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TWO-DAY-OLD DUCKLINGS INTERACT MORE WITH A BELL DRINKER THAN A NIPPLE DRINKER SUSPENDED ABOVE A TROUGH

G.M. CRONIN¹, K.J. WILLIAMS¹ and J.A. DOWNING¹

Farming ducks for meat production is increasing in Australia. In Europe, the welfare issues associated with intensification of meat duck production were reviewed by Rodenburg et al. (2005), who identified the manner in which water was provided was a potential welfare issue. Specifically, concerns were raised whether ducks require access to ‘open water’ for their welfare, since open water stimulated the performance of preening, dabbling, head-dipping, bathing and swimming (Rodenburg et al., 2005). However, a consequence of water-related behaviours was that more water may be used, resulting in increased spillage and reduced litter quality. Cooper et al. (2002) investigated the behaviour of young ducks provided open water via bell drinkers compared to nipple drinkers. Young ducks had a clear preference for bell drinkers and placed a higher value on wider, deeper drinkers that allowed a greater range of drinker-related activities than nipple drinkers alone. The objective of the present experiment was to investigate the preference of 2-day-old ducks for two water presentation systems, which provided different levels of open water but which, in principle, were constructed using similar water-holding structures that permitted the ducks to sit in a trough.

Six pens of 36 ducklings (Cherry Valley and Grimaud Freres) were continuously video recorded from the time of placement in pens at day-old. The ducklings were restricted to an area of ~3.1 m² within pens measuring 3.0 m x 1.5 m in an environment controlled shed. Lighting was continuous and heating was provided in each pen by an infra-red globe heater suspended 0.6 m above the floor, which was 50 mm deep wood shavings. Feed was available ad libitum from a 40 cm diameter tray and a circular feeder. Water was provided by a bell drinker positioned in the middle of the pen (Multiquip Pty Ltd, Austral, NSW, 13 l water capacity, 35 cm diam) and three nipple drinkers with water catching ‘cups’ about 0.5 m apart, suspended above a trough on one side of the pen. The number of ducklings interacting with the bell drinker and the trough was collated from the digital video record at 5-min intervals for 24 h commencing at 1200 h on the second day of life. Interaction with the water facility was defined as ducklings having their head adjacent to (within 2 cm) or over the bell drinker or trough. The number of ducklings sitting in the bell drinker or trough was also recorded. The data were analysed using a two-sample T-test (paired) in Genstat (Release 11.1 (2008) VSN International Ltd., UK) and the experimental unit was the pen of ducklings.

The likelihood that ducklings were observed at the bell drinker was twice that for the nipple drinker/trough system ……


¹ Faculty of Veterinary Science, The University of Sydney  greg.cronin@sydney.edu.au
Summary

The Australian duck industry has a very specific market requirement, this being for a 2.85 kg bird at 6 weeks of age. The strains of Pekin duck presently used in Australia, the Cherry Valley and Grimaud Frères, have different growth characteristics but both have difficulty meeting this target weight especially in summer. It was considered that by crossing these two strains, hybrid vigour might allow advantages to be gained in growth performance. The present study investigated the performance of the two main strains of Pekin ducks and their reciprocal crosses grown to 6 weeks of age in summer and winter. Ducks were reared following industry practices. The strains and their crosses were bred by PE’S Ducks Pty Ltd, and reared in single sex groups or as mixed sex groups. In summer only one strain reached market weight by 41 days of age. In winter all strains reached market weight by 41 days but the FCR was higher in winter than summer. Males grew to heavier weights than females in both summer and winter but there was no advantage gained by rearing ducks as single sex groups.

I. INTRODUCTION

Because it is a relatively new industry, the amount of information specific to duck production under Australian conditions is limited. At present, two different strains of Pekin duck are used by the Australian industry, the Grimaud Frères (GF) and the Cherry Valley (CV). Both have distinct growth ..........
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