must pass a unit of study to acquire the credit points for that unit of study.

(4) The total number of credit points required for completion of an award course, including a combined degree or double degree course, is specified in the Senate resolutions and the award course resolutions.

(5) Subject to this Policy, a student completes a unit of study if the student:
   (a) participates in the learning experiences for the unit of study;
   (b) meets the standards required by the University for academic honesty;
(c) meets all examination, assessment and attendance requirements for the unit of study; and
(d) demonstrates learning outcomes for the unit of study to a standard equivalent to a pass level or higher.

(6) An Associate Dean may, subject to the course resolutions and with the approval of the Associate Dean in the faculty in which the unit of study is offered, permit a student to enrol in and obtain credit for a unit of study that is not listed in the table of units for the course.

48 Students must enrol in units of study

(1) Subject to this Policy, each student must, for each semester, enrol in units of study offered in his or her award course.

(2) The enrolments must be consistent with the requirements of this policy, the faculty resolutions and the award course resolutions.

Note 33: See also Part 13.

49 Assumed knowledge

(1) The University assumes that students enrolling in some first year units of study have successfully acquired a certain level of knowledge, expressed in terms of program studies and performance achieved in the HSC or equivalent.

(2) The Academic Board may, on the recommendation of the relevant faculty, specify assumed knowledge and recommended study areas for undergraduate courses.

(3) Students who have not acquired the assumed knowledge may enrol in any unit of study in their award course, but should undertake any recommended supplementary work before the unit of study commences.

Note 34: For the current list of assumed knowledge and recommended study areas for undergraduate courses, see the Academic Board standards website.

50 Prerequisite and co-requisite requirements

(1) Faculties may determine prerequisite and co-requisite requirements for enrolment in a unit of study.

(2) Subject to subclause 46(2), a student may not enrol in a unit of study unless he or she has met the prerequisite requirements for the unit of study.

(3) Subject to subclause 46(2), a student may not enrol in a unit of study for which there is a co-requisite requirement unless he or she also enrolls in or has already completed the co-requisite unit of study.

Note 35: For details of prerequisite and co-requisite requirements for courses, see the relevant faculty handbook.
51  Enrolment restrictions

(1) Except with the permission of the Associate Dean or in accordance with the award course resolutions, a student may not:
   (a) enrol in a unit of study that he or she has already completed towards the requirements for an award course;
   (b) enrol in any unit of study that overlaps substantially in content with a unit of study that has already been completed by the student, or for which credit or a waiver or exemption has been granted;
   (c) enrol in units of study additional to award course requirements;
   (d) enrol in units of study with a total credit point value exceeding:
      (i) for enrolments in any one semester – 30 credit points;
      (ii) for enrolments in the Summer School – 12 credit points;
      (iii) for enrolments in the Winter School – 6 credit points; or
   (e) enrol in a prohibited unit of study.

Note 36: The award course resolutions may prescribe a lower credit point value limit.

Note 37: The Associate Dean will specify prohibited units of study in the table of units.

(2) A student who is permitted, in accordance with paragraph 1(a), to re-enrol in a unit of study may receive a higher or lower grade, but not additional credit points.

52  Repeating a unit of study

(1) Unless granted an exemption by the Associate Dean, a student who repeats a unit of study must:
   (a) participate in the learning experiences provided for the unit of study; and
   (b) meet all the examination, assessment and attendance requirements for the unit of study.

(2) Except with the permission of the Associate Dean, a student who presents for reassessment in any unit of study is not eligible for any prize or scholarship awarded in connection with that unit of study.

53  Concurrent enrolment

(1) A student may not enrol in more than one award course at any level, except:
   (a) with the permission of the relevant Associate Deans; or
   (b) as part of an approved combined degree or double degree program.

Note 38: This includes courses offered by other institutions.

(2) The same unit of study cannot be counted towards the requirements for two different courses, except:
   (a) for combined degrees;
(b) for the purpose of satisfying prerequisite, co-requisite and admission requirements; and
(c) where a student is permitted to enrol in two postgraduate programs simultaneously, faculties may allow a maximum of two units of study to be cross-credited towards requirements for a maximum of two degrees as set out in clause 90.

54 Cross-institutional study

(1) A student may, with the permission of the Associate Dean, enrol in a unit or units of study at another university or institution and have those units of study credited to the student's award course.

(2) The Associate Dean may impose conditions on any cross-institutional study approved in accordance with subclause (1).

55 Attendance

(1) A faculty may specify the attendance and participation requirements for its courses and units of study.

(2) A student enrolled in a unit of study must comply with the requirements set out in the faculty resolutions, award course resolutions or unit of study outline about undertaking the unit of study, including on matters such as:
   (a) attendance at and participation in lectures, seminars and tutorials; and
   (b) participation in practical work.

(3) An Associate Dean may specify the circumstances under which a student who does not satisfy attendance requirements may be deemed not to have completed a unit of study or award course.

(4) An Associate Dean may, having regard to the student’s previous studies, exempt a student from a requirement mentioned in subclause (1).

PART 13 DISCONTINUATION AND SUSPENSION OF ENROLMENT

56 Discontinuation of enrolment

(1) Subject to this clause, a student may discontinue his or her enrolment in an award course or in one or more units of study.

(2) A student’s enrolment in the course or the relevant units of study will be treated as discontinued from the date of discontinuation, unless he or she produces evidence that there was good reason why the application could not be made at an earlier time.

(3) A student who discontinues enrolment in a course during his or her first year of enrolment in the course will not be permitted to re-enrol in that course unless:
(a) the Dean granted prior permission to re-enrol; or
(b) the student is later re-selected for admission to the course.

(4) A student may not discontinue enrolment in a course or a unit of study after the end of classes in that course or unit of study, except in accordance with subclause (2).

(5) A student who discontinues enrolment in a unit of study is to be awarded a grade set out in Schedule 1.

57 Suspension of enrolment by student

(1) Subject to restrictions imposed by the Education Services for Overseas Students Act 2000 on student visa holders, a student in a course may suspend his or her enrolment in a course:
   (a) for a maximum period of one year; or
   (b) with the approval of the Associate Dean, for a maximum period of two years.

(2) The suspension must be notified to the University in a manner approved or accepted by the faculty.

(3) At the end of the suspension period, the student must comply with any requirements notified by the Associate Dean for completing the course. Those requirements apply to the student despite anything to the contrary in the award course resolutions.

58 Suspension and termination of candidature for failure to enrol

(1) If a student is not enrolled in any unit of study by the last of the census dates for that semester, and the student has not discontinued or suspended enrolment, the student's candidature is automatically suspended.

(2) If a student's candidature is automatically suspended, then, despite any contrary provision in this Policy, the procedures for the student to re-enrol in the course are to be as the faculty determines.

(3) If a student fails to re-enrol in that and the subsequent semester, his or her candidature will be automatically terminated.

59 Return to candidature

(1) If a student returns to candidature after a discontinuation or suspension, the course requirements in force at the time of the student's return to candidature apply to the student's candidature.

(2) Despite subclause (1), the Dean may, in writing, modify the application of the course requirements in a particular case.
PART 14  ASSESSMENT

60  Statement of intent

(1) The purpose of this Part is to:
   (a) set out the principles that underpin the University's approach to assessment;
   (b) support students' development and progressive demonstration of:
      (i) information literacy skills and understanding;
      (ii) ethical practices associated with academic and personal integrity; and
      (iii) research, inquiry and communication skills;
   (c) inform curriculum and teaching quality assurance programs; and
   (d) underpin accountability for achievement of graduate outcomes.

(2) Assessments should be designed to provide feedback on performance or to establish that students have achieved an adequate standard to proceed or to graduate.

(3) This part applies to any coursework unit of study undertaken by a higher degree by research student.

61  Assessment principles and their implementation

(1) The following principles apply to assessment at the University.
   (a) Assessment practices must advance student learning.
   (b) Assessment practices must be communicated clearly to students and staff.
   (c) Assessment practices must be valid and fair.
   (d) Assessment practices must be continuously improved and updated.

(2) The University's assessment principles will be implemented in accordance with the implementation statements set out in this policy.

(3) The procedures for operation of the implementation statements are set out in the Assessment Procedures 2011.

62  Principle 1 - Assessment practices must advance student learning

This principle requires that:

(1) Assessment practices align with goals, context, learning activities and learning outcomes.

(2) A variety of assessment tasks are used while ensuring that student and staff workloads are considered.

(3) Assessment tasks reflect increasing levels of complexity across a program and foster enquiry-based learning.
Constructive, timely and respectful feedback develops students' skills of self and peer evaluation and guides the development of future student work.

63 Principle 2 - Assessment practices must be communicated clearly to students and staff

This principle requires that:

1. Unit of study outlines are available in the first week of any offering of the unit and communicate the purposes, timing, weighting and extent of assessment in sufficient detail to allow students to plan their approach to assessment.

2. Unit of study outlines explain the rationale for the selection of assessment tasks (e.g. group task) in relation to learning outcomes.

3. Procedures exist to ensure that all staff involved in teaching a unit of study share a common understanding of assessment practices.

4. The process of marking and of combining individual task marks is explicitly explained in the unit outline.

64 Principle 3 - Assessment practices must be valid and fair

This principle requires that:

1. Assessment tasks are authentic and appropriate to disciplinary and or professional context.

2. Assessment incorporates rigorous academic standards related to the discipline(s) and is based on pre-determined, clearly articulated criteria with which students actively engage.

3. Students' assessment will be evaluated solely on the basis of students’ achievement against criteria and standards specified to align with learning outcomes.

4. Assessment practices address issues of equity and inclusiveness to accommodate and build upon the diversity of the student body so as not to disadvantage any student.

65 Principle 4 - Assessment practices must be continuously improved and updated

This principle requires that:

1. Assessment tasks and outcomes are moderated through academic peer review and used to inform subsequent practice.

2. Assessments are regularly updated to ensure alignment with program learning outcomes or graduate attributes.

3. Professional development opportunities that are related to design, implementation and moderation of assessment are provided to staff.

