

LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCEDURES 2016

Issued by: Deputy-Vice Chancellor (Education)

Dated: 29 June 2016 (commencing 26 July 2016)

Last amended 4 June 2019 (commencing 1 July 2019)

12 March 2020 (administrative amendments)

8 July 2021 (commencing 12 July 2021)

16 November 2021 (commencing 22 November 2021)

11 April 2022 (administrative amendments)

15 November 2022 (commencing 1 January 2023)

5 May 2023 (administrative amendments)

21 November 2023 (commencing 5 December 2023)

26 March 2024 (commencing 29 March 2024)

Signature:

Name: Professor Joanne Wright

1 Purpose and application

- (1) These procedures are to give effect to the [Learning and Teaching Policy](#) (“the policy”).
- (2) These procedures apply to the learning and teaching in coursework award courses.

2 Commencement

These procedures commence on 26 July 2016.

3 Interpretation

- (1) Words and phrases used in these procedures and not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings they have in the policy.

Note: See clause 6 of the policy.

(2) In these procedures:

change	in relation to an award course or unit of study, includes an amendment to, or deletion of, the award course or unit of study.
change requiring ESOS reporting	<p>includes, in relation to any CRICOS registered course:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creation of a new CRICOS registered course; • cancellation of an existing CRICOS registered course; • suspension of an existing CRICOS registered course; • change to a course title; • changes to a work-based component; • change to the location of a course or delivery site, including adding a new delivery site; • change to the duration of the course • closure or de-registration of a campus delivery site.
Compliance Unit	means the unit in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) portfolio responsible for compliance with the Education Services for Overseas Students (ESOS) framework.
CRICOS	means the Commonwealth Register of Institutions and Courses for Overseas Students, which is maintained by the Australian Department of Education, Skills and Employment.
Curriculum and Quality Unit	means the unit in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) portfolio responsible for overseeing curriculum management, quality, information and systems across the University.
ESOS Agency	means a Commonwealth agency or department responsible for overseeing the Education Services for Overseas Students (ESOS) Framework.
major curriculum initiatives	<p>means any of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • new award courses not currently offered by the University; • new streams within an existing or new award course; • a new delivery location, including offering a course or stream at a campus where it was not previously offered; • dual degrees constituting a new combined course program. <p>Note: See Dual and Joint Degree Policy.</p>
Regulatory Affairs (TEQSA) Unit	means the team in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) Portfolio responsible for the University's compliance with the Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) .
SCPC	means the University Executive Strategic Course Portfolio Committee.



SFS	means Sydney Future Students, which is the unit within the Vice President (External Engagement) Portfolio responsible for recruiting and admitting domestic and international students across all programs and courses.
Sydney Student	means the University's online student administration system.
Sydney Research Seminars	has the meaning given in the policy .
Table A	means a list, specified in award course resolutions, of units of study, majors, minors and streams available to be taken in an award course.
unit of study master file	means the central computerised repository of details of all units of study offered by the University in a given year, which is compiled and maintained by the office of the Executive Director, Student Administration Services.
USS	means Unit of Study Survey.

4 Process for approving new or changed courses

- (1) No new or changed course may be advertised or offered until approval or preliminary approval has been obtained, as specified in this clause.
 - (a) It is the responsibility of the relevant Deans and faculty managers to ensure that necessary approvals are obtained in good time to meet any applicable external or internal deadlines.

Note: Meeting schedules are available on the relevant committee websites
[Academic Board meeting dates](#);
[Academic Board committee dates](#);
[SCPC meeting dates](#);
[UE meeting dates](#).
 - (b) Key dates include:
 - (i) cut off dates for notifying Year 10 students of changes that may affect HSC subject selection;
 - (ii) cut-off date for the Universities Admissions Centre Guide for admissions in the subsequent calendar year;
 - (iii) deadline for publication of the faculty handbook for the subsequent year; and
 - (iv) finalisation date for the units of study master file for the subsequent year.
- (2) The Academic Board may provide a preliminary approval for new or changed courses before the required endorsements are obtained if the new or changed course may affect students' subject choices for Year 11 and Year 12 (for example, the establishment of a pre-requisite).
- (3) Faculties proposing new or changed courses must provide notice of the proposed change to any other faculty or school which might be affected by it **before** submitting a proposal (as appropriate).



- (4) Faculties wishing to introduce a major curriculum initiative must:
 - (a) liaise with Finance, Advanced Analytics, Planning and Enterprise Data, Curriculum and Quality and Sydney Future Students;
 - (b) prepare a [Course Concept and Business Case Part I](#); and
 - (c) submit that document to the UE SCPC for endorsement.
- (5) If the Course Concept and Business Case Part I is endorsed:
 - (a) the Associate Director, Commercial Advisory will convene a working group to develop a [Course Concept and Business Case Part II - Full Financial Analysis](#); and
 - (b) the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) Portfolio will convene a working group to develop a [New Academic Offering Form](#).
- (6) The faculty must submit the [Course Concept and Business Case Part II](#) to the UE SCPC for endorsement.
 - (a) If the UE SCPC endorses the [Course Concept and Business Case Part II](#) it will recommend that UE approve it.
- (7) The faculty academic lead must submit the [New Academic Offering Form](#) to the Faculty Education Committee and Faculty Board for endorsement.
 - (a) If endorsed by the Faculty Board, the faculty must submit the form to the Undergraduate Studies Committee or Graduate Studies Committee, as appropriate, for endorsement.
- (8) The Undergraduate Studies Committee or Graduate Studies will review the [New Academic Offering Form](#) proposal and, if appropriate, recommend that the Academic Board approve it.

Note: [Course proposal forms](#) are available from the [Academic Board SharePoint site](#).
- (9) Proposals for changed courses must include details of transitional arrangements to ensure that students already enrolled in the course are not disadvantaged.
- (10) In considering proposals for new or amended courses, the chairs of committees whose endorsement is sought may form small working parties to consider proposals and report on them.
- (11) Faculties wishing to:
 - (a) introduce a new curriculum component;
 - (b) make a major or minor curriculum amendment (other than a major curriculum initiative);
 - (c) discontinue a course or curriculum component; or
 - (d) suspend a course or stream;must follow the processes specified on the Academic Board's [Curriculum Approval Process and Forms SharePoint site](#).
- (12) For major curriculum initiatives, Faculty Curriculum Managers must consult the Compliance Unit about any proposed changes requiring ESOS reporting after obtaining UE SCPC endorsement of the *Course Concept and Business Case Part I*.
 - (a) Consultation may take place through working groups or by direct contact.

Note: See the [Curriculum Approval Process and Forms](#) SharePoint site for further information. Faculties should refer to the [Faculty Checklist: CRICOS Updates](#).



- (13) For other curriculum amendments, the Faculty Curriculum Manager must inform the Compliance Unit of any proposed changes requiring ESOS reporting before the changes are submitted to the Undergraduate Studies Committee or Graduate Studies Committee.

Note: Faculties should liaise with the Compliance Unit with significant lead time before the Academic Board considers an application to ensure that other regulatory compliance requirements under the ESOS Framework will be met.

- (14) Once approved by the Academic Board:
- (a) the Faculty Curriculum Manager must notify the Compliance Unit of all approved changes requiring ESOS reporting; and
 - (b) the Compliance Unit must submit the changes to the relevant ESOS Agency at least 30 days before they come into effect.

5 Matters to be considered in relation to proposals for new or changed courses

- (1) Decision makers must take the following matters into consideration before endorsing or approving a new award course or changes to an existing award course:
- (a) the academic need for, and merit of, the proposed course or change;
 - (b) the aims of the course, including how it will meet faculty and University goals;
 - (c) whether, and how, the proposed course or change will maximise internal collaborations;
 - (d) the learning outcomes, and the effectiveness of plans for their development and assessment;
 - (e) alignment of the learning outcomes with the graduate qualities, and the effectiveness of plans for developing and assessing achievement of the graduate qualities;
 - (f) the extent and effectiveness of consultation undertaken with relevant faculties and schools, and where appropriate, external accreditation bodies;
 - (g) consistency with University policies and procedures, and any applicable external requirements;
 - (h) potential resource impacts, including:
 - (i) workload implications;
 - (ii) financial sustainability;
 - (iii) impact on University libraries;
 - (iv) impact on information and communications technology;
 - (v) impact on physical spaces and learning environments; and
 - (vi) impact on resources of other faculties, schools and departments;
 - (i) the availability and appropriateness of mechanisms for evaluating and, if necessary improving:
 - (i) quality;
 - (ii) delivery; and

- (iii) academic outcomes.

6 Process for approving new or changed units of study

- (1) No new or changed unit of study may be advertised or offered until approval has been obtained, as specified in this clause.
- (2) Deans and faculty managers are responsible for obtaining necessary approvals in good time to meet any applicable external or internal deadlines.
- Note:** Meeting schedules are available on the relevant committee websites.
[Academic Board meeting dates;](#)
[Academic Board committee dates;](#)
[SCPC meeting dates;](#)
[UE meeting dates.](#)
- (a) Key dates include:
- (i) deadline for the publication of the faculty handbook for the subsequent year;
 - (ii) finalisation date for the units of study master file for the subsequent year; and
 - (iii) deadlines set by faculties for the approval of units of study.
- (3) Faculties, or where relevant the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education), proposing new or changed units of study must:
- (a) provide advance notice of the proposed change to any faculty or school which might be affected, particularly those offering award courses in which the unit of study is listed in the unit of study table, before seeking approval; and
 - (b) submit proposals for approval in the relevant faculty-approved template.
- (4) Proposals for new or changed units of study which are, or are proposed to be, under the faculty's academic direction in a degree of the faculty must be:
- (a) approved by the faculty; and
 - (b) where the changes result in a change to award course requirements or the table of units of study for an award course, approved by the Academic Board.
- (5) Proposals for new or changed units of study which are, or are proposed to be, under a faculty's academic direction in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees must be:
- (a) endorsed by the unit of study co-ordinator, or in the case of new units of study, the relevant head of school;
 - (b) approved in terms of rationale, curriculum, assessment and learning outcomes by the faculty; and
 - (c) approved for inclusion in the shared pool by the Academic Board on the recommendation of the Undergraduate Studies Committee.
- (6) Proposals for new or changed units of study which are not, or are proposed not to be, under a faculty's academic direction but will be included in the shared pool of units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees must be:
- (a) endorsed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education); and