Note 39: A student does not have a right to a merits review by the Student Appeals Body under the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006, and
cannot appeal against an academic decision on the ground that the student believes that the academic decision was made in a manner that was inconsistent with the Assessment Principles.

66 Common result grades

(1) The University will award common result grades as set out in Schedule 1.
(2) The grades of high distinction, distinction and credit indicate work of a standard higher than that required for a pass.
(3) A student who completes a unit of study for which only a pass or fail result is available will be recorded as having satisfied requirements.

66A Simple Extensions

(1) A unit of study co-ordinator, who is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so, may permit a student to submit a non-examination task up to two working days after the due date with no penalty.
(2) Such permission is an informal arrangement between the unit of study co-ordinator and the student which does not:
   (a) affect the student's entitlement to apply for special consideration under this policy;
   (b) alter any time limits or other requirements relating to applications for special consideration; or
   (c) constitute an academic decision for the purposes of the University of Sydney (Student Appeals Against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006 (as amended).

Note: Any faculty resolution or local provision forbidding the granting of simple extensions is inconsistent with this policy

67 Special consideration due to illness, injury or misadventure

(1) Generally, an illness, injury or misadventure will be taken into account when considering a student’s performance in a course or unit of study.
(2) Special consideration is provided in circumstances where well-attested illness, injury or misadventure occurs during a semester or at the time of an examination. It is an academic judgement which depends on the nature of the illness, misadventure or injury and its impact in relation to assessment or examination.
(3) Students who bear a primary carer responsibility toward another person at the time of an assessment may also apply for special consideration on the basis of illness, injury or misadventure on the part of the person for whom they care if their ability to prepare for or perform the assessment is adversely affected.
(4) Special consideration is also available to non-award students.
(5) Students who are granted special consideration must nonetheless be required to demonstrate achievement of designated learning outcomes.
(6) Rescinded.
(7) A student who is reasonably capable of attempting an examination should do so, despite any accompanying application for special consideration.

(8) All requests for special consideration must be genuine and made in good faith.
   (a) Attempts to use special consideration as a means of gaining an unfair advantage in an assessment must be rejected.
   (b) Making a request for special consideration that is not genuine or in good faith may lead to disciplinary action against a student.

(9) A request for special consideration does not guarantee that the request will be granted.

(10) Special consideration must not be granted for:
   (a) balancing workloads from other units of study, disciplines or faculties;
   (b) information and communications technology-related problems, except where they could not have been prevented, avoided or the effects minimised by reasonable diligence by the student; or
   (c) jury service, military service, national sporting, religious or cultural commitments or other unforeseen events for which special arrangements may be provided in accordance with this policy.

(11) Special consideration granted to one or more students should not disadvantage other students.

68 Students with a disability

(1) Students with a permanent or temporary disability who have registered with the University’s Disability Services, and have satisfied the University’s requirements for supporting documentation, may be eligible for reasonable adjustments and/or accessible examination and assessment arrangements.

   Note 40: As at the date of these procedures, information about the University’s Disability Services can be found here: http://sydney.edu.au/study/academic-support/disability-support.html

(2) Disability Services will determine the student’s eligibility for adjustments and inform the student and faculty of the required reasonable adjustments.

(3) Students wishing to apply for accessible examination and assessment conditions must make their application through Disability Services within specified timeframes.

(4) Accessible examination and assessment conditions include, but are not limited to:
   (a) extra time for reading, writing, resting or toilet breaks;
   (b) use of a scribe;
   (c) examination papers in alternative formats;
   (d) use of assistive technology;
   (e) ergonomic furniture;
   (f) using a designated room and experienced supervisors;
   (g) using a separate room with a scribe or assistive technology;
(h) rescheduling and or spacing of examinations into the deferred examination period.

69 Special arrangements for assessments

(1) The relevant delegate may make special arrangements available to any student who is unable to meet assessment requirements or attend examinations because of one or more of the following:

(a) essential religious commitments or essential beliefs (including cultural and ceremonial commitments);
(b) compulsory legal absence (such as jury duty or court summons);
(c) sporting or cultural commitments, including political or union commitments, where the student is representing the University, state or nation;
(d) birth or adoption of a child;
(e) Australian defence force or emergency service commitments (including Army Reserve);
(f) the relevant delegate forms the view that employment of an essential nature to the student would be jeopardised and that the student has little or no discretion with respect to the employment demand.

(2) The relevant delegate may make special arrangements for a student who is unable to meet assessment requirements or attend examinations for any other reason that is beyond the student’s reasonable control, at the delegate’s own discretion, on a case-by-case basis.

(3) Special arrangements are intended to support the University’s commitment to flexible learning. However, while every reasonable attempt is made to accommodate student needs, it may not be possible to provide such arrangements in all cases. This is particularly so where clinical placements and practicums are involved.

70 Responsibilities for implementation of this Part

(1) The Academic Board is responsible for:

(a) ensuring that assessment practices comply with this policy; and
(b) ensuring that assessment practices and procedures are monitored and reviewed at the level of faculties in accordance with this policy, and that changes to assessment practices are made where appropriate as a consequence of such review.

(2) The Registrar is responsible for:

(a) overseeing the release of results to students; and
(b) overseeing the conduct of examinations.
(3) **Deans and Associate Deans** are responsible for:
   (a) ensuring that this policy is contextualised and implemented in all programs and units for which the faculty is responsible;
   (b) ensuring that faculty practices and standards in relation to assessment are consistent with this policy and any associated procedures; and
   (c) appointing a responsible head where the teaching of a unit of study is shared by more than one department.

(4) **Heads of Departments and or Heads of Schools** are responsible for:
   (a) ensuring that this policy is contextualised and implemented in all programs and units for which the academic unit is responsible;
   (b) appointing principal examiners; and
   (c) appointing program co-ordinators.

(5) **Program co-ordinators** are responsible for:
   (a) developing and overseeing an assessment strategy for the students’ program or major that is consistent with this policy and any associated procedures;
   (b) fostering a whole of program/major approach to assessment;
   (c) ensuring program/major learning outcomes and standards are made clear to students;
   (d) monitoring overall assessment loads for both staff and students;
   (e) ensuring program/major learning outcomes are assessed at appropriate points throughout the degree;
   (f) ensuring that assessment tasks reflect increasing levels of complexity across the program/major; and
   (g) facilitating and promoting opportunities for professional development of assessment practice for all staff teaching a program, with particular emphasis on new and less experienced teachers.

(6) **Unit co-ordinators and or principal examiners** are responsible for:
   (a) developing and implementing an assessment strategy which is consistent with this policy and any associated procedures;
   (b) managing the moderation of assessment design and marking to ensure the validity and reliability of assessment within the unit;
   (c) ensuring that assessment requirements for a unit are discussed and understood by all members of staff involved in teaching and assessment, including seasonal and casual teachers; and
   (d) monitoring and reflecting on student assessment outcomes and student survey data to make changes to the assessment strategy for the unit in light of the review, as appropriate.

(7) **Unit lecturers and tutors** are responsible for:
   (a) assessing student work fairly, consistently and in a timely manner;
   (b) providing timely feedback which enables students to further improve their learning and performance wherever possible; and
(c) advising students in relation to expectations relevant to specific aspect tasks.

(8) **Students** are responsible for:

(a) actively engaging with assessment tasks, including carefully reading the guidance provided, spending sufficient time on the task, ensuring their work is authentic and their own (whether individual or group work) and handing work in on time;

(b) actively engaging in activities designed to develop assessment literacy, including taking the initiative where appropriate (e.g. asking for clarification or advice);

(c) actively engaging with and acting on feedback provided;

(d) providing constructive feedback on assessment processes and tasks through student feedback mechanisms (e.g. student surveys or student representation on committees); and

(e) becoming familiar with University policy and faculty procedures and acting in accordance with those policy and procedures.

**PART 15 PROGRESSION**

71 Progression requirements

**Note 41:** A student enrolled in an award course must meet the progression requirements and all the course requirements for an award course within the time limits for the course.

See Part 4 of the Coursework Rule.

Subject to this Policy, a faculty will prescribe in the faculty resolutions or the award course resolutions the progression requirements for coursework award courses in that faculty.

72 Statement of intent

(1) The University is committed to early identification and support of students who are not meeting progression requirements, and may therefore be at risk of exclusion from their award course.

(2) Faculties will assist and promote the progression of students who are not meeting progression requirements by:

(a) regularly and effectively advising students of progression requirements;

(b) identifying and alerting students who are not meeting progression requirements;

(c) providing assistance to students who are not meeting progression requirements; and

(d) tracking the progress of students after they are identified as not meeting progression requirements.
(3) Faculties will ensure that they have clear and transparent internal processes for handling students who are not meeting progression requirements, consistent with this policy.

73 Monitoring progression

(1) Faculties will monitor each student’s progression, including through reports generated by the student record keeping system.

(2) When monitoring each student’s progression, the faculty may take into account:
   (a) whether the student has attended compulsory teaching and assessment components of a unit of study;
   (b) whether the student has over-enrolled in an attempt to catch up on failed units of study; and
   (c) whether there are significant variations in the student’s academic performance.

(3) Where the attendance record of a student is deemed by the faculty to be unsatisfactory, that information will be recorded in the student record keeping system.

74 Progression profile

(1) Faculties will establish and maintain a progression profile for each student who is identified as not meeting academic progression requirements.

(2) The progression profile will include all documents relating to a student’s academic progression, including correspondence and interview records.

(3) The progression profile will be attached to the student’s file.

75 Triggers for identifying students who are not meeting academic progression requirements

(1) At the end of each semester, each faculty will identify the students in courses offered by the faculty who are not meeting academic progression requirements.

(2) A student will be identified as not meeting academic progression requirements in a semester if:
   (a) the student received a Fail, Discontinued - Fail or Absent Fail grade in more than 50% of the total credit points allocated to the units of study in which they were enrolled for the semester;
   (b) the student’s semester average mark was less than 50;
   (c) the award course resolutions stipulate that:
      (i) an average mark above 50 is required in order to remain enrolled in an award course or stream; and
      (ii) alternative enrolment is available; and
the student’s average mark for all the units of study in which they were enrolled for the semester was less than the required average mark;

(d) the student failed one or more barrier units of study, compulsory units of study, field work, clinical work, practicum or other professional experience specified in the award course resolutions;

(e) the student has failed twice to pass the same unit of study (excluding Summer School and Winter School units of study);

(f) the student’s attendance record during the semester was unsatisfactory; or

(g) the student is unable to complete their award course within the maximum time limit, while carrying a normal student load.