- (b) approved by the Academic Board on the recommendation of the Undergraduate Studies Committee.
- (7) Units of study which are, or are proposed to be, included in the open learning environment, Sydney Research Seminars, or interdisciplinary units of study available across all Liberal Studies Bachelor Degrees, or offered to all students as specified in the degree resolutions, must be:
 - (a) endorsed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) or the faculty; and
 - (b) approved by the Academic Board.
- (8) Faculties must report approved new or changed units of study to the Undergraduate or Graduate Studies Committee of the Academic Board (as appropriate) at least annually.

7 Award course resolutions

- (1) Award course resolutions must specify, as a minimum:
 - (a) the course code;
 - (b) attendance patterns;
 - (c) requirements for admission to candidature;
 - (d) requirements for the award course including credit point values, units of study that may be taken for credit and mandatory units of study;
 - (e) streams available in the award course;
 - (f) programs available in the award course;
 - (g) majors available in the award course;
 - (h) minors available in the award course;
 - (i) requirements for streams, programs, majors, minors and, where appropriate, the degree core;
 - (j) progression rules;
 - (k) restrictions on enrolment;
 - (l) time limits, if different from those specified in the faculty resolutions or the [University of Sydney \(Coursework\) Rule](#);
 - Note:** Award course resolutions may not extend the maximum time for completion of a coursework degree, which is provided in the [University of Sydney \(Coursework\) Rule](#)
 - (m) cross institutional study and exchange, if not as specified in the faculty resolutions;
 - (n) requirements for admission to, and for the award of honours, if available;
 - (o) award of the degree including grades of the degree or grades of honours that may be awarded; and
 - (p) any transitional arrangements relating to the resolutions.



8 Faculty resolutions

- (1) Faculty resolutions may include resolutions about:
 - (a) course enrolment, including enrolment restrictions, time limits, suspension, discontinuation and lapse of candidature and recognition of prior learning;
 - (b) unit of study enrolment, including cross-institutional study and international exchange;
 - (c) study and assessment, including attendance and participation, late submission, and arrangements, if any, for re-assessment;
 - (d) progression and award including satisfactory progress, awards, award and grades of honours, medals and weighted average marks used in addition to the provisions of the [Coursework Policy](#); and
 - (e) transitional arrangements.

9 Faculty handbooks

- (1) Faculty handbooks must specify:
 - (a) a description of the faculty structure, including schools, disciplines and departments;
 - (b) the faculty teaching calendar for the year;
 - (c) any local provisions in the faculty;
 - (d) in relation to each award course offered by the faculty:
 - (i) the award course resolutions;
 - (ii) any applicable faculty resolutions;
 - (iii) the intended learning outcomes and graduate qualities;
 - (iv) the approved minimum learning commitments;
 - (v) the approved learning experiences;
 - (vi) the assessment process and standards; and
 - (vii) expected prior learning;
 - (e) in relation to each unit of study offered by the faculty:
 - (i) a brief description;
 - (ii) assessment summary;
 - (iii) pre- and co-requisites; and
 - (iv) the relationship of the unit of study to the overall learning outcomes and experience for the award course.

10 Unit of study outlines

- (1) Unit of study outlines must contain:
 - (a) a concise statement of the learning outcomes;
 - (b) a list of objectives, expressed in terms of how that knowledge will be assessed;



- (c) a concise statement of the links between the learning outcomes and the graduate qualities;
 - (d) a brief description of the contribution of the unit to the different award courses in which the students may be enrolled;
 - (e) information about academic integrity and the checking of written assignments through similarity detection software;
 - (f) links to compulsory modules relating to academic honesty;
 - (g) advice on:
 - (i) attendance and class requirements;
 - (ii) the methods of assessment to be used; and
 - (iii) the weighting of each assessment;
 - (h) names and contact details of relevant teaching and administrative staff.
- (2) Unit of study outlines may also contain, where appropriate, assignment questions and assessment tasks.
- (3) The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) may prescribe a template for unit of study outlines, in which case the template must be used for all unit of study outlines.

11 Learning management systems

- (1) An LMS website must contain:
- (a) an introduction and rationale for the unit of study;
 - (b) the aims and learning outcomes;
 - (c) the contribution that the aims and learning outcomes of the unit make to learning outcomes and graduate qualities for the award course;
 - (d) an outline of the curriculum for the unit and a schedule of learning activities (lectures, seminars, tutorials, workshops, practicals, laboratories, online learning, field trips, work placement, independent study or other);
 - (e) minimum learning commitments and attendance requirements for learning activities, and guidelines on time to be allowed for private study and assessment preparation;
 - (f) the assessment process, standards and criteria, including a detailed breakdown of each assessment task, its contribution to the final mark, deadlines and closing dates for submission of work;
 - (g) any relevant expectations relating to group work, professionalism in work-integrated learning situations and other matters;
 - (h) any penalties that apply for poor attendance or late submission;
 - (i) mandatory or recommended prior learning;
- Note:** This information should also be provided to prospective students as early as possible, through the University's "[Find a Course](#)" website.
- (j) reference and links to relevant University policies, including, as a minimum the [Academic Integrity Policy](#) and the requirements for special consideration in the [Coursework Policy](#) and [Assessment Procedures](#);
 - (k) a notification to students indicating that participation in the unit of study permits de-identified information about their learning experience and