76 Stage 1 - Students identified for the first time as not meeting academic progression requirements

(1) The faculty will send all students identified as not meeting academic progression requirements for the first time a letter and a self-reflective Staying on Track survey.

(2) The letter will advise each student:

(a) that they have been identified as not meeting academic progression requirements;

(b) why they have been identified as not meeting academic progression requirements;

(c) that they are advised to:

(i) complete a Staying on Track survey; and

(ii) attend a Staying on Track information session;

(d) that all correspondence and documents relating to their academic progression status will be recorded on their progression profile; and

(e) where the student is enrolled in an award course whose normal full-time duration is two years or less, that:

(i) if they fail to meet progression requirements in the following semester, they may be asked to show good cause why they should be permitted to re-enrol in the award course; and

(ii) they are recommended to consult an academic adviser in their faculty.

(3) Faculties may require students to consult an academic adviser.

(4) The Staying on Track survey will:

(a) assist students to identify why they are having difficulties meeting academic progression requirements;

(b) advise students to avail themselves of, and include details of, student support services available at the University, including:

(i) the Counselling Service;

(ii) the Learning Centre;

(iii) the University Health Service; and

(iv) the student representative bodies.
(5) The Staying on Track information session will:
   (a) provide information on study skills; and
   (b) introduce students to the student support services in paragraph (4)(b).

Note 42: See clause 78 for information on the show cause process.

77 Stage 2 - Students at risk of being asked to show good cause

(1) Students who:
   (a) are enrolled in an award course whose normal full-time duration is two years or less; and
   (b) are identified for the second time as not meeting academic progression requirements, without an intervening period of satisfactory progress;

will be asked to show good cause why they should be permitted to re-enrol in the award course.

(2) Students who:
   (a) are enrolled in an award course whose normal full-time duration is more than two years; and
   (b) are identified for the second time as not meeting academic progression requirements, without an intervening period of satisfactory progress as prescribed in clause 82;

will be sent a warning letter and a Staying on Track survey by the faculty.

(3) The letter will advise each student:
   (a) that they have been identified as not meeting academic progression requirements;
   (b) why they have been identified as not meeting academic progression requirements;
   (c) that they are advised to:
      (i) complete a Staying on Track survey; and
      (ii) attend a Staying on Track information session, if they have not already done so;
   (d) that they are required to consult an academic adviser in their faculty; and
   (e) that all correspondence and documents relating to their academic progression status will be recorded on their progression profile.

(4) The Staying on Track survey will:
   (a) assist students to identify and explain why they are having difficulties meeting academic progression requirements; and
   (b) require students to consult with their year adviser or Associate Dean, who will ask them to provide information about any support services or other remedial action the student has taken since they were first identified as not meeting academic progression requirements.

(5) The faculty will record whether the student has consulted an academic adviser.
Note 43: The Associate Dean will take into account whether a student has consulted an academic adviser when determining whether a student has shown good cause for the purposes of clause 78.

78 Stage 3 - Being asked to show good cause

(1) The relevant Associate Dean may require a student who has not met the progression requirements or other standards set out in applicable faculty local provisions to show good cause why he or she should be allowed to re-enrol.

(2) For the purposes of this policy, ‘good cause’ means:

(a) circumstances beyond the reasonable control of a student, which may include serious ill health or misadventure, but does not include demands of employers, pressure of employment or time devoted to non-University activities, unless these are relevant to serious ill health or misadventure; and

(b) reasonable prospects of meeting progression requirements in the following semester.

(3) Students will be asked to show good cause where:

(a) they are enrolled in an award course whose normal full-time duration is two years or less, and they have been identified as not meeting progression requirements for that award course twice, without an intervening period of satisfactory progress as prescribed in clause 82;

(b) they are enrolled in an award course whose normal full-time duration is more than two years, and they have been identified as not meeting progression requirements for that award course three times, without an intervening period of satisfactory progress as prescribed in clause 82; or

(c) they have twice failed the same compulsory or barrier unit of study, field work, clinical work, practicum or other professional experience.

(4) A student may be asked to show good cause more than once.

(5) A student who is asked to show good cause will be invited to provide written reasons why they should be permitted to re-enrol in their award course.

(6) A student’s response to a request to show good cause should:

(a) outline the circumstances that have negatively affected the student’s study performance;

(b) explain the specific effects or impacts of those circumstances;

(c) outline the steps that the student has taken, or will take in the future, to address each of those circumstances, with a view to ensuring that they will not negatively affect the student’s study performance in the future;

(d) if the student has previously been asked to show good cause, explain whether previously identified factors affecting their study performance have recurred, including reasons why previous strategies to address those factors have been ineffective; and

(e) attach any relevant documentary evidence.

(7) In all cases the onus is on the student to provide the Associate Dean with satisfactory evidence to establish good cause.
The Associate Dean will provide reasons for his or her decision, which will be recorded on the student’s progression profile.

Note 44: Documentary evidence for paragraph (6)(e) may include medical certificates, police reports, statutory declarations or academic transcripts. The Associate Dean may take into account relevant aspects of a student’s record in other courses or units of study within the University, and relevant aspects of academic studies at other institutions, provided that the student presents this information to the Associate Dean.

Note 45: A response to a request to show good cause is not a substitute for a special consideration or special arrangement application, which should be lodged as appropriate in accordance with this policy.

79 Permission to re-enrol

(1) The Associate Dean will permit a student who has shown good cause to re-enrol.

(2) Subject to clause 82, a student who is permitted to re-enrol will remain at Stage 3 of the process outlined in this Part.

80 Actions that may be taken where a student does not show good cause

(1) Where a student has not shown good cause why he or she should be allowed to re-enrol, the Associate Dean may:
   (a) exclude the student from the relevant course; or
   (b) permit the student to re-enrol in the relevant award course subject to restrictions on units of study, which may include but are not limited to:
       (i) passing a unit or units of study within a specified time;
       (ii) exclusion from a unit or units of study; and
       (iii) specification of the earliest date upon which a student may re-enrol in a unit or units of study.

(2) The Associate Dean may not exclude a student who subsequently does not meet any restrictions on enrolment imposed under paragraph (1)(b) without allowing the student a further opportunity to show good cause.

Note 46: For information on student appeals against decisions made by an Associate Dean under this clause, see the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006.

81 Applying for re-admission after exclusion for failure to meet progression requirements

(1) A person who has been excluded from an award course may apply for re-admission to the award course after at least two years.

(2) Re-admission will not be permitted without the approval of the Associate Dean.

(3) With the written approval of the Associate Dean, a person who is re-admitted to his or her award course may be given credit for any work completed elsewhere in the University or at another institution during a period of exclusion.
82 **Reversion**

(1) Where a student previously identified as not meeting academic progression requirements meets progression requirements for two consecutive semesters, his or her name will be removed from the academic progression register.

(2) If, having been removed from the academic progression register, a student who has previously been identified as not meeting academic progression requirements fails again to meet progression requirements, he or she will be regarded as being at Stage 1 of the process outlined in this Part. These students may, at the faculty’s discretion, be required to consult an academic adviser about their progress.

**PART 16 SHOW GOOD CAUSE FOLLOWING FAILURE, DISCONTINUATION OR EXCLUSION**

83 **Show good cause following failure, discontinuation or exclusion**

(1) The Associate Dean may require a student to show good cause why he or she should be permitted to re-enrol in a unit of study that he or she has failed or discontinued more than once, whether that unit of study was failed or discontinued when the student was enrolled in an award course offered by the current faculty or by another faculty.

(2) The Associate Dean may require a student who:

   (a) has had his or her candidature in an award course at the University, or at another institution, terminated due to failure or discontinuation; and

   (b) has subsequently been admitted or re-admitted to an award course at the University;

   to show good cause why he or she should be permitted to re-enrol in a year of candidature or a unit of study that he or she has failed or discontinued in the year immediately following the admission or re-admission.

(3) Where a student has not shown good cause why he or she should be allowed to re-enrol, the Associate Dean may:

   (a) exclude the student from the relevant course; or

   (b) permit the student to re-enrol in the relevant award course subject to restrictions on units of study, which may include but are not limited to:

      (i) completion of a unit or units of study within a specified time;

      (ii) exclusion from a unit or units of study; and

      (iii) specification of the earliest date upon which a student may re-enrol in a unit or units of study.
The Associate Dean may not exclude a student who subsequently does not meet any conditions on enrolment imposed under paragraph (3)(b) without allowing the student a further opportunity to show good cause.

**Note 49:** For information on student appeals against decisions made by an Associate Dean under this clause, see the *University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006.*

### PART 17 AWARD COURSE REQUIREMENTS

**Note 50:** To qualify for the award of a degree, diploma or certificate, a student must:
- complete the award course requirements prescribed in any relevant faculty resolutions and the award course resolutions; and
- satisfy the requirements of the Coursework Rule and any applicable policy.

See clause 5.1 of the Coursework Rule.

#### 83A Award course requirements for all Bachelor degrees

1. **The Bachelor degree:**
   - (a) offers liberal, specialist or professional learning and education; and
   - (b) builds on prior secondary or tertiary study

   All graduates of Bachelor award courses must demonstrate the graduate qualities.

2. **All Bachelor award courses must meet:**
   - (a) the requirements for either:
     - (i) a Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree;
     - (ii) a Specialist or Professional Bachelor’s degree; or
     - (iii) a Bachelor of Advanced Studies;
   - (b) and
   - (c) the applicable award course resolutions.

#### 83B Award course requirements for the Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree

1. **Candidates for a Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree must complete a total of 144 credit points of study, as specified in the award course resolutions, including the requirement to complete:**
   - (a) core units of study as specified in the applicable award course resolutions, to a maximum of 24 credit points;
   - (b) a major or a program from the list specified in the applicable award course resolutions;
   - (c) a minimum of 12 credit points of elective modules units from the open learning environment, to a maximum of 12 credit points; and
(d) a minor from a shared pool of minors common to Liberal Studies Bachelor degrees.

(2) Every Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree must be designed to support the development of the graduate qualities and must require all students to demonstrate those qualities.

(3) Every Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree should offer the opportunity for students to complete:

(a) a second major in place of the minor required in 84A 3 (a) (iv) above from a shared pool of majors common to Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees;

(b) a program from a pool of the degree’s list of available programs;

(c) elective units of study from a shared pool of elective units common to Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees (except where the requirements for a program do not allow sufficient free credit points to take electives);

(d) elective modules from the open learning environment;

(e) in addition to the Liberal Studies Bachelor Degree, the requirements for the Bachelor of Advanced Studies in a combined degree as set out in the award course resolutions.

83C Award course requirements for the Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degree

(1) Candidates for a Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degree must complete a total of at least 144 credit points of study as specified in the award course resolutions; support the development of the graduate qualities and must require all students to demonstrate those qualities.

(2) Specialist or Professional Bachelor degrees may offer the opportunity for students to complete, in addition to the Specialist or Professional Bachelor Degree, a Bachelor of Advanced Studies.

83D Award course requirements for the Bachelor of Advanced Studies

[DETAIL NEEDED]

84 Masters by coursework

The Masters by coursework degree:

(a) is a program of either or both of advanced learning and professional training;

(b) builds on prior undergraduate study;

(c) normally leads to a capstone experience, which provides an opportunity to synthesise the knowledge and experience gained.
85 The capstone experience

(1) All Advanced Learning Masters degrees and appropriate Professional Masters degrees culminate in a capstone experience.

(2) The capstone experience:
   (a) is a unit of study designed to provide students with an opportunity to:
       (i) draw together the learning that has taken place during the award course;
       (ii) synthesise the learning that has taken place during the award course with their prior learning and experience; and
       (iii) draw conclusions that will form the basis for further investigation and intellectual and professional growth;
   (b) will be integrative, foster student autonomy and, where appropriate, a transdisciplinary perspective;
   (c) will contribute to award course aims and the generic attributes of graduates;
   (d) is taken towards the end of the award course, with the result captured in a mark or the component of a mark;
   (e) may take the form of:
       (i) a long essay;
       (ii) a thesis;
       (iii) a project;
       (iv) a professional placement;
       (v) a comprehensive or oral examination;
       (vi) a portfolio with commentary;
       (vii) a performance;
       (viii) an exhibition;
       (ix) a public presentation;
       (x) a law moot; or
       (xi) another activity appropriate to the discipline.

86 Award course requirements for the Advanced Learning Masters degree

(1) The Advanced Learning Masters degree comprises a minimum of one year of full-time advanced study culminating in a capstone experience.

(2) Advanced Learning Masters degrees contain optional opportunities for interdisciplinary study and research and, where appropriate and feasible:
   (a) exchange and work-based projects; and
   (b) professional or industry experience.
(3) Advanced Learning Masters degrees carry the title Master of Arts in [discipline], Master of Science in [discipline], or a title specified in the relevant award course resolutions.

(4) Candidates for the Advanced Learning Masters degree must complete a minimum of 48 credit points of study, or such higher number as specified in the award course resolutions, including:

(a) core advanced units of study as specified in the award course resolutions;
(b) a capstone experience;
(c) elective advanced units of study, including:
   (i) an optional 12 credit points of research, as prescribed in the award course resolutions;
   (ii) optional units of study offered by another faculty, as prescribed in the award course resolutions or with the permission of both faculties;
(d) where specified in the award course resolutions, optional elective units designed by the faculty involving a professional or industry project; and
(e) where appropriate and specified in the award course resolutions, optional inter-institutional units of study.

87 Award course requirements for the Professional Masters degree

(1) The Professional Masters degree comprises a minimum of one year and a maximum of four years of full-time study leading to a qualification that contributes to professional accreditation or recognition.

(2) Where appropriate to professional requirements, Professional Masters degrees will include:

(a) a capstone experience;
(b) opportunities for interdisciplinary study;
(c) research;
(d) inter-institutional study; and
(e) professional or industry experience.

(3) Candidates for Professional Masters degrees must complete the requirements set out in the award course resolutions, which will include a minimum of 48 and a maximum of 192 credit points, including:

(a) core units of study as specified in the course resolutions award course resolutions;
(b) where appropriate, a capstone experience;
(c) elective advanced units of study including, where appropriate and feasible:
   (i) an optional 12 credit points of research as set out in the course resolutions award course resolutions;
   (ii) optional elective units of study offered by another faculty, as prescribed in the course resolutions award course resolutions or with the permission of both faculties;
(iii) where specified in the course resolutions, optional elective units designed by the faculty involving a professional or industry project; and

(iv) where specified in the course resolutions, optional exchange units.

88 Award course requirements for the Graduate Diploma

(1) The Graduate Diploma is an advanced program of study building on either or both of prior undergraduate and postgraduate study.

(2) A Graduate Diploma may be offered as an embedded award in an Advanced Learning or Professional Masters program, or as a stand-alone award.

(3) Where it is offered as part of an embedded program, the title of a Graduate Diploma will be Graduate Diploma in [discipline], where [discipline] is:
   (a) an identifier that is unique within the faculty; and
   (b) is used in the title of all components of the embedded program.

(4) Where the Graduate Diploma is offered as a stand-alone program, its title will be as specified in the course resolutions.

(5) Candidates for a Graduate Diploma must complete a minimum of 36 and a maximum of 48 credit points of study, including:
   (a) core units of study as specified in the course resolutions; and
   (b) where appropriate, elective units of study including optional elective units of study offered by another faculty, as prescribed in the course resolutions or with the permission of both faculties.

89 Award course requirements for the Graduate Certificate

(1) The Graduate Certificate is an advanced program of study building on:
   (a) prior undergraduate study; or
   (b) where approved by the faculty, prior experience that is considered by the faculty to demonstrate knowledge and aptitude to undertake the required units of study.

(2) A Graduate Certificate may be offered as an embedded award in an Advanced Learning program, a Professional Masters program, a Graduate Diploma, or as a stand-alone award.

(3) Where it is offered as part of an embedded program, the title of a Graduate Certificate will be Graduate Certificate in [discipline], where [discipline] is:
   (a) an identifier that is unique within the faculty; and
   (b) is used in the title of all components of the embedded program.

(4) Where the Graduate Certificate is offered as a stand-alone program, its title will be as specified in the course resolutions.
(5) Candidates for the Graduate Certificate must complete a minimum of 24 and a maximum of 36 credit points of study, including:

(a) core units of study as specified in the course resolutions;

(b) where appropriate, elective units of study including optional elective units of study offered by another faculty, as prescribed in the course resolutions or with the permission of both faculties.

90 Award course requirements for combined postgraduate coursework degrees and double degrees

(1) Subject to this clause, faculties may establish combined degree and double degree programs involving postgraduate coursework awards allowing some units to be cross-credited to both degrees.

(2) The minimum course requirement for a double Masters degree is 96 credit points, equating to two years of full-time study.

(3) The cross-credited units of study for combined postgraduate degrees and double degrees will not exceed a value of 12 credit points in each degree.

(4) Faculties may admit candidates to two postgraduate award courses and allow a maximum of 12 credit points to be credited to both awards, provided that:

(a) where the awards are offered by two faculties, double enrolment is with the permission of the Deans of both faculties; and

(b) units of study to be cross-credited in both degrees are cross-credited with the written approval of the relevant Deans and Heads of Department.

91 Award course requirements for combined degree and double degree programs for the award of a Bachelor and Masters degree

(1) Subject to this clause, faculties may establish combined degree and double degree programs for the award of a Bachelor degree and the award of a Masters degree.

(2) The minimum requirements for a double degree combining the award of a Bachelor degree and a Masters degree is 192 credit points, equating to four years of full-time study.

(3) Candidates may not proceed to units of study at the Masters level without achieving in units contributing to the Bachelor degree at:

(a) a credit level; or

(b) such higher level as is set out in the course resolutions.

PART 18 AWARDS

Note 51: An Undergraduate Diploma may be awarded at one of four grades: pass, pass with merit, pass with distinction, pass with high distinction.

A Bachelor degree may be awarded at one of two grades: pass, or pass with honours.
Degrees of Master by coursework may be conferred, and Graduate Diplomas and Graduate Certificates may be awarded, only at a pass grade. See clause 6.1 of the Coursework Rule.

92 Transcripts and testamurs

(1) A student who has completed an award course or a unit of study at the University will receive an academic transcript or graduation statement upon application and payment of any required fees.

Note 52: For information on the circumstances in which the University will apply sanctions for unpaid debts, see the Student Debtor Sanctions Policy 2014.

(2) A student who has completed the course requirements for an award course will receive a testamur and a graduation statement.

(3) A testamur will state:
   (a) any major body of study including, where relevant, majors, streams or specialisations completed by the graduate;
   (b) for a graduate of a Bachelor degree course with appended honours:
      (i) the honours grade awarded; and
      (ii) the subject area(s) of each honours course completed by the graduate;
   (c) for an Undergraduate Diploma awarded with merit, distinction, high distinction or honours, that the Diploma is so conferred.

92A Aegrotat and posthumous awards

The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) may, on the recommendation of the relevant Dean, authorise the conferral of an aegrotat or posthumous award in circumstances involving serious illness or the death of a student.

PART 19 AWARDS WITH HONOURS

93 Admission to an award course with honours

(1) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an appended honours course, if the student has:
   (a) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
   (b) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
   (c) met any additional requirements set by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions for admission to honours in the course.

(2) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an integrated honours course:
(a) if the student has:
   (i) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
   (ii) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate units of study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
   (iii) met any additional requirements set out by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions or award course resolutions; or

(b) from the commencement of the award course if:
   (i) the Academic Board has approved the award course as one that meets the learning outcomes of an AQF Level 8 honours qualification; and
   (ii) the course resolutions or award course resolutions incorporate explicit requirements for completion of the award course that are consistent with the awarding of honours as prescribed in this Policy.