- interaction with learning resources to be used for the purpose of improving the student experience of learning;
- (l) information, where relevant, about the recording of lectures delivered and automatically captured in University-owned lecture theatres;
 - (m) the use of the text-matching tool on the University's LMS for student text-based assignments;
 - (n) details of changes made to the unit as a result of student feedback and student experience from the previous time the unit was offered.
- (2) Each LMS must be designed to include the capacity for:
- (a) submitting written assignments online; and
 - (b) for text-based assignments, checking submitted work with similarity detection software.
- (3) Read-only access to the LMS site for a unit of study must be provided to:
- (a) students;
 - (b) unit of study co-ordinators;
 - (c) all teachers and tutors in the award course;
 - (d) relevant library staff, for the purpose of facilitating availability of relevant library resources;
 - (e) relevant educational integrity co-ordinators, for the purposes of conducting an investigation into suspected academic dishonesty;
 - (f) relevant staff of the Disability Support Office, for the purposes of recommending adjustments for students registered with that office; and
 - (g) any other member of staff to whom the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) directs that such access should be provided.
- (4) Editing access to the LMS site for a unit of study must be provided to the unit of study co-ordinator and any other person nominated by the unit of study co-ordinator.

12 Academic records on graduation

- (1) An academic transcript is a complete record of the student's studies at the University and must state:
- (a) the graduate's name;
 - (b) the award course;
 - (c) any specialisation, stream, major or minor achieved;
 - (d) each unit of study attempted with:
 - (i) the semester and year of the attempt;
 - (ii) the credit point value;
 - (iii) the mark; and
 - (iv) the grade.
- (2) A certificate of graduate status must list the degree name and the graduation date but not the units of study.

- (3) A degree statement (testamur), is the legal statement of the student's attainment of the degree, and must state:
 - (a) the degree or degrees awarded;
 - (b) the authority under which it is awarded;
 - (c) the title of the award;
 - (d) the name of the student to whom it is awarded;
 - (e) the date of conferral;
 - (f) any stream, program or major (with a maximum of two majors); and
 - (g) where relevant, the honours discipline and grade of the degree or honours awarded.
- (4) Separate testamurs will be issued for combined and double degrees, including vertically-integrated degrees, unless otherwise required by the relevant award course resolutions.

13 Quality assurance and evaluation

- (1) **Excellence of the student experience** is evaluated through surveys of the student experience at two levels:
 - (a) the degree or program level; and
 - (b) the unit of study level.
- (2) Degree or program level feedback is captured from both current students and recent graduates through external surveys. Educational data analytics from these surveys are reported by the Insights Team to the wider university community, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) University Executive Education and Research Committees;
 - (b) Academic Board;
 - (c) Graduate Studies Committee of Academic Board;
 - (d) Undergraduate Studies Committee of Academic Board;
 - (e) The Academic Quality Committee of the Academic Board;
 - (f) Faculty Deans, Associate Deans and appropriate faculty boards and committees.
- (3) Unit of study level feedback is captured through the Unit of Study Survey (USS).
 - (a) The USS is administered online, using Sydney Student data to generate the list of units of study to be surveyed each teaching session, and to access the contact details of students enrolled in them.
 - (b) The USS includes six common quantitative items, and two common qualitative items and up to four faculty specific quantitative items and one qualitative item.
 - (c) For each unit of study, a faculty administrator is responsible for:
 - (i) checking that the unit of study co-ordinator details are correct;
 - (ii) setting appropriate open and close dates for the survey; and
 - (iii) indicating which faculty specific variant of the USS is to be used.



- (d) Unit of study co-ordinators must check the details of the survey (sent as a pre-notification email two weeks prior to the survey open date). Changes should be requested through the faculty administrator.
 - (e) Students are emailed an invitation to participate in the USS on the survey open date. A reminder email will be emailed to all students who have not already completed the survey one week after the survey opens.
 - (f) Teachers may allow time in class for students to complete the survey on their smartphone, tablet or laptop.
 - (g) Unit of study co-ordinators will receive an email notification on the survey open date, and then an update one week later.
 - (h) Results are made available to the unit of study co-ordinators, the Dean, the Associate Dean, Education and other nominees of the Dean via the [USS results portal](#).
 - (i) Results are made available to students (quantitative results only) via an email notification containing a link to their personalised survey portal. Co-ordinators can write a comment in response to the ratings and comments given by their students before results are released to students.
 - (j) Changes made to the unit of study as a result of student feedback and student experience from the previous time the unit of study was offered must be included in the LMS website for the unit of study.
- (4) Quality assurance processes at all levels are summarised in Schedule One.
 - (5) **Course quality reviews** will be conducted every seven years or less, as appropriate, by Program Directors.
 - (6) The course quality reviews will consider, but are not limited to:
 - (a) the quality of the learning and teaching in the course;
 - (b) the course structure and learning outcomes;
 - (c) student performance and student experience; and
 - (d) the academic and strategic rationale for offering the course.
 - (7) The course quality review and reporting process is set out in Schedule Four.