(3) A student who is enrolled in an appended honours course:
   (a) may not graduate with the pass degree; and
   (b) may not enrol part-time, except in accordance with the course resolutions or award course resolutions.

(4) A student who fails or discontinues an appended honours year may not re-enrol in it, except with the approval of the Dean.

94 Principles for the award of honours

The principles for the University's offering degrees with honours are:

(a) the award of honours is reserved to indicate special proficiency;
(b) the University offers courses leading to a degree with honours to provide research training opportunities to students who demonstrate special proficiency and the ability to undertake further study and research within a discipline;
(c) a course leading to a degree with honours is intended to attract and stimulate students of high ability;
(d) honours awards are in classes, to recognise and reward outstanding academic ability;
(e) an honours course:
   (i) will provide the foundations of research training within the relevant discipline; and
   (ii) will have an identifiable, discipline-specific individual research, scholarly or creative component that is allocated at least 12 credit points; and
(f) the assessment tasks for research units of study will comprise, at least in part, a dissertation.
Qualifying for an award with honours

(1) To qualify for an award with honours, a student must meet the requirements set out in the faculty resolutions and course resolutions.

(2) The award of a degree with honours, and the grade of honours awarded, will be assessed and calculated according to two mechanisms:
   (a) for appended honours - by an honours mark; or
   (b) for integrated honours - by a grade average calculated across at least 48 credit points of study.

(3) Each faculty will publish the grading systems and criteria for the award of honours in that faculty.

Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 48+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to:
   (a) an appended honours course; and
   (b) an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using a mark calculated across units of study attracting at least 48 credit points but less than 96 credit points.

(2) A student who achieves a mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range …</th>
<th>will be awarded honours …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark &lt; 80</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Third Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours.

Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 96+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using an honours mark calculated across units of study that together have at least 96 credit points.
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(2) A student who achieves an honours mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range …</th>
<th>must be awarded honours …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) The course resolutions for a course may require a student to achieve higher honours marks for particular classes of honours.

(4) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours.

**PART 20 UNIVERSITY MEDALS**

98 Qualifying for a University Medal

A student who has qualified for a Bachelor degree with honours with an outstanding academic record throughout the award course may be eligible for the award of a University Medal.

99 Awarding University Medals

(1) Faculties may signal outstanding achievement in a Bachelor degree course with honours by awarding a University Medal to one or more students.

(2) Faculties will discuss and determine the normal minimum levels of academic performance required for the award of a University Medal, using broadly comparable University-wide criteria based on the conditions for the award of First Class Honours.

(3) The award of a University Medal will not be based solely on a numerical mark or faculty formula. A minimum criterion will be regarded as a necessary but not sufficient consideration for the award of a University Medal.

(4) Honours students entering the University with advanced standing will be assessed for University Medals in the same way as students undertaking their entire award course within the University.

**PART 21 TERMINATION OF CANDIDATURE**

100 Failure to complete within time limits
The candidature of a student who has not completed the course requirements for an award course within the period prescribed under clause 4.2 of the Coursework Rule, is by force of this clause, automatically terminated at the end of that period.

**Note 53**: The candidature of a student who discontinues his or her enrolment in a course during his or her first year of enrolment in the course, without prior permission from the Dean to re-enrol, is automatically terminated in accordance with subclause 56(3) of this Policy.

**Note 54**: The candidature of a student who does not enrol for any unit of study for two consecutive semesters is automatically terminated in accordance with subclause 58(3) of this Policy.

### 101 Termination of candidature where disqualifying circumstances exist

(1) Subject to this clause, the Registrar may terminate the candidature of a student if one or more of the following disqualifying circumstances exist:

(a) the student, or someone acting on the student's behalf, made a material misrepresentation in applying for admission to an award course;

(b) the student failed to disclose to the University a fact or circumstance material to its decision to admit the person to an award course; or

(c) the student was admitted to an award course on the basis of a degree, diploma or certificate obtained wholly or partly by fraud, academic misconduct or other dishonesty.

(2) Before terminating the candidature of a student in accordance with this clause, the Registrar must give the student written notice of the proposed termination of candidature.

(3) The notice must:

(a) set out the basis on which it is proposed that the student's candidature be terminated;

(b) inform the student that he or she may make written submissions to the Registrar on the proposed termination of candidature, and by when to make such submissions;

(c) inform the student that the Registrar will determine, after considering any submissions from the student, whether to terminate the student's candidature.

(4) The period for making submissions under subclause (3) must be at least 20 working days.

(5) The Registrar will:

(a) consider the student's submissions within 10 working days of receiving them; and

(b) take all reasonable measures to finalise the process as soon as practicable.

(6) If the Registrar is satisfied, after considering any submissions made by the student, that:

(a) the disqualifying circumstances specified in the notice exist; and

(b) because of those disqualifying circumstances the student's candidature in the award course should be terminated;
the Registrar will terminate the student’s candidature in the award course.

(7) The Registrar will notify the student of the decision in writing, including reasons, as soon as possible after it is made.

(8) If the Registrar terminates the candidature of a student in accordance with this clause:

(a) any liability of the student to pay fees or charges to the University is not affected in relation to the course; and

(b) the student is not entitled to a refund, repayment or set off of any fee or other amount paid in relation to the course; and

(c) the student will not be eligible for admission to any course at the University for a period of three years from the date of termination of candidature.

Note 55: A decision made by the Registrar in accordance with this clause is not an ‘academic decision’ and cannot be appealed to the Student Appeals Body in accordance with the University of Sydney (Student Appeals against Academic Decisions) Rule 2006.

102 Rescissions, replacements and transitional arrangements

(1) This document replaces the following, which are rescinded as from the date of commencement of this document:

(a) Admission: Advanced Standing, Credit and Exemption Policy, which commenced on 15 April 1998;

(b) Admission to Undergraduate Courses Policy, which commenced on 16 October 2002;

(c) Assessment Policy 2011, which commenced on 9 November 2011;

(d) Academic Board Policy on Awards with Honours, which commenced on 13 August 2003;

(e) Postgraduate English Language Requirements Policy, which commenced on 24 August 2011; and

(f) Student Academic Progression Policy, which commenced on 13 December 2006.

(2) A reference in any course resolution, faculty resolution or policy to any document rescinded by this Policy should be construed as a reference to this Policy.
SCHEDULE 1

Common Result Grades

(1) The Academic Board has adopted a set of grades that are common to all undergraduate and postgraduate courses that award merit grades for coursework, as set out in the following table.

(2) Learning outcomes for units of study are reported in one of two ways:
   (a) by grade and mark: the mark and grade must correspond as indicated in the Schedule below;
   (b) by grade only: the grade should be either Satisfied Requirements (SR) or Failed Requirements (FR).

(3) Learning outcomes for a unit of study must be reported in the same way for all students enrolled in the unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current grade</th>
<th>New grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mark Range</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Use in WAM</th>
<th>Impact on Progression/at risk status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Absent fail</td>
<td>Range from 0 to 49</td>
<td>To be awarded to students who fail to demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard through failure to submit or attend compulsory assessment tasks or to attend classes to the required level. In cases where a student receives some marks but fails the unit through failure to attend or submit a compulsory task, the mark entered shall be the marks awarded by the faculty up to a maximum of 49. This grade should not be used in cases where a student attempts all assessment tasks but fails to achieve a mandated minimum standard in one or more task. In such cases a Fail (FA) grade and a mark less than 50 should be awarded.</td>
<td>Included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Grade Type</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Include in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CRA</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Credit (Aegrotat)</td>
<td>Range from 65 to less than 75</td>
<td>To be awarded in cases where a student is too ill to complete a unit but where the Dean is satisfied the student has demonstrated (on a pro rata basis) the learning outcomes for the unit at a good standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty. May only be awarded by a Dean.</td>
<td>Included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>No mark</td>
<td>To be used when an enrolment is cancelled.</td>
<td>Not included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>Range from 65 to less than 75</td>
<td>To be awarded to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at a good standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty.</td>
<td>Included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Distinction (Aegrotat)</td>
<td>Range from 75 to less than 85</td>
<td>To be awarded in cases where a student is too ill to complete a unit but where the Dean is satisfied the student has demonstrated (on a pro rata basis) the learning outcomes for the unit at a very high standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty. May only be awarded by a Dean.</td>
<td>Included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>Discontinue - fail</td>
<td>No mark</td>
<td>Recorded on external transcript. This applies in cases of discontinuation from the time DC ceases to be automatically available up to the cessation of classes for the unit of study and where a faculty has not determined that a grade of DC is warranted.</td>
<td>Not included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Distinction</td>
<td>Range from 75 to less than 85</td>
<td>To be awarded to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at a very high standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty.</td>
<td>Included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DNF</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Discontinued not to count as failure</td>
<td>No mark</td>
<td>Recorded on external transcript. This result applies automatically where a student discontinues after the Census Date but before the end of the seventh week of the Semester (or before half of the unit of study has run in the case of units of study which are not Semester-length). A Faculty may determine that the result of DC is warranted after this date if the student has made out a special case based on illness or misadventure (see clause 14(13)(a)(vi) of the Assessment Procedures 2011).</td>
<td>Not included in WAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td>0-49</td>
<td>To be awarded to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, fail to demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard established by the faculty. This grade, with corresponding mark, should also be used in cases where a student fails to achieve a mandated standard in a compulsory assessment, thereby failing to demonstrate the learning outcomes to a satisfactory standard. In such cases the student will receive the mark awarded by the faculty up to a maximum of 49.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR*</td>
<td>Failed Requirements</td>
<td>No mark</td>
<td>To be awarded in units of study where student achievement is measured either as Satisfied Requirements or Failed Requirements only, without a mark to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, fail to demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard established by the faculty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDA</td>
<td>High Distinction (Aegrotat)</td>
<td>85-100</td>
<td>To be awarded in cases where a student is too ill to complete a unit but where the Dean is satisfied the student has demonstrated (on a pro rata basis) the learning outcomes for the unit at an exceptional standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars for the unit established by the faculty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>High distinction</td>
<td>85-100</td>
<td>To be awarded to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an exceptional standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>No mark</td>
<td>This is a temporary result which is used when examiners have grounds (such as illness or misadventure) for seeking further information or for considering additional work from the student before confirming the final result. Except in special cases approved by the Academic Board, this result will be converted to a normal permanent passing or failing grade either: (a) by the Dean at the review of examination results pursuant to clause 15 of the Assessment Procedures; or (b) automatically to an AF grade by the third week of the immediately subsequent academic session or in the case of Semester 2, by mid-February. No impact on progression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Pass (Aegrotat)</td>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>To be awarded in cases where a student is too ill to complete a unit but where the Dean is satisfied the student has demonstrated (on a pro rata basis) the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty. May only be awarded by a Dean.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>To count as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Range from 50 to less than 65 To be awarded to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty.</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>To count as pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>SA*</td>
<td>Satisfied Requirements (Aegrotat)</td>
<td>No mark To be awarded in cases where a student is too ill to complete a unit but where the Dean is satisfied the student has demonstrated the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty. No mark is awarded with this grade. May only be awarded by a Dean.</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>To count as pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RINC</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>Result incomplete</td>
<td>No mark This is a temporary result which is used in cases where a result will remain incomplete for longer periods than allowed for the IC result, for example, in Honours programs that run overtime, or for exchange students.</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>SR*</td>
<td>Satisfied requirements</td>
<td>No mark To be awarded in units of study where student achievement is measured as a pass or fail only without a mark to students who, in their performance in assessment tasks, demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars established by the faculty.</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>To count as pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>UCN</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Unit of Study Continuing</td>
<td>No mark Used at the end of a semester for units of study which have been approved to extend into a following Semester. This will automatically flag that no final result is required until the end of the last Semester of the unit of study.</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>Progression status to be assessed at the last semester of the unit of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>WD</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>No mark Not recorded on external transcript. This is the result that is used where a student applies to discontinue a unit of study by the published Census Date.</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>No impact on progression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE 2

1 Grade Descriptors for Honours awards

These descriptors are intended to apply to all Honours awards at the University of Sydney. They have been designed to foster collective thinking about standards between disciplines, to assist students, supervisors, staff and disciplinary groups to calibrate their own internal, professional or disciplinary standards with those applied across the University and to promote discussion about standards among students, staff, supervisors and faculties.

2 The University medal

(1) University medal candidates will have produced an outstanding research thesis that has been awarded a Class 1 Honours. Additionally, candidates will have demonstrated an exceptional level of achievement across the whole degree program.

(2) Knowledge: A student who receives First Class Honours and the University Medal will demonstrate commanding breadth and depth of knowledge of the discipline studied, together with a strong understanding of its context and insight into problem solving and into the potential for further inquiry.

(3) Skills: A student who receives First Class Honours and the University Medal will demonstrate:

(a) advanced skills that equip him or her to function and solve advanced problems within a profession or discipline under supervision and with autonomy and insight;

(b) a thorough proficiency in the methods, techniques and subject matter appropriate to the field or fields studied and insight into their application;

(c) strong skills and insight in the interpretation of results, data and appropriate information sources;

(d) a capacity for illuminating critical analysis and self-evaluation;

(e) outstanding skills in written and oral communication and in organisation and documentation;

(f) exceptionally innovative, creative and imaginative thinking; and

(g) cognitive and technical skills to carry out a research project with a high level of autonomy.

(4) Application of Knowledge and Skills: A student who receives First Class Honours and the University Medal will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills by demonstrating the following characteristics:

(a) competently defending, where appropriate, his or her research within the chosen academic discipline at an expert level;

(b) autonomy in thinking and motivation;

(c) imagination, originality and insight;

(d) comprehensive and extensive critical analysis and synthesis at an advanced level;
(e) insightful analysis of results and the potential and limitations of their study;
(f) a high degree of intellectual consistency; and
(g) coherent and rigorous design and meticulous execution of projects.

(5) Graduates at this level will demonstrate the capacity to pursue further study, and show the capacity for independent research at doctoral level.

3 First Class Honours

(1) **Knowledge**: A student who receives First Class Honours will demonstrate breadth and/or depth of knowledge of the discipline(s) studied at a very high level, and the ability to place their work in context, appreciating the implications and broader significance.

(2) **Skills**: A student who receives First Class Honours will demonstrate:

(a) advanced or professional skills that equip him or her to function and solve advanced problems within a profession or discipline under supervision and with autonomy;
(b) a very high level of proficiency in the methods, techniques and subject matter appropriate to the field or fields studied;
(c) a very high level of skill in the interpretation of results, data and appropriate information sources;
(d) a high degree of sophistication in critical analysis and self-evaluation;
(e) outstanding written and oral expression, organisation, format and documentation;
(f) where relevant, highly innovative, creative and imaginative thinking; and
(g) a very high level of cognitive and technical skills to carry out a research project with considerable independence.

(3) **Application of knowledge and skills**: A student who receives First Class Honours will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills by demonstrating the following characteristics:

(a) significant independence in thinking and motivation;
(b) significant evidence of originality and insight;
(c) comprehensive critical analysis and synthesis at an advanced level;
(d) a skilful treatment and analysis of unexpected outcomes or inconsistent results, and/or recognition of some limitation of the methodology, if relevant; and
(e) a well-developed logical approach to designing appropriate research strategies.

(4) Graduates at this level will demonstrate the capacity to pursue further study, and show the capacity for independent research at doctoral level.
4 **Second Class Honours, Division I**

(1) **Knowledge:** A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division I, will have advanced knowledge in the discipline of study and sound knowledge of the research principles and methodologies appropriate to the field of study.

(2) **Skills:** A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division I, will demonstrate:

(a) advanced or professional skills that equip him or her to function and solve problems within a profession or discipline under supervision and with independence;

(b) a high level of proficiency in the methods, techniques and subject matter of the field studied;

(c) a high level of cognitive skills to interpret results, data and other information sources;

(d) mastery of the modes of expression appropriate to the field of study, enabling fluent and succinct presentation of knowledge; and

(e) technical skills to plan a solid research project under supervision and execute it with some independence.

(3) **Application of knowledge and skills:** A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division I, will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills by demonstrating the following characteristics:

(a) design and plan a solid piece of research and scholarship;

(b) critically evaluate and synthesise material; and

(c) contextualize his or her work within the broader discipline of study.

(4) Graduates at this level will demonstrate the capacity to pursue further study, and pursue independent research at postgraduate level.

5 **Second Class Honours, Division II**

(1) **Knowledge:** A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division II will have advanced knowledge of an area of, or a problem in, a discipline in sufficient depth to understand the range of scope of a defined topic, have a broad grasp of its theoretical underpinnings and understand the general range of principal issues facing that area of the discipline.

(2) **Skills:** A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division II will demonstrate:

(a) advanced or professional skills that equip him or her to understand problems within a profession or discipline under supervision and with some independence;

(b) a broad understanding of the methods, techniques and subject matter of the field studied and some proficiency;

(c) advanced cognitive skills to understand the interpretation of results and data and the ability to apply this understanding with supervision;

(d) effective skills in the modes of expression appropriate to the field of study; and
(e) technical skills to contribute to the planning of a research project and to execute it with direct supervision.

(3) Application of knowledge and skills: A student who receives Second Class Honours, Division II, will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills by demonstrating the following characteristics:

(a) understand and be able to apply methodologies relevant to complex problems in their area of investigation under supervision and have demonstrated some independence of thought and autonomy; and

(b) with the guidance of a supervisor, draw valid conclusions based on investigation, observation and/or experiment, and understand the scope and limitations of those conclusions.

(4) Graduates at this level will demonstrate the capacity to pursue further study and after further research training, demonstrate the potential for independent research.

6 Third Class Honours

(1) Knowledge: A student who receives Third Class Honours will have advanced knowledge of an area of a discipline and understand relevant theory.

(2) Skills: A student who receives Third Class Honours will have

(a) skills that equip him or her to understand problems;
(b) some understanding of the methods, techniques and subject matter of the field studied;
(c) cognitive skills to understand the interpretation of results and data with supervision;
(d) communication skills that are able to articulate a problem and an approach taken to its solution; and
(e) technical skills to participate in the planning and execution of a research project with direct supervision.

(3) Application of knowledge and skills: A student who receives Third Class Honours will demonstrate the application of knowledge and skills by demonstrating the following characteristics:

(a) understand and be able to apply methodologies relevant to complex problems in their area of investigation under supervision.
(b) with the guidance of a supervisor, graduates will be able to understand and draw conclusions based on investigation, observation and/or experiment.

(4) Graduates at this level, after undertaking further research training, will demonstrate the capacity to pursue further supervised study.

7 Fail

(1) A fail to achieve Honours indicates that the student has not demonstrated the learning outcomes for any of the classes of Honours available.

(2) Students who do not achieve Honours may be awarded a pass degree provided that they have demonstrated the learning outcomes for the degree.
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### RECOMMENDATION

1. The Committee note the model for honours and double honours in combined degrees with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies.

2. The Committee flag an intention to consider moving appended honours programs for stand-alone Liberal Studies into the proposed combined degree model.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**Honours**

This paper follows on from discussion in the Generalist Undergraduate Degree Working Party of SEG on the award of honours on the basis of an embedded honours course in combined degrees with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies. The program would also be available to students in stand-alone Liberal Studies degrees who met the same threshold by means of transfer into the combined degree. Honours would be awarded to the combined degree program as a whole, not to one or other of the component degrees. Double honours would be available in the combined award on completion of an appended additional year over and above the embedded honours program in the combined B/BAS.

**Transfer and credit**

Notes on transfer and credit into the B/BAS combined award are supplied for information. This issue is relevant to understanding the recommendation on how honours would work in the combined B/BAS degree for students transferring from other institutions.