14 Educational environments

The quality of educational environments will be measured through student and teacher evaluations of learning spaces.

Note: Standards (for learning environments) are monitored by the DVC (Education) Portfolio and are set by CIS in conjunction with ICT. The Learning Space Design Standard is available [here](#).

NOTES

Learning and Teaching Procedures 2016

Date adopted:	29 June 2016
Date commenced:	26 July 2016
Date amended:	27 October 2016 (administrative amendment) 10 October 2016 (administrative amendment) 28 November 2017 (commencing 1 January 2018) 4 June 2109 (commencing 1 July 2019) 12 March 2020 (administrative amendment) 8 July 2021 (commencing 12 July 2021) 16 November 2021 (commencing 22 November 2021) 11 April 2022 (administrative amendments) 15 November 2022 (commencing 1 January 2023) 5 May 2023 (administrative amendments) 21 November 2023 (commencing 5 December 2023) 26 March 2024 (commencing 29 March 2024)
Original Administrator:	Registrar and Academic Director (Education)
Current document owner:	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education)
Review date:	29 June 2021
Related documents:	<i>University of Sydney (Coursework) Rule</i> <i>University of Sydney (Policies Development and Review) Rule</i> <i>Learning and Teaching Policy</i> <i>Academic Integrity Policy</i> <i>Student Charter</i> <i>Coursework Policy</i> <i>Academic Integrity Procedures</i>

AMENDMENT HISTORY

Provision	Amendment	Commencing
Various	Renumbering of clauses 6 – 12	26 July 2016
4(7); 4(8); Schedule One	References to Senior Executive Group (SEG) changed to University Executive (UE)	27 October 2016
13(2)(a)	Reference to Senior Executive Group (SEG) Research Training Committee changed to University Executive (UE) Research Education Committee	27 October 2016
Various	Typographical errors amended; administrative amendment only	27 October 2016
4(1)(a); 6(1)(a); 13(6)(g)	Hyperlinks amended; administrative amendment only	27 October 2016
12(1)	Removal of clause referring to the Australian Higher Education Graduation Statement (AHEGS); subsequent clauses renumbered.	10 October 2017
6	Amended definition of “faculty”	1 January 2018
6	New definitions added: “Group of 8 Quality Verification System” and “Table A”	1 January 2018
4(1)(a); 6(1)(a)	“Meeting schedules are available on the relevant committee websites” moved to note below clauses.	1 January 2018
7(1)(l)	Inserted “award” before “course”	1 January 2018
12(3)(a)	Inserted “or degrees” between “degree” and “awarded”	1 January 2018
12(3)(f)	Inserted “(with a maximum of two majors)” after “major”	1 January 2018
12(3)(g)	Inserted “honours disciplines and” between “the” and “grade”	1 January 2018
12(4) – 12(5); 13(8) – 13(11)	New clauses added	1 January 2018
14	Note moved to after clause	1 January 2018
Schedule 2; Schedule 3	New schedules added	1 January 2018
3	Remove definition of faculty	4 June 2019



Provision	Amendment	Commencing
3	Replace Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Registrar) with Executive Director, Student Administration Services	
12(6)	Remove 'where honours is taken in the discipline of a Table A major and insert Note.	4 June 2019
1(1)	Amending date of Learning and Teaching Policy from 2015 to 2019	12 March 2020
4(1)(a) note; 4(6)(d) note; 4(8) note; 6(1)(a) note; 13(6)(g) note	Hyperlinks amended; administrative amendment only	12 March 2020
Notes	In Related documents - Learning and Teaching Policy 2015 replaced with Learning and Teaching Policy 2019; Code of Conduct for Students replaced with Student Charter 2020	12 March 2020
3(2)	Replace CCPC with SCSPC	12 July 2021
4(1)(a); 6(1)(a)	Administrative amendment only	12 July 2021
4(4) – 4(11)	Replace clauses which are no longer correct	12 July 2021
Notes	Replace Academic Director, Education Policy and Quality with Registrar and Academic Director (Education)	12 July 2021
Schedule 4	New clause added	12 July 2021
3	New definitions for 'Quality Unit' and 'SFS'	22 November 2021
13(8)-(10)	New subclauses regarding the course quality reviews.	22 November 2021
13(12)(b); 13(14).	Removal of 'Academic Standards and Policy Committee'.	22 November 2021
Schedule 5	New schedule added.	22 November 2021
4	Removed separate references to 'University schools'	22 November 2021