### ISSUES

**Honours**

Part 9 of the Coursework Policy contains the policy framework and requirements for embedded and integrated honours in university awards. Under the Coursework Policy, honours is normally attached to an award course. In the case of the combined Bachelor/Bachelor of Advanced Studies the honours would be attached to the combined program with the discipline in which honours was taken indicated by specified nomenclature conventions as set out below. In the combined B/BAS model, the administering faculty will be the faculty offering the primary Liberal Studies degree. Honours may only be taken in a discipline in which a major has been completed. Under the combined B/BAS framework, it is possible for honours to be taken in the primary major (that is a major in the primary Liberal Studies degree) or in another faculty (in the area of the second major). In such cases the faculty offering the honours component would retain control over admission and award standards. The administering faculty would simply administer the candidature and certify that requirements for the combined award had been met according to the recommendation of the faculty offering the honours course. A further issue is the award of University medals which is normally done by a faculty committee. In the case of the B/BAS, it is proposed that the faculty offering the honours course, would make a recommendation on the award of honours with the final decision being taken by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.
It is proposed:

1. The Honours component.
   Students complete an embedded program in the combined degree with the BAS of 36-48 credit points, comprising ≥ 36 ≥ 48 credit points of honours work at 4000-level, including an honours research project of 12 – 36 credit points and honours Coursework (at 4000-level) of 12 – 36 credit points.

2. Admission to Honours.
   The relevant administering Dean for the student’s candidature (e.g., the Dean of Science for a student in the Bachelor of Science/Bachelor of Advanced Studies) admits eligible students to honours in the combined degree on the recommendation of the Faculty offering the relevant honours course (which may be in a different faculty).

3. The Honours Mark.
   Honours in appended and integrated models is currently assessed on the basis of an honours mark of 48 CP (or higher for integrated). It is proposed that for embedded honours components in combined degrees with the BAS, faculties base the honours mark on all units (36-48 credit points) comprising the honours component (namely, 36-48 credit points of honours work at 4000 level including an honours research project of 12 – 36 CP and honours Coursework (at 4000-level) of 12 – 36 credit points.). The current minimum size of the research project is 12 CP.

4. Certification of completion of requirements and class of award
   The honours mark and class of honours award is determined by the faculty that offers the honours course who may also make a recommendation to the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies for a University medal. The faculty administering a student’s candidature (which may not necessarily be the same as the faculty offering the honours course), formally certifies completion of requirements on the basis of the honours mark determined by the faculty that offers the honours course. The administering faculty would also award University Medals determined by the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.

5. Class of Award
   First Class Honours 80-100
   Second Class Division 1 75-<80
   Second Class Division 2 70-<75
   Third Class Honours 65-<70

6. Students taking honours in a stand-alone Liberal Studies degree have the option of transferring to the combined B/BAS (Honours) degree. A topic for discussion is whether and under what time frame appended honours should continued to be offered for students in stand-alone Liberal Studies degrees. It is proposed that for students entering in 2018, the normal honours path be through enrolment in, or transfer to, the combined degree and that the University indicate to such students that it will not guarantee offering the appended honours program after 2020, but it will offer honours via the embedded course in the combined degree program.

7. Students transferring to Sydney after a completed Bachelor degree at another institution to take an honours year would take appended honours while it continues to be offered. If the University decides to discontinue appended honours in Liberal Studies degrees from 2020, such students would be awarded a stand-alone Bachelor of Advanced Studies with Honours in the area of the honours component but would have to complete all requirements for the BAS (other than those for which credit is given) (including a second major and OLE subjects).

8. Nomenclature. Where the Honours is awarded in a major and that major is available in the primary Bachelor degree (e.g. BSc in the combined BSc/BAS), the nomenclature should indicate the honours discipline within brackets attached to that award (e.g., Bachelor of Science (Mathematics Honours)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies). Where the honours discipline is not part of the primary Bachelor degree, the honours discipline should be attached in brackets to the Bachelor of Advanced Studies (e.g., Bachelor of Science/Bachelor of Liberal Studies (Philosophy Honours)). Double honours should also be available on completion of an additional year (e.g., Bachelor of Science (Mathematics Honours)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies (Philosophy Honours) or Bachelor of Arts (History Honours)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies (English Honours).
Notes on Transferring students and credit

The University's policy on credit and transfer is set out in Part 11 of the Coursework Policy 2014.

In that section, clause 44 states that an undergraduate student must complete a minimum of one year and 48 credit points at the University of Sydney.

There is a further limitation on the awarding of credit for a completed degree. 44 (7) states that students applying for credit on the basis of a completed award must complete a minimum of two years (or Part time equivalent) at the University of Sydney. However, there is an (apparent) dispensation from this requirement for embedded programs including embedded honours programs.

45 (4) relating to credit in embedded programs including embedded honours programs, allows a student who has completed a pass award to receive credit for completed units and enrol in the same degree at honours level. In the case of appended honours, the honours course has been expressed as a separate award with its own admission criteria. Thus students entering an appended honours course on the basis of a completed award from another institution are not technically seen as transferring courses but rather as being admitted to a course.

There is a possible anomaly in these rules in connection with transfer to the B/BAS and B/BAS (Honours) on the basis of a completed award from another institution. A student with a completed single Bachelor award from another university who wished to gain admission to the B/BAS with or without honours would, under 44 (7) be required to undertake two years at the University of Sydney unless the B/BAS were treated as an embedded program. It is suggested that students seeking to enter either the B/BAS or B/BAS (Honours) on the basis of a completed Bachelor degree both be treated as students seeking entry into an embedded program.

Transfer into the B/BAS

1. For students enrolled in a liberal studies degree at the University of Sydney.
   Transfer into the B/BAS combined award would normally be unproblematic up until the end of 2nd year although students will need to identify their second major and outline how it is to be achieved. Transfer at the end of third year may require the completion of the second major (if not already done) in addition to the 4th year requirements (for both pass and honours) and thus may require the completion of more than 48 credit points.

2. For students enrolled in a liberal studies degree at another university.
   Students will need to complete 12 credit points of subjects from the Open Learning Environment, identify first and second majors and have a plan for how they will be achieved. Associate Deans may give credit towards both majors and the degree core on the basis of prior study. Note that the second major may involve consideration by an Associate Dean from outside the administering faculty. Students transferring from a comparable University up to the end of the second year will, in many cases, be able to complete after a further two years study. Students transferring at the end of the third year are likely to have to complete a further 60 credit points (4th year plus OLE) or more (depending on prior learning and the structure of majors at the institution from which they are transferring).

3. For students with a completed Bachelor degree from the University of Sydney or another university
   It is suggested that such students be treated as students with a completed embedded award (under 45(2) of the Coursework Rule) thus exempting them from the requirement to complete a further two years if the first degree is not from the University of Sydney. If this is adopted their case would be identical to students transferring at the end of third year under 1 above. They may need to complete a second major and also complete OLE subjects if the degree was done under old resolutions.

Transfer students who wish to take honours can only be considered for honours after the completion of 144 credit points. Students who have completed less than 144 credit points should transfer according to 1 or 2 above.
4. For students enrolled in a stand-alone liberal studies degree at the University of Sydney wishing to take honours in the combined B/BAS.

Students will need to have completed a major in the subject in which they wish to take honours and meet the WAM threshold specified in the degree resolutions (a minimum of 65 or as specified by the faculty) for the faculty in which honours is to be taken. Admission will be subject to approval by the faculty where honours is to be taken. Students may also need to complete a second major and thus may need to complete more than a further 48 credit points to complete the degree as in the case of 1 above. As mentioned earlier, to be discussed is whether and under what time frame appended honours would continue to be offered for this group.

Note: the suggested wording of 93 (3) (c) in Attachment 1 has been designed to allow that students need not have completed the second major at the point of being admitted to the embedded honours course. 98 (5) ensures they have met this requirement by the point of graduation.

5. For students with a completed liberal studies degree at another university.

It is assumed that any student transferring from another institution and wishing to take honours will do so on the basis of a completed qualification (if incomplete, it will be treated as in 2 above). There are technically three options for these students

5(a) Transfer into the B/BAS on the basis of a completed embedded award. Such students would need to demonstrate equivalent standing or undertake further study to meet requirements for OLE, 2nd major, degree core and the embedded honours course and would be likely to be required to do a minimum of a further 60 credit points of study and more if a second major needed to be completed. They would graduate with a combined honours degree from Sydney in addition to their completed degree at their previous university (eg. BA (Melbourne), BA/BAS (History Honours) (Sydney).

5(b) Transfer into a stand-alone version of the BAS (Honours) only available for students transferring on the basis of completed requirements from another university. The further requirements would be identical to 5(a) (completion of OLE, 2nd major and any other requirements not completed at prior institution). The difference would be they would graduate with a BAS (Honours) in addition to previous award (eg. BA (Melbourne), BAS (History Honours) (Sydney).

5(c) Transfer into existing appended honours. Students would complete the existing honours component (which could be adjusted to align with that in the BAS). Students would be required to complete 48 credit points but would not be required to meet requirements for the BAS (OLE and second Major). They would graduate with an honours degree (eg. BA (Melbourne), BA (Hons) (Sydney).

The GUD advised that 5 (a) embedded double counting of work towards credentials in an unacceptable way. 5 (b) and 5 (c) could be pursued in parallel until such time as the University might discontinue embedded honours at which point 5(b) would be the only option.

CONSULTATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

This proposal has been considered by the SEG Education Committee and will be considered by SEG. Following endorsement by the ASPC, it will also be noted by the Undergraduate Studies Committee then forwarded to the Academic Board for approval. A set of degree resolutions for the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, including resolutions relating to honours, that is consistent with the revised Coursework Policy will be brought to CCPC, SEG Education, SEG, the Undergraduate Studies Committee and the Academic Board in August 2016 for approval by the end of the year, with the intention to introduce the new degrees in 2018. This recommendation provides the policy framework for the development of those programs.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – Updated amendments to Part 19 of the Coursework Policy
Attachment 2 – relevant excerpts on credit from Part 11 of the Coursework Policy
PART 19 AWARDS WITH HONOURS

93 Admission to an award course with honours

(1) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an appended honours course, if the student has:
   (a) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
   (b) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
   (c) met any additional requirements set by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions for admission to honours in the course.