Provision	Amendment	Commencing
8(1)(d); 11(1)(j); 12(6) note; Related documents	Coursework Policy 2014 amended to read Coursework Policy 2021	11 April 2021
3	Replace Strategic Course and Student Profile Committee with Strategic Course Portfolio Committee)	11 April 2022
3; 4(1) note; 4(10); 4(10)(a); 6(1)(a) note	Replace SCSPC with SCPC	11 April 2022
3(2)	New definitions added: change requiring ESOS reporting; CRICOS; ESOS agency; major curriculum initiatives; compliance unit	1 January 2023
3(2)	SFS definition; 'Vice-Principal (External Relations)' replaced with 'Vice-President (External Engagement)'	1 January 2023
3(2)	Definition for 'Sydney Research Seminars' deleted and replaced with 'has the meaning given in the Policy'	1 January 2023
4(4) – (14)	Clause on 'Process for approving new or changed courses'. Subclauses deleted and replaced with new subclauses to reflect new major curriculum initiative process	1 January 2023
6(2)	6(1)(a) renumbered; consequent renumbering. Amended to read 'Deans and faculty managers are responsible for obtaining'.....	1 January 2023
6(5)(c); 6(6)(b)	'Board of Interdisciplinary Studies' replaced with 'Academic Board on the recommendation of the Undergraduate Studies Committee'	1 January 2023
6(7)(b)	'Board of Interdisciplinary Studies' replaced with 'Academic Board'	1 January 2023
6(8); Schedule 4 2(a)	Reference to 'Board of Interdisciplinary Studies' deleted	1 January 2023
13(2)(a)	University Executive Research Education Committee replaced with University Executive Research Committee	1 January 2023
Schedule 4	Formatting errors in numbering corrected	1 January 2023
Schedule 4 2(b)	'Board of Interdisciplinary Studies' replaced with 'Undergraduate Studies Committee'	1 January 2023

Provision	Amendment	Commencing
Schedule 5	'Head of Curriculum Management and Strategy' replaced with 'Head of Curriculum Management and Strategic Projects'	1 January 2023
11(1)(j); related documents	Academic Honesty in Coursework Policy 2015 replaced with Academic Integrity Policy 2022	5 May 2023
Related documents	Academic Honesty Procedures 2016 replaced with Academic Integrity Procedures 2022	5 May 2023
<i>The following amendments were approved by Academic Board on 21 November 2021 for effect on 5 December 2023</i>		
3(2)	Deleted the definition of 'Group of 8 Quality Verification System'.	5 December 2023
13(11), (12), (13), (14)	Subclauses deleted	5 December 2023
Schedule 1	Reference to Group of 8 Quality Verification System deleted from 'University: Evaluation method'	5 December 2023
Schedule 3	Deleted. Consequential renumbering of Schedules.	5 December 2023
Throughout	Administrative amendments to remove the year in policy references	29 March 2024
<i>The following amendments were approved by Academic Standards and Policy Committee on 26 March 2024 for effect on 29 March 2024. Resolution AB ASPC 2024/02-07.</i>		
3(2)	Moved definition of 'Compliance Unit' upwards in accordance with alphabetical order.	29 March 2024
3(2)	Inserted definition for 'Curriculum and Quality Unit'.	29 March 2024
3(2)	Amended 'Quality Unit' to read, 'Regulatory Affairs (TEQSA) Unit'. Deleted 'quality assurance' and added, 'the University's compliance with the Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards)'.	29 March 2024
4(4)(a)	Added, 'Curriculum and Quality'.	29 March 2024
12(4), (5), (6), (8)	Subclauses deleted. Consequential renumbering of subclause 12(7) as subclause 12(4).	29 March 2024
12(7)	Now subclause 12(4) due to consequential renumbering. Replaced 'a single testamur' with 'separate testamurs' and added 'and double' after 'combined'.	29 March 2024



Provision	Amendment	Commencing
13(2)	Replaced, 'Education Portfolio Quality and Analytics' with 'Insights'.	29 March 2024
13(5), (6), (7)	Subclauses deleted. Consequential renumbering.	29 March 2024
Schedule 2	Rescinded.	29 March 2024
Schedule 4(1)	Inserted, 'Curriculum and' before 'Quality Unit'.	29 March 2024
Schedule 4(2)(b)	Replaced 'write' with 'compile'.	29 March 2024
Schedule 4(3)	Inserted, 'Curriculum and' before 'Quality Unit'.	29 March 2024
Schedule 4(9)	Inserted, 'Curriculum and' before 'Quality Unit'.	29 March 2024

SCHEDULE ONE

Standards and methods for evaluating educational excellence

Level	Standards	Responsibility	Evaluation method
Unit of study	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simple data • Easy visibility at faculty level • Generated by results data • Determined by faculty • Alignment with award/ standard • Graduate qualities 	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unit of study co-ordinator assesses that outcomes are meeting requirements, including academic integrity • Faculty determines standards with reference to the discipline level 	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student surveys • University rubric to measure against graduate qualities
	Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student experience of learning and teaching • Peer observation of teaching 	Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • University sets agreed standards and targets • Unit of study co-ordinators are responsible for providing students with feedback through the closing the loop process 	Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student surveys • Results are included in report to students/ faculty
	Educational environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Learning space • Virtual environment • Formal and informal 		Educational environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standards for physical learning space • Evaluation of learning spaces • Effective use of existing resources (to teach units of study)

Level	Standards	Responsibility	Evaluation method
Curriculum/ qualification	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications • Meet accreditation requirements • Alignment with institutional, industry, professional and community expectations 	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standards and outcomes are determined by the faculty and managed by the Academic Board 	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student survey results are averaged over faculty-administered units of study and used to set agreed standards and targets • Benchmarking and alignment with standards across the faculty, and other comparable institutions, and with professional, disciplinary and industry expectations.
	Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thematically coherent program 		Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student surveys • Reported to faculty board; reports made public
	Educational environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formal and informal • Community of scholars within discipline/ degree program 		Educational environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standards for physical learning space • Evaluation of learning spaces • Effective use of existing resources

Level	Standards	Responsibility	Evaluation method
University	Educational outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graduate qualities Prepared for learning, life and work experiences Success in accessing further study opportunities Rewarding career paths Contributing to the community 		Educational outcomes, experience and environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student survey results used to set targets and benchmark at faculty and University level Meets requirements for accreditation at discipline/ professional level Meets requirements for Australian Qualifications Framework Meets requirements for Higher Education Standards Meets requirements for professional regulatory bodies e.g. Australian Health Practitioners Regulatory Authority Cycle of Academic Board/ UE faculty reviews, including learning and teaching processes and practices
	Educational experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement and enquiry to challenge students with novel problems and issues at every stage of the educational process 		
	Educational environment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical spaces and equipment Virtual learning environment Supports working together 		

SCHEDULE TWO

Rescinded.

SCHEDULE THREE

Guidelines for Majors

In these guidelines:

ASCED code	means the Australian Standard Classification of Education Code established by the Australian Bureau of Statistics
selective	means a unit of study which may be selected from a group of units within a major to fulfil requirements for the major.
Table A	means a list, specified in award course resolutions, of units of study, majors, minors and streams available to be taken in an award course. Unless otherwise specified, Table A for an award course, is only available to students enrolled in the award course, except by special permission of the unit of study coordinator
Table S	means a list, specified in award course resolutions, of units of study, minors and majors common to all Liberal Studies degrees and some specialist and generalist degrees, which are available to be taken in an award course.

- (1) **Purpose of the major.** The major should:
 - (a) develop depth of expertise in a coherent field of study that is associated with an identifiable community of scholars and is recognised as comprising a distinct and valuable body of scholarship;
 - (b) be supported by demonstrated expertise and staff capacity in the area of the major;
 - (c) be characterised by a distinctive set of learning outcomes, among which should be depth of disciplinary expertise;
 - (d) develop the graduate qualities.
- (2) **Table A and Table S majors and minors.**
 - (a) A major or a minor which is offered in more than one table of units or degree must be identical in all the tables or degrees in which it is offered. This is to enable Committees of the Academic Board to oversee the inclusion of new programs, majors and minors in degrees with a shared curriculum.
 - (b) If a faculty wishes to offer a different version of a major or minor in a Table A and Table S, each version will need a different name and the listing of the Table S version will need to be approved by the Undergraduate Studies Committee.
- (3) **Overlap of core units and selectives within different majors.**
 - (a) Core units that are common to two majors may overlap within the first year of majors (up to 12 credit points) without additional scrutiny by the faculty beyond that which is normally given to ensure cohesion, rigour and appropriate development and alignment of the learning outcomes for the major.
 - (b) Where overlap within the major core occurs beyond first year, this should be for sound disciplinary reasons. The decision to do so should be made on



the basis of an argued case presented to and considered by the faculty, in order to ensure its necessity and that the major retains distinctiveness. With this caveat, both core and selective units offered within majors may overlap.

- (c) For students, while a single unit of major 'core' may be counted against two majors, selective units may not be double counted in this way. A student wishing to complete two majors with overlapping core must choose unique selectives in order to complete the credit point requirements of those majors.

(4) Overlaps between major and stream

- (a) Units of study may count towards both the requirements of a stream and the requirements of the major, provided that the major meets these guidelines and requirements of the [Learning and Teaching Policy](#).

(5) Pre-requisites and assumed knowledge.

- (a) Units of study within a major must not have pre-requisites for units outside the major that would effectively require a student to complete more than 48 credit points to complete requirements for the major.
 - (i) Where a major is offered in Table S, units within the major must not have pre-requisites for units outside the major.
 - (ii) Where a major is offered in Table A for a degree, or only to students in a particular stream, a unit within the major may have a pre-requisite outside the major, provided those units are core units for the degree or stream;
- (b) Units of study within a major may specify assumed knowledge outside the major.