(2) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department, a Dean may admit a student to an integrated honours course:
   (a) if the student has:
      (i) met the requirements for a pass degree in the course;
      (ii) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, calculated from at least 48 credit points of undergraduate units of study (excluding any 1000 level units if the course is available on a full-time basis to high school graduates); and
      (iii) met any additional requirements set out by the faculty resolutions or course resolutions;
   or
   (b) from the commencement of the award course if:
      (i) the Academic Board has approved the award course as one that meets the learning outcomes of an AQF Level 8 honours qualification; and
      (ii) the course resolutions incorporate explicit requirements for completion of the award course that are consistent with the awarding of honours as prescribed in this Policy.

(3) On the recommendation of the relevant Head of Department or Heads of Departments that offer and administer the proposed honours course/s, a Dean may admit a student to honours or double honours in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, if the student has:
   (a) completed 144 credit points in the combined degree program, a Liberal Studies undergraduate degree program at the University of Sydney, or a program of study deemed by the relevant Head/s of Department/s to be the equivalent of such study; and
   (b) achieved a weighted average of at least 65, as specified in the degree resolutions, in the first three years (144 credit points) of the combined degree; and
   (c) completed requirements for a major in the intended area of honours specialisation/s, or completed study of equivalent depth in the intended area as set out in the degree resolutions; and
   (d) met any additional requirements for admission to the honours course/s set by the faculty or school and approved by the Academic Board.

(4) A student who is enrolled in an appended honours course:
   (a) may not graduate with the pass degree; and
   (b) may not enrol part-time, except in accordance with the course resolutions.
A student who fails or discontinues an appended honours year may not re-enrol in it, except with the approval of the Dean.

94 Principles for the award of honours

The principles for the University’s offering degrees with honours are:

(a) the award of honours is reserved to indicate special proficiency;
(b) the University offers courses leading to a degree with honours to provide research training opportunities to students who demonstrate special proficiency and the ability to undertake further study and research within a discipline;
(c) a course leading to a degree with honours is intended to attract and stimulate students of high ability;
(d) honours awards are in classes, to recognise and reward outstanding academic ability;
(e) an honours course:
   (i) will provide the foundations of research training within the relevant discipline; and
   (ii) will have an identifiable, discipline-specific individual research, scholarly or creative component that is allocated at least 12 credit points; and
(f) the assessment tasks for research units of study will comprise, at least in part, a dissertation.

95 Qualifying for an award with honours

(1) To qualify for an award with honours, a student must meet the requirements set out in the faculty resolutions and course resolutions.

(2) The award of a degree with honours, and the grade of honours awarded, will be assessed and calculated according to two mechanisms:

(a) for appended honours and for honours taken as an embedded component in the Bachelor of Advanced Studies as part of a combined degree - by an honours mark; or
(b) for integrated honours - by a grade average calculated across at least 48 credit points of study.

(3) Each faculty will publish the grading systems and criteria for the award of honours in that faculty.

96 Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 48+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to:

(a) an appended honours course; and
(b) an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using a mark calculated across units of study attracting at least 48 credit points but less than 96 credit points.

(2) A student who achieves a mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.
A student who achieves an honours mark in the range 80 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100 will be awarded honours First Class.

A student who achieves an honours mark in the range 75 ≤ honours mark < 80 will be awarded honours Second Class / Division 1.

A student who achieves an honours mark in the range 70 ≤ honours mark < 75 will be awarded honours Second Class / Division 2.

A student who achieves an honours mark in the range 65 ≤ honours mark < 70 will be awarded honours Third Class.

(3) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours.

97 Determining honours awards for appended honours and integrated honours (using a 96+ credit point average)

(1) This clause applies to an integrated honours course where, under the course resolutions, the conferral of the degree with honours, and the class of honours, is determined using an honours mark calculated across units of study that together have at least 96 credit points.

(2) A student who achieves an honours mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range</th>
<th>must be awarded honours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark &lt; 80</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Third Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) The course resolutions for a course may require a student to achieve higher honours marks for particular classes of honours.

(4) A student who achieves a mark of less than 65 is not awarded honours.

98 Determining honours awards on the basis of an embedded honours component in the Bachelor of Advanced Studies

(1) This clause applies to honours taken as an embedded component in the Bachelor of Advanced Studies as part of a combined degree.

(2) Where a student is undertaking a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, the student may be awarded the combined degree with honours on the basis of completion of an honours component embedded within the Bachelor of Advanced Studies.

(3) The requirements for the embedded honours component in the Bachelor of Advanced Studies will be specified in the combined degree resolutions, and will require the completion of 48 credit points including

(a) 36-48 credit points of 4000-level work,

(b) an Honours Research Project of 12 – 36 credit points included in the 4000-level work; and

(c) Honours coursework of 12-36 credit points.
A student may be awarded double honours in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies on completion of a second honours component.

The requirements for double honours in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies will be the completion of an 48 credit points as set out in (3) above and the completion of the requirements for the combined degree as set out in the degree resolutions.

The honours mark will be calculated according to a method specified in the faculty or school resolutions of the faculty or school offering the honours course and shall be based on results from 36-48 credit points of work as specified in section 3 above.

A student who achieves an honours mark within a range set out in the following table is to be awarded honours in the class set out in the table for that range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A student who achieves an honours mark in the range</th>
<th>will be awarded honours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80 ≤ honours mark ≤ 100</td>
<td>First Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 ≤ honours mark &lt; 80</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70 ≤ honours mark &lt; 75</td>
<td>Second Class / Division 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65 ≤ honours mark &lt; 70</td>
<td>Third Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A student who achieves a mark of less than 70 is not awarded honours.

The honours mark for a student in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies shall be determined by the faculty that administers the honours course in the discipline in which the honours course is taken and the faculty administering a student's candidature shall award honours on the basis of the mark determined by the faculty administering the honours course.

Where a student enrolled in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies is admitted to and completes honours requirements, the name of the honours component would replace the major indicated in brackets next to the appropriate degree in the nomenclature for the combined degree.

(a) Where the completed honours component is normally available in the partner degree to the Bachelor of Advanced Studies the nomenclature for the combined award should indicate the honours component in brackets attached to the partner degree as in the following example: Bachelor of Science (Mathematics Honours)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies (Philosophy).

(b) Where the completed honours component is not normally available in the partner degree to the Bachelor of Advanced Studies, the nomenclature for the combined award should indicate the honours component in brackets attached to the Bachelor of Advanced Studies as in the following example: Bachelor of Science (Mathematics)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies (Philosophy Honours).

(c) Where double honours is completed, the nomenclature for the combined award should indicate the honours component in brackets attached to both awards as in the following example: Bachelor of Science (Mathematics Honours)/Bachelor of Advanced Studies (Philosophy Honours).

PART 20 UNIVERSITY MEDALS 98 Qualifying for a University Medal
A student who has qualified for a Bachelor degree with honours with an outstanding academic record throughout the award course may be eligible for the award of a University Medal.

99 Awarding University Medals

1. (Faculties may signal outstanding achievement in a Bachelor degree course with honours by awarding a University Medal to one or more students.

2. Faculties will discuss and determine the normal minimum levels of academic performance required for the award of a University Medal, using broadly comparable University-wide criteria as approved by the Academic Board based on the conditions for the award of First Class Honours. The award of a University Medal will not be based solely on a numerical mark or faculty formula. A minimum criterion will be regarded as a necessary but not sufficient consideration for the award of a University Medal.

3. Honours students entering the University with advanced standing will be assessed for University Medals in the same way as students undertaking their entire award course within the University.

4. In the case of students who have completed the requirements for Honours as an embedded component in a combined degree with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies:
   1. the faculty that offers the embedded honours component may make a recommendation to award a University Medal to a student after considering the student’s Honours Mark and academic record for the entire combined award to the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies;
   2. The Board of Interdisciplinary Studies will consider all University Medal recommendations for students in a combined award with the Bachelor of Advanced Studies and make recommendations to the relevant administering faculties for candidates for the combined award;
   3. The administering faculties for candidates for the combined award shall award the University Medal according to the recommendation of the Board of Interdisciplinary Studies.
Attachment 2: Excerpts from the Coursework Policy relating to recognition of prior learning credit and transfer.

44 Limits on credit and reduced volume of learning

44 (1) states:

(a) an undergraduate student must complete a minimum of:

1. (i) one year (or part-time equivalent) of the award course at the University; and
2. (ii) 48 credit points of the award course at the University;

44 (7) states:

(7) An Associate Dean may grant a graduate a limited amount of credit for a completed undergraduate course. Subject to this policy and the course resolutions, a graduate who is admitted to candidature for the degree of Bachelor with credit for units of study in the completed course must complete a minimum of two years (or part-time equivalent) in the award course, unless additional credit from an uncompleted course or courses has also been granted.

45 Credit in embedded programs, including embedded honours

Note 30: Faculties have authority to establish embedded programs in closely related academic or professional areas, to establish incrementally higher levels of attainment at Graduate Certificate, Graduate Diploma and Masters level. Faculties may specify in the faculty resolutions conditions for transfer from one award in the embedded program to another.

(1) Students enrolled in an embedded program who have met the conditions for, and elect to, transfer to a longer award course in that embedded program:

(a) may transfer their enrolment to the longer award course; and
(b) will receive credit for all of the units of study completed in the shorter award course, provided that the units of study are approved as a requirement for the longer award course; and
(c) will not be permitted to graduate from the shorter award course.

(2) Subject to the relevant course requirements, graduates of a course in an embedded program who subsequently become candidates for a longer award course in the same embedded program may be granted credit for units of study completed in the shorter award course.

(3) Students enrolled in an embedded program who have completed the requirements for any award course in that embedded program may elect to discontinue their enrolment and graduate from that award course.
(4) A student who has met the requirements for a Bachelor degree at pass level may, subject to the course resolutions:

1. (a) receive credit for completed units of study; and
2. (b) enrol in the same Bachelor degree award course at honours level.