(6) The 3000 level (or higher for 192 credit point professional or specialist degrees) Project unit

- (a) Projects should be designed to explore challenging problems that arise in realistic settings with solutions of clear impact on issues of importance to partners in the project.
- (b) The problems on which projects are built should be authentic and offer a rich context that may, depending on the project, also invite interdisciplinary perspectives.
- (c) The project should be conceived of as an integrative experience that draws together disciplinary knowledge and demonstrates the learning outcomes of the major in a real-world application. Disciplinary expertise demonstrated in the project should reflect the standards expected in the major.
- (d) The project should form the focus of the entire 6 credit point unit and develop graduate qualities.
- (e) Where the project is interdisciplinary, it is recommended that enrolment be through a shell unit specific to each major to enable the appropriate flow of funds to the school or discipline, appropriate ASCED code and a contact point in the discipline.
- (f) A single shell unit in the major may be used for both disciplinary and interdisciplinary projects (including multi-faculty projects) to simplify the unit structure.
- (g) Interdisciplinary learning is greatly enriched by involving students from other faculties. The Education, Enterprise and Engagement unit in the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Education) portfolio brokers projects with external partners



suitable to students from multiple faculties and assists in managing the projects.

- (7) Unit requiring application of disciplinary expertise in an interdisciplinary context**
- (a) Disciplinary knowledge developed in a major involves an understanding of disciplinary assumptions, methodologies and foundational knowledge and an ability to apply that to solve problems encountered within the discipline.
 - (b) The interdisciplinary unit should provide an opportunity for students to apply disciplinary knowledge to problems, work or communicate with people with different disciplinary expertise and demonstrate interdisciplinary effectiveness.
 - (c) 'Interdisciplinary' should be understood in an inclusive sense. It may involve any or all of:
 - (i) the integration of knowledge, methods and skills of two or more disciplines;
 - (ii) the application of skills, knowledge and methods of two or more disciplines to the components of a problem without necessarily integrating them (sometimes called multi-disciplinary);
 - (iii) the integration of disciplines into a common framework that transcends any one discipline; or
 - (iv) the interactions between disciplines and society (sometimes called transdisciplinary).

The interdisciplinary unit may be the project unit.

SCHEDULE FOUR

COURSE QUALITY REVIEWS

REVIEW PREPARATION

- (1) In October, faculty Curriculum Managers, the Curriculum and Quality Unit and SFS will confirm the courses for review in the following year.
- (2) After the courses for review have been confirmed:
 - (a) the Curriculum Managers will notify the Program Directors of the courses selected for review; and
 - (b) the SFS will compile the required market analysis for each course.
- (3) In January, the Curriculum and Quality Unit will send Curriculum Managers an information pack which contains:
 - (a) SFS's market analysis;
 - (b) the student experience survey dashboard;
 - (c) the student and course information dashboard;
 - (d) a course review form which includes instructions for Program Directors, the terms of reference and minimum panel membership; and
 - (e) an exemplar course review.
- (4) Curriculum Managers will use this information to populate the course review form with relevant data and return the form to the Program Director.
- (5) The Program Director will organise the Course Quality Review Panel.

COURSE QUALITY REVIEW REPORT – PROCESS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- (1) The Program Director will:
 - (a) provide analysis and narrative relating to the data in the course review form;
 - (b) prepare an initial report without recommendations; and
 - (c) provide the initial report to the Course Quality Review Panel.
- (2) The Course Quality Review Panel is responsible for:
 - (a) reviewing the data in the initial report;
 - (b) interviewing stakeholders, which may include:
 - (i) staff and students on the course;
 - (ii) alumni; and
 - (iii) employers.
 - (c) completing the initial report; and
 - (d) drafting recommendations for the course quality review report.



- (3) The Course Quality Review Panel will:
 - (a) meet with wider University stakeholders to discuss the draft recommendations. Depending on the recommendations, relevant stakeholders may include:
 - (i) the Head of Curriculum Management and Strategic Projects in the DVC (Education) portfolio;
 - (ii) a representative from SFS;
 - (iii) a member of the Dean's Executive Committee;
 - (iv) a member of the faculty Education Committee;
 - (b) complete the course quality review report, including:
 - (i) recommendations; and
 - (ii) an implementation plan; and
 - (c) provide the report to the faculty Education Committee.
- (4) The faculty Education Committee is responsible for:
 - (a) reviewing the course quality review report and its recommendations;
 - (b) if appropriate, endorsing the recommendations; and
 - (c) submitting the course quality review report to the Dean's Executive Committee.
- (5) The Dean's Executive Committee is responsible for:
 - (a) reviewing the course quality review report and its recommendations;
 - (b) if appropriate, recommending that the Dean signs off on the recommendations; and
 - (c) submitting the report to the Faculty Board.
- (6) The Faculty Board is responsible for:
 - (a) reviewing and, if appropriate, endorsing the course quality review report and its recommendations;
 - (b) submitting the report and recommendations to the Academic Quality Committee.
- (7) The Academic Quality Committee is responsible for:
 - (a) reviewing and, if appropriate, endorsing the course quality review report and its recommendations; and
 - (b) submitting the course quality review report to the Academic Board.
- (8) The Academic Board is responsible for noting the course quality review report and its recommendations.
- (9) The Curriculum and Quality Unit is responsible for:
 - (a) monitoring the faculty's progress in implementing the course quality review report's recommendations; and
 - (b) reporting to the Academic Quality Committee about implementation of the course quality review report's recommendations.
- (10) The implementation report required by subclause 9(b) will be submitted to the Academic Quality Committee three months after the course quality review report's approval by the Academic Board.